

**ROYAL COMMISSION INTO INSTITUTIONAL
RESPONSES TO CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE**

**Public Hearing - Case Study 44
(Day 212)**

Level 17, Governor Macquarie Tower
1 Farrer Place, Sydney

On Tuesday, 20 September 2016 at 10am

Before:

The Chair: Justice Peter McClellan AM
Commissioner: Justice Jennifer Ann Coate

Counsel Assisting: Ms Gail Furness SC

1 <BRIAN JOSEPH LUCAS, on former oath: [10am]

2

3 MS FURNESS: Your Honour, I might indicate, for those with
4 an interest, that Father Usher will not be called before
5 10am in the morning.

6

7 THE CHAIR: 10am tomorrow?

8

9 MS FURNESS: Yes. Thank you. Also, your Honours,
10 yesterday there was reference to evidence Father Peters had
11 given to Mr Whitlam in relation to the way in which he came
12 to include the matters that he did in his letter. The
13 references are to the transcript in the Whitlam Bundle at
14 pages 18 and 19.

15

16 MS GERACE: Your Honour, yesterday, when
17 Counsel Assisting was questioning Father Lucas, a reference
18 was made to a more recent statement of Bishop Manning in
19 which it was suggested that he had changed his memory about
20 receiving the letter. I have reviewed the tender bundle
21 and couldn't see that document. I wondered whether my
22 learned friend would clarify whether that was, in fact, an
23 error in the description of what occurred or whether there
24 is some other document that I should be aware of.

25

26 MS FURNESS: I will come back to my friend on that,
27 your Honour.

28

29 MS GERACE: Thank you.

30

31 <EXAMINATION BY MS FURNESS CONTINUING:

32

33 MS FURNESS: Q. Father, can I take you to tab 285.
34 I think you have all the bundles with you. I take it you
35 have seen that document in the course of preparing to give
36 evidence?

37

A. Yes.

38

39 Q. It is a file note, from Ms Cook, on Catholic
40 Archdiocese of Sydney letterhead, and it refers to a
41 meeting with Monsignor Wayne Peters and, among others,
42 John Usher on 4 July. Do you see that?

43

A. Yes.

44

45 Q. Your name isn't there, so I take it that you weren't
46 present at that meeting?

47

A. I was expecting to be. I was overseas. I was asked

1 to be on standby for a meeting. I waited, but when the
2 call finally came, I was told that Wayne Peters had left,
3 so I wasn't part of this meeting.
4

5 Q. I see. Of those present, there was Michael Casey and
6 Danny Casey. They were employees of the Archdiocese of
7 Sydney?

8 A. Yes.
9

10 Q. In a legal capacity?

11 A. No, Michael Casey was Cardinal Pell's private
12 secretary and Danny Casey was the business manager.
13

14 Q. And Ms Lee was the communications person?

15 A. Yes.
16

17 Q. And the archdiocese solicitor?

18 A. Yes.
19

20 Q. And Ms Cook, was a solicitor as well, I think?

21 A. Yes.
22

23 Q. All of these people had an interest in what each of
24 you had to recall in relation to a meeting in 1992?

25 A. Apparently.
26

27 Q. When you were asked to participate in the meeting,
28 what did you understand the purpose was?

29 A. I received a phone call, and I think Wayne Peters was
30 coming to Sydney that day for a meeting, and that they
31 would ring me. I presumed it was to clarify what had gone
32 on on the Four Corners program.
33

34 Q. I see. What is stated here is that the purpose was to
35 discuss with Monsignor Peters his recollection of the
36 meeting in September. It is the case, isn't it, that
37 Monsignor Usher seems also to be present?

38 A. Yes.
39

40 Q. So it was considered appropriate to meet with,
41 obviously, both Monsignor Peters and Monsignor Usher, along
42 with the other cast at that meeting, and you understood
43 that was to be the case?

44 A. I didn't know who was going to be there. I simply
45 received a phone call; I waited in my hotel room for a
46 couple of hours until I had to get in the car to go to the
47 airport.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. Could we come down to paragraph 3, firstly. Monsignor Peters is recorded as saying that he was mystified how the letter got out. Did you get to the bottom of that at any time, as to how the letter, in his language, "got out"?

A. Not at this time. I think it was much later and I'm not quite sure in what context.

Q. What do you now understand as to how the letter got out?

A. I understand there was a subpoena of the Armidale file, presumably for one of those court cases that you took me to yesterday. How it got out from a subpoenaed document into the public arena I would have no idea.

Q. It seemed that Broken Rites had had access to it, if not had a copy of it?

A. That would seem to be the case. How they got it from a subpoenaed document, I don't know.

Q. Could we turn over to paragraph 16. You will see just in the previous paragraph that it is clear that Monsignor Usher was participating in the meeting as well. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. In paragraph 16 Monsignor Peters is recorded as saying he was "surprised by the admissions" and that it was "not clear if he was telling the truth", and that Monsignor Usher agreed with that and "it was not clear if it was fantasy or made up" and it was "unreal and weird".

MR DUGGAN: I object at this stage. I object to that characterisation. My friend has rolled the first two sentences of that paragraph together and then suggested that Monsignor Usher agreed with this. It is certainly not clear that he agreed with the first two sentences. In fact, the ordinary reading would be that he agreed with the second sentence only, and it should be put, in my submission, on that basis.

THE CHAIR: I am not sure. I will let counsel put the questions as she chooses to and Father Lucas can respond.

MR DUGGAN: If it please the Commission.

1 MS FURNESS: Q. You see paragraph 16, don't you, Father?
2 A. Yes.
3
4 Q. You see what Monsignor Peters is referred to or
5 recorded as saying - firstly, that he was "surprised by the
6 admissions"?
7 A. Yes.
8
9 Q. Do you see that? Then he said it was "not clear if he
10 was telling the truth"?
11 A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And then it is recorded that Monsignor Usher agreed
14 with this?
15 A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And then he said it was "not clear if it was fantasy
18 or made up"?
19 A. Yes.
20
21 Q. And "it was unreal or weird"?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Was that your recollection of the meeting, that,
25 firstly, it was not clear if Farrell was telling the truth?
26 A. That was certainly my impression right through.
27
28 Q. What was it about what he said or his demeanour that
29 caused you to form the view that it wasn't clear if he was
30 telling the truth?
31 A. Well, he was very defensive about everything, is my
32 recollection. There was reference to the boys in Moree
33 that he said were all making up lies and telling lies about
34 him, were out to get him, and things like that, that, to
35 me, didn't seem to necessarily ring true, and it took some
36 time, in my recollection, before we finally got to a point
37 where either, as I said, by my surmising from the demeanour
38 or what he did g21351say, or whatever he said, [REDACTED]
39 [REDACTED] that
40 something had happened with that young man. So in the
41 whole process I was never sure what was - whether it was
42 fantasy or truth. He just was very defensive and evasive
43 is my impression his demeanour.
44
45 THE CHAIR: Q. Father Lucas, the first sentence says,
46 "Monsignor Peters said he was surprised by admissions made
47 by Fr F during the meeting"?

1 A. Yes.
2
3 Q. Do you agree that there were admissions made by
4 Father F during the meeting?
5 A. That's not my recollection, your Honour, as
6 I explained yesterday.
7
8 Q. The second sentence is "Monsignor Peters said it was,
9 'not clear if he was telling the truth.' Usher is said to
10 have agreed with this. Was it your position that it wasn't
11 clear whether Monsignor Peters was telling the truth?
12 A. I have no recollection --
13
14 Q. I am sorry, whether Farrell was telling the truth?
15 A. I have no recollection of him saying the words that
16 are in that letter.
17
18 Q. No. This is a report of the meeting. I will say it
19 again: Monsignor Peters says that it was not clear if he
20 was telling the truth - that is, if Farrell was telling the
21 truth. Was that your position?
22 A. No. My position is that I have no recollection of
23 those words, about which he says he's not sure if they were
24 the truth or not, being said.
25
26 MS FURNESS: Q. There may be a misunderstanding, Father.
27 What appears in this record is a record of
28 Monsignor Peters, on 4 July, saying that it was not clear
29 if he was telling the truth.
30 A. Yes.
31
32 Q. Your answer was, "My position is that I have no
33 recollection of those words, about which he says he's not
34 sure if they were telling the truth or not, being said."
35 What words are you saying that Monsignor Peters is
36 referring to when he said it's not clear if he was telling
37 the truth?
38 A. As I understand this paragraph, there are the alleged
39 admissions in the letter, including very, very explicit
40 language in the Wayne Peters letter. As I read this,
41 Wayne Peters is saying that he believes that when Farrell
42 said that he was surprised. Then he goes on to say that,
43 having heard him say that, he's not sure if what he was
44 saying was the truth.
45
46 Q. So you're saying you didn't hear any admissions; is
47 that right?

1 A. I didn't hear those words.
2
3 Q. Which words?
4 A. The words in the letter. The explicit description of
5 that appalling behaviour. They would have been seared in
6 my memory, those explicit words, and I did not hear them.
7
8 THE CHAIR: Q. We discussed that yesterday. Farrell was
9 telling the truth, we now know that, don't we?
10 A. Well, I don't know that, your Honour. I don't know
11 what Farrell said. I can only say, your Honour, on my
12 oath, what my memory is. Now, if he says he said it,
13 there's a number of other aspects of that conversation and
14 that letter that make no sense to me.
15
16 Q. No, but Farrell was telling the truth, wasn't he?
17 A. I don't know whether he was telling the truth or not.
18
19 MR SKINNER: May I object? That's a conclusion. I know
20 your Honour is able to draw conclusions, but others,
21 including a Federal Court judge, have drawn different
22 conclusions. There are other possibilities and, with
23 respect, it is difficult for my client to agree or not. It
24 is a matter for your Honour really.
25
26 THE CHAIR: We don't want to go too far into it, but
27 I hear what you say and I've heard what's said. Thank you.
28
29 MR SKINNER: May it please the Commission.
30
31 MS FURNESS: Q. As you would understand, Father, there
32 was a very recent court case and sentencing - do you
33 understand that?
34 A. Yes.
35
36 Q. In relation to Farrell, and the sentencing remarks
37 indicate a significant degree of detail as to what Farrell
38 either admitted to or was convicted of?
39 A. I wouldn't dispute that he did what he - is said in
40 that letter.
41
42 Q. Thank you.
43 A. All I'm saying is --
44
45 THE CHAIR: Q. That was the question I was asking you.
46 A. I'm sorry, your Honour, I misunderstood. I did not
47 hear him say that at that meeting, and the idea that he

1 would begin with admissions and then we would go on with
2 all of the other discussion that's in Wayne Peters' letter
3 is something that's quite contrary to what I would have
4 expected the practice of that meeting to be. If he came
5 in, after a few minutes, with those explicit admissions as
6 are described in that letter, virtually that would have
7 been the end of the meeting.

8
9 Q. Father Lucas, is it your position that
10 Monsignor Peters just made it all up?

11 A. I don't have any explanation, as I said yesterday,
12 your Honour. I cannot make sense of that letter in the
13 context of the way that meeting and those sorts of meetings
14 are conducted. Now, whether - my first intuition was that
15 he got information from somewhere else. I can't explain
16 it.

17
18 Q. Do you accept that we have to test what you say was
19 the true position against the record made at the time?

20 A. I understand that. As I said, your Honour, yesterday,
21 if it was simply a case of the letter and my memory,
22 I would concede that my memory was obviously faulty. But
23 the letter in the context of the meeting, and the fact that
24 we had two more meetings - why would we need two more
25 meetings when we'd got exactly what we wanted in the first
26 15 minutes of this meeting - that makes no sense to me.

27
28 Q. Because you were trying to get him out of the church,
29 but that's a different issue.

30 A. If he had said what he said and I'd remembered him
31 saying that, we would have got him out of church; that
32 would have been the end of it.

33
34 Q. I have to tell you, Father Lucas, that we will have to
35 consider, Justice Coate and I, the contemporaneous record
36 against what you say is a complete absence of a discussion
37 of that matter. Do you understand that?

38 A. I understand that, your Honour, but I can only, on my
39 oath, tell you what I remember.

40
41 Q. Well, you understand what I'm saying to you, though?

42 A. I certainly do and I fully appreciate that point.

43
44 MS FURNESS: Q. Father, you understood that
45 Bishop Manning had removed this man's faculties before the
46 meeting?

47 A. Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. You understood that it was his unequivocal view that Farrell would not come back into a ministry; you understood that?

A. No, he was wanting that to be tested, as I understood; that was the purpose of the meeting.

Q. So you didn't have an understanding that before September 1992, Bishop Manning was of the firm view that this man would never come back?

A. I think he - certainly that was his hope, but I think he wanted that tested and that was the purpose of the meeting, to test that and to give him some further security about his way forward.

Q. When you say "to test that", to test what? What were you testing?

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

A. By the very fact that from the conversation my recollection is we formed an impression, from either what he said or my surmising from what he said, that something had happened with that young man, not what had been alleged happened, but that something else had happened.

Q. But the unsafe-ness went to do with [REDACTED] ?

A. No, it is whether or not he did something by way of misconduct with that young man that would give us the firm basis to demand his resignation.

Q. You already had, by 1992, in September, a complaint by a young woman which you had accepted; is that right?

A. Yes. Yes.

Q. We also had a discussion between Bishop Manning and Farrell where he referred to various matters about his own conduct. Perhaps we can have that up. That's tab 161. You would have read this document, I take it, Father?

A. Yes.

Q. It is dated a couple of days before your interview with Farrell?

A. Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. It appears, from the first paragraph, to be an opportunity to discuss with Farrell the letter which I've already taken you to that Bishop Manning provided to him about your meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. He sets out John's, as he describes it, "response". He, in paragraph 4, refers to:

He claimed complete innocence re charge brought against him ...

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Then he says:

Who could have charged him on another count.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. That is, I suggest to you, an admission that there was another incident of criminal behaviour by Farrell against this young man?

A. Yes.

Q. That was known to Bishop Manning --

A. Yes.

Q. -- at 1 September, and it indicates a preparedness by Farrell to say something like that, doesn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. If we go down to the next paragraph:

He referred to three other incidents which could have brought him "fourteen years apiece".

Stopping there, you understood when you read this, I take it, that the "fourteen years apiece" was probably in relation to a sentence that he could expect in relation to three other incidents?

A. Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. Bishop Manning made the decision not to question him about these. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. So we don't know whether or not Farrell would have said more about those incidents, because he wasn't given the opportunity, according to this note, was he?

A. Yes. Or, alternatively, that Bishop Manning didn't believe him.

Q. What he said is, "I didn't question him about these."

A. Yes.

Q. Do you see that? So he made a decision, according to his own note, not to question him?

A. Yes.

Q. Therefore, it cannot be known whether or not Farrell would have said more?

A. Yes.

Q. And it certainly can't be concluded that he would not have said more?

A. True.

Q. He then in paragraph 6 says:

Referred to two alliances with women which he claimed proved his complete heterosexuality.

A. Yes.

Q. Now, that, while not being a criminal offence, clearly was, in clerical terms, against his vows?

A. Yes.

Q. And, therefore, misconduct in a church sense?

A. Yes.

Q. And then in the next paragraph he is expressing fear at the possibility of laicisation and then in quotations:

"Those protecting the Church" would charge him.

1 Stopping there, it seems what he is saying is that if he
2 was laicised he's more likely to be charged than if he
3 wasn't; is that how you read it?

4 A. Yes.

5

6 Q. Because he thought in some way that the cloak of being
7 part of the clergy would prevent Catholics, who may be the
8 parents or the young men he had abused, from charging him
9 and, therefore, bringing the church into some scandal?

10 A. Yes, I would have thought the exact opposite, that
11 while they see him still functioning as a priest they'd be
12 much more likely and willing to make further complaints,
13 and I think that's what I put to him in the meeting.

14

15 Q. We don't know one way or the other: this is his
16 surmise and you've just given us your surmise?

17 A. Yes.

18

19 Q. Again, you've got Bishop Manning saying:

20

21 *I refrained from pursuing this, but*
22 *I wondered afterwards if he had grounds for*
23 *the fear.*

24

25 A. Yes.

26

27 Q. The next dot point says:

28

29 *Related the one verbal reference to the*
30 *incident at Merrylands for which Bp Heather*
31 *had sacked him.*

32

33 There is a phrase in parentheses above it saying

34

35 *(Claims it was the only grounds on which*
36 *his appointment was withdrawn.)*

37

38 It can be taken from that, can't it, that he has admitted,
39 effectively, in that conversation, to what happened at
40 Parramatta in terms of the language used with the altar
41 servers?

42 A. Yes.

43

44 Q. He had, indeed, on a previous occasion, in relation to
45 an earlier note, admitted that conduct?

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. So by two days before the meeting with you, Farrell
2 had shown a preparedness to admit to a range of what was
3 clearly criminal conduct in relation to the "fourteen years
4 apiece"; is that right?
5 A. On the assumption that that's what was understood, to
6 him to be telling the truth. I don't know what - whether
7 that was him winding the Bishop up or what the
8 circumstances were, but --
9
10 Q. Well, it was against his interests to say that, wasn't
11 it?
12 A. Well, that was the point - why he would do that and
13 then persist in his denials.
14
15 Q. He did do it in relation to this meeting in accordance
16 with this note, didn't he?
17 A. Well, that's if the note is an accurate understanding
18 of what happened between the two of them.
19
20 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Bishop Manning
21 would do anything other than accurately and honestly record
22 the conversation?
23 A. No, I'm sure he accurately and honestly recorded it,
24 but I'm not sure what he meant by, "I didn't question him
25 any further" - that's what is a puzzlement to me: why he
26 didn't call off the meeting with us, having got exactly
27 what he wanted from us. I don't understand that.
28
29 Q. Well, Bishop --
30 A. There would be no reason for us to have to meet with
31 us any further if Bishop Manning had from him, as his
32 Bishop, those explicit admissions. I can't understand or
33 explain that.
34
35 Q. Well, you have the case where he has withdrawn his
36 faculties, haven't you?
37 A. Yes.
38
39 Q. That was the only thing that was available to the
40 Bishop to do himself?
41 A. Oh no, he could have immediately begun a laicisation
42 process.
43
44 Q. Leaving initiating a process, in terms of taking
45 action that had immediate effect on whether this person was
46 a priest, it was withdrawing his faculties, wasn't it?
47 A. No, no, it's one step further than that: he suspends

1 him; he puts out a decree of suspension.
2
3 Q. Effectively, this man was told he couldn't act as a
4 priest?
5 A. Yes, correct.
6
7 Q. So what he did was what he could do immediately to
8 remove him from being associated with being a priest in the
9 public eye?
10 A. Yes.
11
12 Q. Is that right? The next step, as you say, was
13 laicisation and to begin what is known to be a lengthy
14 process; is that right?
15 A. Well, there's - yes.
16
17 THE CHAIR: Q. We've heard evidence many times that it
18 was, at this stage, anyway, virtually impossible, absent
19 the consent of the priest?
20 A. That's correct, depending on the circumstances. We
21 understand that.
22
23 Q. So the reality facing the Bishop was, if he was to be
24 removed from the church, he had to be persuaded to agree?
25 A. No, no, the Bishop could put a decree of suspension on
26 him.
27
28 Q. No, but to remove --
29 A. To laicise him.
30
31 Q. -- to revert him to the lay state --
32 A. Yes.
33
34 Q. -- he effectively had to consent to it?
35 A. It would have been very difficult to have had a
36 canonical trial.
37
38 MS FURNESS: Q. So it is the case, isn't it, that it was
39 your area that the bishops were looking to to assist in
40 moving a priest into the process of acceptance and,
41 therefore, out of the church?
42 A. I would have thought if the Bishop had --
43
44 Q. No, no, no. Let me put the question and I would like
45 you to answer it, Father: it is the case, isn't it, that
46 the work you did was to assist the Bishop in moving a
47 priest out and towards the path to laicisation?

1 A. That was sometimes the purpose. There were other
2 purposes, but sometimes that was the purpose, but you
3 wouldn't have needed that action if the Bishop already had
4 the information that he was wanting us to gather.

5

6 Q. Well, isn't it the case that in order to move to the
7 step of having him agree to either move towards laicisation
8 or in some way leave the church, he needed to be persuaded
9 as to that?

10 A. And that would have been the brief. That's what
11 puzzles me, that Bishop Manning didn't say, "I've got
12 admissions out of him. I want you to persuade him to be
13 laicised." That's what puzzles me.

14

15 THE CHAIR: Q. Father Lucas, I'm puzzled. You've told us
16 previously, and you tell us now, that your role was to talk
17 to priests and get them removed from the church by agreeing
18 to go?

19 A. Yes.

20

21 Q. Isn't that the reason the Bishop called you in?

22 A. But the Bishop would brief you on the information that
23 he had so that you'd know where the beginning was, and
24 instead of having three meetings, we'd have had a very
25 brief meeting because the Bishop's already got the
26 admission, apparently, and he rings up and he says, "Look,
27 he's already admitted to me that he's done this, this and
28 this, and I want to you explain the laicisation." It would
29 have been a very different meeting. And that's the same
30 puzzlement I have with respect to Wayne Peters' letter: it
31 would have been a very different meeting in the light of
32 that information.

33

34 Q. But your purpose in the meeting was to get Farrell to
35 agree to being laicised?

36 A. But you do that on the basis of some information that
37 you have.

38

39 Q. Perhaps, but until the man says "yes", you haven't
40 fulfilled the task given to you by the Bishop, have you?

41 A. Well, he'll say "yes" very easily in the light of the
42 brief the Bishop's given. That's my puzzlement.

43

44 Q. Well, he may or may not. He plainly, having regard to
45 the Bishop's note, accepted that he'd done wrong but was
46 very concerned about being laicised?

47 A. I understand that, but when a person makes the

1 admission, such as is alleged, he'll end up in the whole
2 area of contrition and remorse and the drama of that, none
3 of which happened in this case.

4
5 THE CHAIR: Well, yes, all right.

6
7 MS FURNESS: Q. You say that he'll end up in the whole
8 area of contrition and remorse and the drama of that, and
9 I think that's a phrase you've used previously; is that
10 right?

11 A. Yes.

12
13 Q. In relation to Farrell, had you been in a position of
14 receiving an admission from him in the past?

15 A. No.

16
17 Q. And so your reference to your expectation was based on
18 your experience with other priests?

19 A. Yes.

20
21 Q. Not with Father Farrell?

22 A. Yes.

23
24 Q. We will come to the detail of these letters, but it is
25 clear that he was reluctant to accept what was put to him
26 in terms of a plan to get out?

27 A. Yes.

28
29 Q. And the subsequent meetings were in order to persuade
30 him that that was the best course of action for him?

31 A. Yes.

32
33 Q. In order to persuade him as to that, whether or not
34 he'd made admissions to you, that process was still
35 necessary, wasn't it?

36 A. No, the process - if he'd have made those admissions,
37 what I'm calling "the five-year plan" would have been
38 ridiculous. We had the admission of very, very serious
39 conduct that would have grounded the suspension by the
40 Bishop.

41
42 Q. When you say "the suspension by the Bishop", what
43 would be the effect of a suspension?

44 A. That he would be completely removed from every public
45 ministry.

46
47 Q. Wasn't that the effect, anyway - he was removed from

1 public ministry?

2 A. Yes.

3

4 Q. So, in effect, the Bishop had gone as far as he could.
5 He needed you, because that's what you did, to move him
6 further towards the laicisation path, which did you via a
7 plan?

8 A. Yes.

9

10 Q. Just putting to one side this document and coming back
11 to the meeting that you didn't participate in on 4 July --

12 A. Sorry, which?

13

14 Q. This is tab 285 that we had before. Perhaps if we can
15 turn to the last page. In the top paragraph, paragraph 23,
16 Monsignor Peters is recorded as saying that Father Farrell
17 referred to the boys by reference to the number of boys,
18 rather than names. Do you see that?

19 A. Yes.

20

21 Q. What he's recorded as saying is:

22

23 *... no specifics were given so as not to*
24 *incriminate himself --*

25

26 That's by reference to the admissions that were made, as
27 recorded in Monsignor Peters' letter, with that account
28 that the names weren't given of the boys?

29 A. Yes.

30

31 Q. He also says:

32

33 *... no names were provided so no one could*
34 *contact any victims.*

35

36 Was that part of what you thought was an outcome of the
37 meeting, contacting victims?

38 A. Well, that could have been, and one of my regrets is
39 that we should have been much more proactive to follow up
40 on that.

41

42 Q. In paragraph 27 there's reference to:

43

44 *In consultation with [you] (who gave him a*
45 *"form of words"), [Monsignor] Peters*
46 *responded that [Father Farrell] had said*
47 *"nothing specific in a legal sense" that*

1 *could be reported to the police.*

2

3 That's a reference to words similar to the ones that you
4 initially used?

5 A. Yes.

6

7 Q. Did you tell him those words in order for him to use
8 them?

9 A. Well, that was the conversation we had about that -
10 that email.

11

12 Q. And that was the conversation that ended up being
13 reported as "no admissions"?

14 A. Yes. No, I'm sorry, I'm confused, I missed the
15 question.

16

17 Q. I am sorry, let me go back. There were a number of
18 conversations the three of you had on 31 May?

19 A. Yes, and subsequently, yes.

20

21 Q. As a result of that, you understood there was a common
22 agreement as to the form of words?

23 A. Yes.

24

25 Q. And then Father Usher went forward with what he
26 considered to be the common agreement, which was "no
27 admissions"?

28 A. Yes.

29

30 Q. And what you have subsequently said is that
31 Father Usher must have misunderstood you?

32 A. Yes.

33

34 Q. Because your words were "no specific admissions" or
35 something similar to that?

36 A. That's right.

37

38 Q. And then now what Monsignor Peters is saying is that
39 you gave him those words as well?

40 A. Yes.

41

42 Q. So we're in the position where the two of you were of
43 the view that that was said, and yet, Father Usher was off
44 to the Cardinal with a different form of words on the basis
45 that the three of you agreed?

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. And then in paragraph 28 Monsignor Peters is recorded
2 as saying he stands by the letter?
3 A. Yes.
4
5 Q. Do you see that?
6 A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Could we turn to tab 286. This is, I think, the same
9 day that the previous meeting was held?
10 A. Yes.
11
12 Q. Now you're present?
13 A. Well, this was on the phone in the back of a car on
14 the way to the airport.
15
16 Q. So it is the same participants except you're there and
17 Father Peters isn't there?
18 A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And you understood the purpose was the same, this time
21 to discuss your recollection?
22 A. Yes, my expectation was that I would have been part of
23 the one conversation, but they rang me separately.
24
25 Q. Does it surprise you, Father, that in order to
26 understand a recollection of three people at a meeting, in
27 circumstances where to date it seemed that there was a
28 different recollection, to have all of these people
29 present, including two of the participants at the same
30 time, was not designed to obtain merely the honest account
31 or recollection of one of those who was there?
32 A. That could well be.
33
34 Q. When you say "That could well be" --
35 A. I'm not sure what was in the mind of all those other
36 people.
37
38 Q. In terms of what was in your mind at this meeting, did
39 you think this was an appropriate way to go about
40 understanding what each of you thought had occurred at the
41 meeting?
42 A. I wouldn't have done it that way at all.
43
44 Q. When you say you wouldn't have done it that way, how
45 would you have done it that is different from the way in
46 which it was done?
47 A. Well, each person gives their recollection.

1
2 Q. Separately?
3 A. Yes.
4
5 Q. But that's not what you did at the beginning?
6 A. No, that's true.
7
8 Q. You put all your heads together as soon as you got
9 email?
10 A. Yes. And if we're now in a situation where there
11 obviously is conflict about all of that, that needs to be
12 revisited.
13
14 Q. Well, there was conflict from the first meeting, by
15 the sounds of it?
16 A. Which first meeting?
17
18 Q. The first meeting or discussion between the three of
19 you where you said "nothing specific"; it seems like
20 Monsignor Peters thought that; and Father Usher thought "no
21 firm admissions"?
22 A. Well, he agreed with the statement, so - the first
23 statement was sent to both of them to agree with and both
24 agreed with it.
25
26 Q. Prior to that, I think he'd written the note to the
27 Cardinal, had he?
28 A. He did, but I had no knowledge of that note.
29
30 Q. So would you accept now that, from the beginning, the
31 process was one that certainly didn't result in an honest
32 recollection being gained and provided publicly?
33 A. I don't think I would agree with that. I think there
34 was every attempt to be honest.
35
36 Q. Albeit honest in a way that each of you ultimately
37 shared same view?
38 A. Well, to try to come to - this is trying to recall a
39 meeting 20 years before.
40
41 Q. But trying to come to common ground is not necessarily
42 consistent with each coming to an honest view, is it?
43 A. Well, it is testing each other's recollection.
44
45 Q. But is it testing each others's recollection if, in
46 fact, the goal is a common view? Isn't it, more,
47 manipulating each recollection in order that each of you

1 were prepared to say something that the others were
2 prepared to say? It is just an exercise in manipulation,
3 isn't it?
4 A. I wouldn't agree with that.
5
6 Q. Some would say it's an exercise in spin; what do you
7 say to that?
8 A. I don't agree with that.
9
10 Q. Coming back to this meeting, as you say, you were on
11 the telephone, and there's a record below of what you said.
12 Having read this recently, I take it that you have a view
13 whether or not this is accurate as to what you said?
14 A. Well, it's hard for me to remember. I'm in the back
15 seat of a car on the way to the airport. Some of it rings
16 a bell with me; some of it I don't recall.
17
18 Q. You don't doubt that it is honestly recorded?
19 A. I don't know.
20
21 Q. In paragraph 3, firstly, you say:
22
23 *No-one has said no admissions were made.*
24
25 That's not quite true, is it? Father Usher said no
26 admissions were made?
27 A. Well, I think the "no-one" was me, as I see it -
28 I had never said that no admissions were made.
29
30 Q. I see, so that should be read as you had not said no
31 admissions were made?
32 A. I think that's right
33
34 Q. And you asked those present to carefully review the
35 text of your email to Four Corners which said "no
36 admissions of a specific nature were made". Do you see
37 that?
38 A. Yes.
39
40 Q. And the careful review was necessary because you had
41 been very careful, I take it, in the words you used?
42 A. Yes.
43
44 Q. And then when asked what type of admissions were made,
45 you said "He was vague and all over the place. He was
46 ranting."
47 A. That's my recollection of the tone of the meeting,

1 yes.
2
3 Q. Well, you were asked specifically about what type of
4 admissions were made, and that's recorded as your answer to
5 that question. Do you see that?
6 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand.
7
8 Q. "When asked what type of admissions were made, he" -
9 that's you - "added: 'He was vague and all over the place.
10 He was ranting'." Do you see that?
11 A. Well, I don't recall that question being put to me,
12 but I do recall saying something along the lines that he
13 was vague and all over the place.
14
15 Q. Because to this Royal Commission you have answered
16 that question of what admissions were made, if they weren't
17 specific, and you've provided one admission --
18 A. Yes.
19
20 Q. -- in relation to a surmise that you made --
21 A. Yes.
22
23 Q. -- that something had happened with a boy?
24 A. Yes.
25
26 Q. Is that right?
27 A. Yes.
28
29 Q. You say here, "He was vague and all over the place.
30 He was ranting." That's something that you came to the
31 view of at the very beginning of having received the
32 communication from Four Corners; isn't that right?
33 A. That was my recollection of the meeting.
34
35 Q. And your recollection hadn't changed in the subsequent
36 five or six weeks or so; is that right?
37 A. No. And this is a tracked change, so I'm not quite
38 sure what precisely I said.
39
40 Q. That's why I asked you, Father, whether you accepted
41 this as an account of what you said?
42 A. Well, whether I said, "He was all over the place", or
43 whether I said, "He was vague and all over the place"
44 I don't specifically remember now, but it looks like
45 someone's made a tracked change.
46
47 THE CHAIR: Q. That a tracked change or just an

1 emphasis?
2 A. On the side, your Honour, there's a line down
3 which suggests a tracked change.
4
5 THE CHAIR: I see what you mean.
6
7 MS FURNESS: Q. Did you see this document after it was
8 created?
9 A. Never.
10
11 Q. So you've only seen this for the purpose of reading it
12 for this?
13 A. Yes.
14
15 Q. Having read it, is there anything else that you can
16 tell us that you think either you didn't say or you don't
17 recollect saying?
18 A. I certainly would have said I took no notes of the
19 meeting. It was true I had no recollection of discussing
20 any question of referring to the police. "He confirmed
21 that he had no input into Monsignor Peters' letter" - I've
22 never seen the letter, the letter doesn't accord with the
23 meeting and I have no recollection of Farrell saying what
24 was in the letter.
25
26 Q. When you are quoted as saying, "We tried to get him
27 talking to see whether he would say something that would
28 enable us to suspend him", in fact, as you've said, what
29 the Bishop had done was effectively suspend him?
30 A. Well, it's to take that what might have been a
31 temporary suspension by the Bishop to a permanent level,
32 which is what puzzles me, because if the Bishop had had
33 that explicit admission he wouldn't have needed to have us
34 do anything about that.
35
36 Q. Well, he did have the explicit discussion, if his
37 file note is accepted, and he did want you to do something
38 about it. Do you understand that?
39 A. Well, I don't recall him mentioning, by 3 September,
40 that conversation of 1 September.
41
42 Q. Even if he didn't mention it to you, Father, if one
43 accepts that file note is accurate, he knew of it and still
44 wanted you to go ahead and meet with Farrell with a view to
45 getting rid of him?
46 A. Yes.
47

1 Q. So your puzzlement is one thing, but, in fact, if one
2 accepts that file note, he had that information and he
3 still wanted you to go ahead, didn't he?
4 A. Yes.
5
6 Q. You then referred to Farrell as being "evasive" and
7 "all over the place" and you "wondered whether it was
8 truthful" - what was truthful?
9 A. What was allegedly said, but I don't recall that.
10
11 Q. What did he say that there was a doubt as to whether
12 he was being truthful or not?
13 A. I don't recall, at that point, directing my mind to
14 what was in the letter had been said by Farrell but not
15 been truthful. My recollection was that I hadn't heard him
16 say what was in that letter.
17
18 Q. Just turning over the page, in paragraph 8 you're
19 reported as saying that you'd never spoken to any victim of
20 Farrell. That's not right, is it?
21 A. No, I wouldn't have said that because I'd obviously
22 had the conversation with the young lady.
23
24 Q. It is in quotes but it's not something you said?
25 A. I don't believe I would have.
26
27 Q. And then at paragraph 9 you went on to say you "would
28 talk to Monsignor Peters about the letter and the need for
29 him to include that he had embellished it." Now, stopping
30 there, that reads as though you were going to tell Peters
31 what to do, in terms of saying something inconsistent with
32 the letter he'd written 20 years ago?
33 A. Yes, I wouldn't have said that. I'd had in my mind
34 I wanted to talk to Peters to ask him for an explanation.
35 At that stage, one of my hypotheses was had he embellished
36 it, but it would have been for Peters to explain that or
37 give some other explanation. I certainly wouldn't have had
38 in my mind that I would be telling him to do anything other
39 than what was, in his mind, the truth of the matter.
40
41 Q. What you've said is entirely inconsistent with that
42 note; do you accept that?
43 A. I do, absolutely.
44
45 Q. So you didn't consider that you were, among the three
46 of you, the person primarily responsible for finding common
47 ground?

1 A. Not any longer.
2
3 Q. Why not any longer?
4 A. Well, Wayne Peters had obviously misled us. He'd had
5 this letter; he'd never disclosed the letter to us; he'd
6 agreed with the form of words; he'd never cavilled with
7 that to say, "Look, that's not right; I've got this letter
8 with what I said." He never said that. That's, again,
9 part of my puzzlement.
10
11 Q. Hasn't he said that he forgot?
12 A. That might have been what he said, but I can't
13 understand how you could forget.
14
15 Q. Twenty years?
16 A. No, but he'd - from what we now know, he had directed
17 his mind to the matter on other occasions during that
18 20 years.
19
20 Q. So you don't accept that he forgot and he only
21 recalled it when either Four Corners or Broken Rites was
22 brought to his attention - you don't accept that?
23 A. Well, that might have been the case but that doesn't
24 seem so plausible. I don't know.
25
26 Q. So your memory was sufficient that after 20 years you
27 could remember that no specific admissions were made, and
28 you can remember the admission you say was made?
29 A. Yes.
30
31 Q. Is that right? And his memory was not as good as
32 yours and he was unable to remember that a letter had been
33 written, and you don't accept that?
34 A. Well, it was his letter and he wrote it. That's my
35 puzzlement.
36
37 Q. When you say "puzzlement", is it the case that you
38 don't accept that he could not have remembered it?
39 A. I mean, I'm not doubting his honesty, but I'm saying
40 to me that's a puzzlement, that he could have written such
41 a letter and never brought it to our attention, and I have
42 some recollection, from what we understand subsequently,
43 that he, in fact, had gone to Armidale to retrieve the
44 file.
45
46 Q. I'm not sure what you're saying about
47 Monsignor Peters.

1 A. I'm saying I am puzzled that he claims not to have
2 remembered such a letter.
3
4 Q. And you don't accept his claim?
5 A. Well, I --
6
7 Q. Do you or not?
8 A. That's not something that I can really comment on
9 other than to say it doesn't accord with what I would have
10 thought would have been the situation.
11
12 THE CHAIR: Q. The letter got into the public domain
13 through a mechanism that none of you would have expected,
14 didn't it?
15 A. Certainly.
16
17 Q. In fact, I think it turned up in some documents
18 associated with litigation?
19 A. Yes.
20
21 Q. If that hadn't have happened, the public may never
22 have known about the existence of the letter, might they?
23 A. That would be the case, yes.
24
25 Q. You told us yesterday that Peters rang you in the
26 middle of the night?
27 A. Yes.
28
29 Q. Very concerned that the ABC had got the letter?
30 A. Yes.
31
32 Q. I take it his concern was that the letter contradicted
33 the statements that you'd all agreed should be made to the
34 press?
35 A. Precisely.
36
37 Q. I take it he was concerned that the letter, in fact,
38 revealed the true position but your statements hadn't?
39 A. I don't know whether that's the conclusion or not.
40
41 Q. It sounds like it would be the conclusion, doesn't it?
42 A. Well, as I said, your Honour, if it was only the
43 letter and my memory, I'd concede that my memory was
44 obviously faulty, but I can't explain that letter in the
45 context of all of the other information and all of the
46 circumstances. It makes no sense to me at all that
47 Farrell, a few minutes into a meeting, would have made

1 explicit admissions, such as are described, number one,
2 that I wouldn't have remembered it, and that we would have
3 conducted the meeting the way we did; because all of the
4 rest of the material in that Peters' letter is generally
5 the material that you use to lead into someone making a
6 disclosure. It doesn't come after the disclosure, it's
7 what you need to do to get to the disclosure. That makes
8 no sense to me.

9
10 Q. This meeting didn't go like some others, but
11 Monsignor Peters wrote a letter recording what happened,
12 didn't he?

13 A. Well, he did, and I can't explain that letter.

14
15 MS FURNESS: Q. What you were seeking to do, as recorded
16 in paragraph 9, was to get Peters to say publicly something
17 that would minimise the intent of that letter in order for
18 you all to get off the hook and not be thought of to cover
19 up what happened in 1992?

20 A. Well, I don't accept that that was the import of what
21 I was saying. It may have been the conclusion of the
22 person who drafted the note. My expectation was to be able
23 to talk to Peters at some point in time, find out what his
24 explanation was. My theory was had he embellished it or
25 had he got information from somewhere else? I was looking
26 for an opportunity to talk to him. I thought I would have
27 had the opportunity when they asked me to be available for
28 a meeting with him and they didn't give me that
29 opportunity, so I said I would talk to him at some other
30 time, but I had nothing in my mind about him then making
31 some false statement. I wouldn't have asked him to do
32 that.

33
34 Q. You wanted the opportunity to persuade him that what
35 he'd said in the letter was wrong in the sense that it
36 wasn't embellished?

37 A. I wouldn't agree - with respect, I wouldn't agree with
38 the words an "opportunity to persuade him" of anything.
39 I wanted to talk to him to see what his explanation was.

40
41 Q. The whole point of getting your heads together was, as
42 you said, to come to a common ground, which meant some of
43 you needed to be persuaded to a position which was common,
44 from a position they held which may not have been common?

45 A. No, that was --

46
47 Q. It makes sense, doesn't it?

1 A. No, that --
2
3 Q. It doesn't make sense to you? And it is not
4 consistent with what you said earlier yesterday in relation
5 to the purpose of putting your heads together after you
6 received the email from Four Corners?
7 A. The ground had changed quite significantly from the
8 first opportunity when we were trying to test our
9 recollections to see just what did happen at that meeting,
10 to a situation where there's now quite a dramatically
11 different version of that.
12
13 Q. As at 4 July, you clearly wanted to clear up what was
14 in the public domain?
15 A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And you wanted there to be one version in the public
18 domain?
19 A. Not necessarily. It depended on what the outcome was.
20 Maybe we had to, depending on what came out of this,
21 indicate that there were conflicting views.
22
23 Q. And you wanted to get Peters off the hook, in the
24 sense of have him withdraw or in some way walk away from
25 part of his letter?
26 A. No, that was never my intention.
27
28 Q. Because if he walked away from the letter, then it
29 could be massaged that you and Father Usher didn't have a
30 completely different and, indeed, opposite view?
31 A. That was - my intention was to find out from him what
32 the explanation of the letter was.
33
34 Q. By this stage, you had provided Father Peters with the
35 form of words that he wanted in order to respond to what he
36 then thought or knew he had written in the letter?
37 A. Yes.
38
39 Q. Is that right? What you say here - this is back to
40 paragraph 3 - about being vague and all over the place and
41 being ranting is quite inconsistent, isn't it, with the
42 words that you ultimately gave Peters earlier?
43 A. Yes, I didn't direct my mind to that from what he
44 spoke to me about.
45
46 Q. Just before I leave that file note, were you on good
47 terms with others in the meeting, leaving aside

1 Father Usher?
2 A. Look, some I knew; I'd never - I think I'd never ever
3 spoken to the solicitor from Corrs Chambers Westgarth.
4 I'd had very fleeting dealings with Jennifer Cook; I knew
5 Katrina Lee in different circumstances on and off over many
6 years. John Usher and I were very close friends.
7 Michael Casey I worked with for nine months when I was with
8 Cardinal Pell, I was on terms with him. Danny Casey and
9 I were on good terms personally but we had quite different
10 opinions about various things professionally.
11
12 Q. From your knowledge of each of those persons, I take
13 it that your expectation was that what they recorded was
14 faithfully recorded in order to resolve the position that
15 the church had found itself in whereby there were differing
16 views publicly about a meeting in 1992 which was being
17 described as a cover-up?
18 A. Oh, I can't comment on that. I don't know what was in
19 their minds. I think what was in their minds, obviously,
20 was to somehow resolve the fact that public statements had
21 been made by Cardinal Pell, for whom each of them worked,
22 and that needed to be resolved. That was my understanding.
23
24 Q. I didn't ask you what was in their minds. I asked
25 you, from your knowledge of each of those persons, whether
26 it was your expectation that they recorded faithfully what
27 was said?
28 A. I'm not sure how precise that was.
29
30 Q. But what was your expectation? Was it your
31 expectation, going into this meeting, that they would do
32 the right thing or not?
33 A. Well, one would have assumed and hoped for that.
34
35 Q. Can I turn your attention to tab 289. This appears to
36 be a draft of a media release by you. Am I right in
37 thinking that?
38 A. Yes.
39
40 Q. This was a media release that you were issuing, you
41 proposed to issue, in your own name?
42 A. Yes.
43
44 Q. After the meeting with those we've just discussed?
45 A. Yes.
46
47 Q. Why did you want to issue your own media release?

1 A. Because the archdiocese had been issuing media
2 releases in which I'd had no input. I didn't necessarily
3 agree with the tenor of it. But I was coming back,
4 overseas, expecting that when I arrived back there would be
5 media inquiries, so I formulated a draft which I sent to
6 John Usher and Wayne Peters, of what I was intending to
7 say. I was told by one or other of the people on the
8 telephone that anything I said had to be vetted by them,
9 which surprised me, so I then sent a slightly modified
10 version of this to them and then a slightly modified
11 version was the one I finally released late that day.
12

13 Q. Where were you at odds with the archdiocese?

14 A. I'd have to look at the precise wording of the press
15 release.
16

17 Q. No, you said because the archdiocese had been issuing
18 media releases in which you'd had no input and you didn't
19 necessarily agree with the tenor of - where did you --

20 A. I didn't know at that stage. I was on an aeroplane
21 when they were releasing their press releases.
22

23 Q. But you said you didn't agree with the tenor of it.
24 What was it you disagreed with?

25 A. Perhaps I didn't say that clearly.
26

27 Q. That's what you said?

28 A. I'm sorry, I may have been less precise than I try to
29 be.
30

31 Q. What did you disagree with?

32 A. My understanding was - I was on an aeroplane for
33 14 hours. I knew they were going to issue a press release
34 in which I had had no input. When I came back I needed to
35 see whether I needed to say something myself, particularly
36 in the event that what had been put out was not something
37 that was necessarily - or the tenor of it was not something
38 I necessarily would have said in my own words.
39

40 Q. So what was it you disagreed with?

41 A. I'd have to now look at that press release.
42

43 Q. I'm sorry?

44 A. I'd have to look now at what that press release was.
45

46 Q. We'll find that press release for you. It is at
47 tab 283. I took you to this yesterday and you agreed with

1 me that there are various inaccuracies in it?

2 A. I'm sorry, yes.

3

4 Q. Other than what we talked about yesterday, is there
5 any other --

6 A. I think the thing that jumped out at me when
7 I eventually saw it, when I got back, were the "notes of
8 the meeting held" - I didn't know what that was, and twice
9 that day I sent emails asking for a copy of what they were
10 talking about.

11

12 Q. That doesn't go to the tenor of the statement, it just
13 goes to one fact that you weren't aware of. Is that all?

14 A. Well, I think the things we spoke about yesterday,
15 those inaccuracies, but this was not something I had any
16 input into.

17

18 Q. Coming back to your draft media release at 289,
19 perhaps you can help us with the paragraph beginning "In
20 the light of the first response"? I'm not sure what you
21 meant by that?

22 A. That was a separate email from Mary Ann Jolley where
23 she said were there any admissions that needed to be
24 referred to the police, and the "no" referred to that
25 second qualifying part, the things that needed to be
26 referred to the police. What I meant by that was, in my
27 understanding, we'd had some form of acknowledgment, or
28 whatever, about [REDACTED], and since the police
29 already knew about that, that was not something that needed
30 to be reported to the police.

31

32 Q. Perhaps I'll come back to that. We'll find those
33 emails to make sense of that. Just continuing on, you then
34 refer to the "best recollection of the meeting" and that:

35

36 *... the person's acknowledgment of*
37 *wrongdoing was vague and generalised and he*
38 *was cautious in not incriminating himself*
39 *by naming any victims.*

40

41 I think that's the first time you've referred to his
42 acknowledgment of wrongdoing?

43 A. Well, as I said, whether it was precisely his words
44 for my summation, I certainly had a view that he had
45 acknowledged wrongdoing with respect to the man who had
46 prosecuted him.

47

1 Q. I think the admission you've referred to was that you
2 surmised from something he'd said that he'd done something;
3 is that an acknowledgment of wrongdoing?
4 A. Well, he didn't - of course it was wrongdoing, what he
5 surmised he - what I surmised he did. There was some other
6 activity with that young man, different from what he was
7 charged with, that in my mind amounted to wrongdoing. That
8 was my memory.
9
10 Q. So he acknowledged to you wrongdoing?
11 A. Well, as I said, I can't be precise as to whether it
12 was his words or my surmising from his words, but that was
13 my conclusion.
14
15 Q. So "acknowledgment" probably isn't the best word to
16 use?
17 A. Probably.
18
19 Q. Then you say, "he was cautious in not incriminating
20 himself". So you recalled some 20 years earlier not just
21 that he didn't incriminate himself but, in fact, he was
22 cautious in doing it, therefore, he had some mindset about
23 what he was doing. Is that --
24 A. Well, that was my recollection, that he, in referring
25 to these other people, was of a view that they were all
26 making up lies about him; that he wasn't going to make any
27 specific agreement that what was being alleged was
28 something he had done. And there were certainly no names
29 mentioned, other than, of course, the name that we knew
30 from the court case.
31
32 Q. If he was vague and generalised, what would be the
33 circumstances in which he would make mention of any names?
34 A. That's precisely point I was making.
35
36 Q. You say that "he was cautious in not incriminating
37 himself by naming any victims", and it suggests that he
38 named events that occurred but just didn't put names to
39 them?
40 A. No, that's not how I read or intended that.
41
42 Q. That's entirely consistent with Monsignor Peters'
43 letter, isn't it - he didn't name any victims?
44 A. That's true.
45
46 Q. You refer to "common ground" there, with
47 Monsignor Peters' letter, that no names were mentioned?

1 A. Yes.
2
3 Q. And then you say, on that basis, you didn't report
4 anything, because there was no named victim to report; is
5 that right?
6 A. Yes.
7
8 Q. So that was the basis for not reporting to the police?
9 A. This is my public response to the Wayne Peters letter
10 which is now in the public forum.
11
12 Q. So what was your private response?
13 A. This was what I was proposing to --
14
15 Q. I understand that.
16 A. -- give as an explanation.
17
18 Q. But what was your private response to why you didn't
19 report to the police? What was your private view?
20 A. I didn't think we had anything to report to the
21 police.
22
23 Q. Because of what --
24 A. He was already known to the police.
25
26 Q. So your private view about not reporting to the police
27 was not related to what was said or not said in the
28 meeting, it was just that the police had known him from
29 1988?
30 A. The police had known him from 1998 and there was
31 nothing specific said at that meeting --
32
33 Q. 1988?
34 A. Sorry, 1988. There was nothing specific said at that
35 meeting, that I could recall, that would have grounded a
36 reporting to the police.
37
38 Q. So there were two matters: one, the police knew him;
39 and, two, he didn't say anything specific that would have
40 grounded a report?
41 A. Yes.
42
43 Q. And is that second matter related to the fact that
44 there were no names of victims?
45 A. I don't think it was just that there were no names
46 but, as I said, my position has always been that I don't
47 recall him giving that description of the conduct.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. Coming down to the very point of the meeting, which is a few paragraphs down, you say:

*The very point of the meeting
Bishop Manning asked us to have was to
persuade him to resign from ministry and
petition the Vatican for laicisation.*

That's right isn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. It wasn't about, necessarily, evaluating; the very point was to get rid of him?

A. Yes.

Q. Coming down to the last paragraph, your final sentence is:

*The current combative approach is not
helpful.*

That's in response to the previous sentence about victims' advocates?

A. Yes.

Q. What do you mean by that?

A. Well, I would have always said this, from the very beginning of Towards Healing, I wanted to work with the various victims' advocate groups for the wellbeing of people who had been abused, and the combative approach I didn't ever find helpful.

Q. At that stage, there was probably quite a bit of criticism in the media about you and others in the church by victims' groups about what Four Corners described as a "cover-up"; is that what you mean?

A. There was constant criticism of everything we did all the time by victims' groups.

Q. And particularly in relation to the recent events?

A. Yes.

Q. Just turning to the next tab, which is 290, this is, I think, a further draft.

A. I'm not sure if that's the final version.

1 Q. I think there's another version as well. Now, this is
2 the version that you did having received comments from
3 others; is that how we're to understand it?
4 A. Yes, this would be the middle version, yes.
5
6 Q. The middle version?
7 A. Yes.
8
9 Q. What is different in this version from the previous
10 version is that you've removed reference to "vague and
11 generalised" - let me get the right words for you. You
12 said in the first version, "his acknowledgment of
13 wrongdoing was vague and generalised", and for some reason
14 you've removed "vague and generalised". Is that because
15 your knowledge or recollection at this stage was stronger
16 and it was no longer that what he said was vague and
17 generalised?
18 A. I can't explain the reason for that editing change.
19
20 Q. I beg your pardon?
21 A. I can't explain the reason for that editing change
22 now.
23
24 Q. In the second-last paragraph, you refer to
25 Monsignor Peters' letter, which notes that you did your
26 best to explain there was no possibility of future
27 ministry. Is that the first letter or a subsequent letter?
28 A. Look, I'm not sure now.
29
30 Q. But to the extent the letter said that, you obviously
31 accepted that it was right?
32 A. Yes.
33
34 Q. I think you removed the reference to being combative?
35 A. Yes.
36
37 Q. Someone with a clearer head recommended that?
38 A. Probably --
39
40 Q. I beg your pardon?
41 A. I think probably I was advised that that would only
42 make it more combative and be provocative.
43
44 Q. You also, I think, referred to sworn evidence before
45 the Wood Royal Commission, which you removed from this
46 draft as well?
47 A. Yes.

1
2 Q. Not useful to you, to refer to that?
3 A. Oh, probably in the interests of space and whatever,
4 but I would stand by that evidence to the Wood
5 Royal Commission.
6
7 Q. If we then go to tab 291, you're clearly seeking
8 comments from Father Usher and Father Peters, and then in
9 the next document, tab 292, you're seeking views from a
10 number of those who were present at that meeting of 4 July?
11 A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And seeking, again, those notes?
14 A. Yes.
15
16 Q. Then over at tab 293 you sought legal advice about the
17 media release. That's down at the bottom of the page?
18 A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Why were you seeking legal advice about a media
21 release?
22 A. Just to make sure that what I was saying was
23 appropriate.
24
25 Q. Appropriate in a legal sense?
26 A. Yes.
27
28 Q. What were you concerned about?
29 A. I don't know whether I was concerned about anything,
30 but for abundant caution I sought some additional advice -
31 perhaps not legal advice in the strict sense, but some
32 further advice as to what I was saying.
33
34 Q. Do you see from further up the email that at least a
35 couple of people from whom you sought advice seemed to have
36 at least raised eyebrows about your media release?
37 A. No, I wasn't seeking legal advice from those people.
38
39 Q. No, I'm just saying that they seemed to, from this
40 email, have raised eyebrows about your media release?
41 A. Yes.
42
43 Q. Can we then go to tab 294, which is your final media
44 release?
45 A. Yes.
46
47 Q. This is different again from the previous media

1 releases --
2 A. Yes.
3
4 Q. -- in terms of your presumably then recollection of
5 what happened 20 years ago; is that right?
6 A. I think it's substantially the same. I stuck by what
7 I'd said to Four Corners at the beginning.
8
9 Q. Well, you removed from this one, "he was cautious in
10 not incriminating himself". Was that something you no
11 longer believed to be the case?
12 A. I can't explain that editing change.
13
14 Q. I beg your pardon?
15 A. I said I can't explain that editing change. I don't
16 know what was in my mind or what advice I was given.
17
18 Q. There's no doubt that over the course of these three
19 media releases, what you put down on paper as to what your
20 recollection was changed?
21 A. Not so much my recollection but the way things were
22 described. My recollection was as per the response to
23 Four Corners; that was the substance of my recollection.
24
25 Q. Then when you say --
26 A. Then there was some further explanation of what that
27 meant in the light of the Wayne Peters letter.
28
29 Q. Well, being cautious and not incriminating himself is
30 quite an extension of "no specific admissions", isn't it?
31 A. Well, that could be wrapped in the words, "we did not
32 have any details of victims". He didn't give us those; he
33 was cautious not to give us those.
34
35 Q. So what you were seeking to do in the ultimate email,
36 and presumably the drafts leading up to it, was provide a
37 version to the media that permitted you to stand aside from
38 Monsignor Peters' letter?
39 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand that.
40
41 Q. What you were seeking to do in this media release was
42 to provide a version to the media that permitted you to
43 separate yourself from Monsignor Peters' letter?
44 A. Yes.
45
46 Q. And also from Monsignor Usher?
47 A. I stuck by my original position that there had been no

1 specific admissions. He had stayed firm, having thought
2 that he misunderstood what I'd said about that, to his
3 position that there were no admissions.
4

5 Q. On 6 July there was a summary prepared of the events
6 thus far, and that appears behind tab 297. I take it
7 you've read that?

8 A. Yes, in the course of these proceedings, yes.
9

10 Q. You hadn't seen it before?

11 A. No.
12

13 Q. I beg your pardon?

14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
15

16 Q. You can't help us with the purpose of the background
17 briefing paper?

18 A. No.
19

20 Q. Is there anything in this document that you disagree
21 with?

22 A. Well, the middle of paragraph 2 doesn't accord with my
23 memory. I'm not quite sure I understand paragraph 5.
24

25 Q. Perhaps if you can just turn to paragraph 9. She is
26 referring there to your advice to media outlets, which
27 we'll come to, but towards the end of that paragraph
28 there's reference to you indicating that no names had been
29 mentioned, that you didn't feel you had a duty to report;
30 that without the names there couldn't be a successful
31 prosecution. Stopping there, that was one of the reasons
32 you gave earlier for not reporting?

33 A. Yes.
34

35 Q. Then the final sentence is in relation to a comment
36 that the responsibility for reporting to police rests with
37 the victim?

38 A. Yes.
39

40 Q. Was that another reason you had for not reporting to
41 the police?

42 A. That would have been part of it, if - it would turn on
43 what the wishes of those victims were.
44

45 Q. Then the next tab is the media release, or perhaps
46 just a limited release to The Australian. I take it you
47 weren't asked your advice before that went out?

1 A. No.
2
3 Q. Then if we can turn to the next tab, which is a media
4 release from Bishop Kennedy, the Bishop of Armidale -
5 I take it you weren't consulted on this?
6 A. No.
7
8 Q. Do you see there's reference in paragraph 2 to:
9
10 *Monsignor Peters wrote an honest account of*
11 *his recollections of the meeting.*
12
13 Q. You accept that, don't you?
14 A. That he wrote an honest account?
15
16 Q. Yes.
17 A. I'm not sure. I can't explain that.
18
19 Q. What, you don't accept that he was honest in what he
20 believed was said?
21 A. Well, I can't explain what he said what he said.
22
23 Q. Leaving aside that you can't explain it, do you accept
24 that when he wrote that letter, he was doing his honest
25 best to record what he heard?
26 A. I don't know whether he was mistaken or - I can't
27 explain that letter.
28
29 Q. Even if he was mistaken, he could still be honest,
30 couldn't he?
31 A. Well, I can't get into his mind as to his honesty.
32 I have no reason to doubt his good intentions but I can't
33 explain the letter.
34
35 Q. Perhaps if we can turn to tab 303. This was an
36 interview that you had with AM, Tony Eastley?
37 A. Yes.
38
39 Q. I take it that it was your decision to be interviewed?
40 A. Yes.
41
42 Q. What did you think you would achieve by the interview?
43 A. Well, there was a lot of media pressure on me; I'd had
44 many, many requests. I thought it best to do one interview
45 only and I did that with AM. I put out the press release
46 and then did the one interview with AM.
47

1 Q. Having read this recently, you know that there are a
2 number of occasions during this interview where you
3 provided a different recollection from the range of
4 recollections you'd provided over the previous weeks. Do
5 you understand that?

6 A. Well, this is a free-flowing interview and things
7 would have been said differently, certainly.
8

9 Q. Yes, but given the publicity and the fact that words
10 were so important in relation to the public mind about
11 this, surely you were careful to make sure that the words
12 you used were very precise?

13 A. Well, I did my very best.
14

15 Q. At the bottom of page 1, you're quoted there as
16 saying:

17
18 *There was a very generalised discussion*
19 *with him. He was certainly very, very*
20 *cautious not to incriminate himself and*
21 *very, very careful not to name any names.*
22

23 So that seems to be a movement from where you were last in
24 relation to your memory; is that right?

25 A. No, I think I had said that in my first draft press
26 release - the first draft that was in my mind.
27

28 Q. And then you removed it from the subsequent drafts?

29 A. Yes.
30

31 Q. Presumably you removed it because you were uncertain
32 about whether or not he was cautious about incriminating
33 himself?

34 A. Well - or someone told me to take it out,
35 but I don't --
36

37 Q. How could someone tell you to take out something that
38 was your recollection about a meeting?

39 A. Well, it is how much you put in. You're writing a
40 press release; you're not writing a transcript of
41 everything and it is how much you say and how you say it.
42

43 Q. So it wasn't considered to be in your interests to put
44 that in the press release, presumably?

45 A. I wouldn't have disputed it and I said it on air and
46 I was happy to say it on air.
47

1 Q. On to the second page, about a third of the page down,
2 you say:

3
4 *This is criminal and wicked behaviour ...*

5
6 So that was your description of Father Farrell?

7 A. In general terms, yes. I think the way Tony Eastley
8 put it was sort of a flippant comment, "So he'd admitted he
9 was a bad boy". Well, we're not talking about someone who
10 was a bad boy; we're talking about someone about whom there
11 are allegations and a court case about very wicked and
12 criminal behaviour.

13
14 Q. You say there:

15
16 *This is criminal and wicked behaviour but*
17 *to make the matter further with the police*
18 *of course you need to have some names ...*

19
20 et cetera. That is clearly a reference to the meeting with
21 him, isn't it?

22 A. Yes.

23
24 Q. And so by now you're of the view that his behaviour
25 was criminal and wicked?

26 A. It had always been my view.

27
28 Q. You had not expressed it before, had you?

29 A. Not in those terms, but that was in response to an
30 apparent put-down, "So he admitted he'd been a naughty
31 boy". I mean, that's just a ridiculous suggestion, so
32 I responded accordingly.

33
34 Q. You responded by using the words you've used there?

35 A. Yes.

36
37 Q. Could we come over to the next page.

38
39 THE CHAIR: Q. Just before you do, you say there,
40 further on in the page, that there was no point in taking
41 the information to the police?

42 A. Yes.

43
44 Q. That is, the information about criminal and wicked
45 behaviour. I want to know why not. If someone comes to
46 you and confesses to you that they've engaged in wicked and
47 criminal behaviour, the police have undertaken an

1 investigation which, in relation to a particular
2 allegation, failed to pass the committal process, why
3 wouldn't it be of use to the police to know that, to you,
4 he had admitted that he had engaged in wicked and criminal
5 behaviour, even without names?

6 A. Well, I think to say to the police, "This man has told
7 me that he has done some wicked and criminal things", the
8 first thing the police would say is, "Well, what? Where?
9 To whom and when?", none of which I could have answered.

10
11 Q. No, but they could have then made their own inquiries,
12 couldn't they, knowing that they had a foundation for them?

13 A. Well, they'd already presumably made their inquiries.

14
15 Q. How do you know?

16 A. It was not something, your Honour, that I directed my
17 mind to in those circumstances.

18
19 Q. Your explanation that there was no utility in going to
20 the police doesn't have any substance, does it?

21 A. With due respect, I think you can't go to the police
22 with something as vague as that.

23
24 MS FURNESS: Q. It is put to you in summary form at the
25 end of the next page, if we could have that on the screen.
26 Tony Eastley says:

27
28 *So just for the record, an admission by*
29 *Father F, albeit vague, was made at that*
30 *meeting that you attended. Father F said*
31 *he'd committed serious crimes but didn't go*
32 *into specifics yet you and the other two*
33 *priests present did not think it was worthy*
34 *of referring this to the police?*

35
36 Do you see that was his summary?

37 A. Yes.

38
39 Q. Then your response to it was dealing with the
40 protocols that have been in place since 1992. I take it
41 that you accepted that summary?

42 A. No, I don't think I accepted it; I went on with an
43 explanation.

44
45 Q. As to how you'd do things differently now?

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. But it is the case that he said he'd committed serious
2 crimes, isn't it?
3 A. I'm sorry?
4
5 Q. It is the case that Father F, as he's described there,
6 said he'd committed serious crimes?
7 A. I don't think he said it as explicitly as that. As
8 I said, we were dealing with the insecurity of the
9 dismissal of the committal proceedings; that was what was
10 primarily in my mind.
11
12 Q. There was a second meeting, wasn't there, on
13 24 September?
14 A. Yes.
15
16 Q. And you were present at that meeting?
17 A. Yes.
18
19 Q. You provided an account to the Bishop after that
20 meeting, didn't you?
21 A. Yes.
22
23 Q. That's behind tab 167. You've read this recently,
24 I take it?
25 A. Yes.
26
27 Q. It is dated 25 September, which is the day after the
28 meeting?
29 A. Yes.
30
31 Q. You refer to the attached document?
32 A. Yes.
33
34 Q. And that's on the other page.
35 A. Yes.
36
37 Q. Perhaps if we can just have that. You wrote this,
38 I take it?
39 A. Certainly.
40
41 Q. It says:
42
43 *1. For the reasons previously explained*
44 *and taking into account all the*
45 *circumstances there is no possibility of an*
46 *appointment at this stage.*
47

1 Do you see that?
2 A. Yes.
3
4 Q. So it is clear that by the second meeting at least
5 there was a decision made that he wasn't going to get an
6 appointment and you had to work out a way of getting him
7 out?
8 A. Well, it says, "no possibility of an appointment at
9 this stage".
10
11 Q. So you were stringing him along, as it were, so as to
12 ensure that the ultimate outcome was achieved?
13 A. Well, again it comes back to the circumstance.
14 I don't know why I would have said that if I'd had those
15 explicit admissions in the Wayne Peters letter. It would
16 have been, "There's no possibility of an appointment", full
17 stop.
18
19 Q. But it is the case, isn't it, that your job in this
20 case was just to get rid of him; that was your role?
21 A. Yes.
22
23 Q. When you say, "For the reasons previously explained
24 and taking into account all the circumstances", can you
25 help us with what that's a reference to?
26 A. That would have been probably what's in the second
27 part of the first Wayne Peters letter.
28
29 Q. The list of the various points?
30 A. Yes, yes.
31
32 Q. You accept, I think, that that letter is accurate in
33 that respect, as to what was said at the first meeting?
34 A. Yes, that's what has me puzzled, because normally
35 they're the things you talk about in order to get to a
36 point of some admissions, and I can't understand why we
37 talked about that if we had already had these explicit
38 admissions. That's what has me totally puzzled. I just
39 can't explain.
40
41 Q. I think you said yesterday that this may well have
42 been your first diocesan priest?
43 A. I'm not sure.
44
45 Q. You thought it might have been?
46 A. Yes.
47

1 Q. In fact, you had been dealing with Brothers, Marist or
2 Christian Brothers, before?
3 A. Yes.
4
5 Q. So when you are talking about what usually happened,
6 that was in relation to Brothers?
7 A. It was the same - the same general principles.
8
9 Q. If this was probably your first one, how would you
10 know?
11 A. No, I don't - the principles are same: whether the
12 man is a Brother or a priest the issues are the same and
13 the principles are the same.
14
15 Q. But as it was, this was most likely your first
16 diocesan priest?
17 A. I can't be sure of that.
18
19 Q. Your letter to Bishop Manning refers to the discussion
20 and the fact that Farrell wanted some time to reflect on
21 the proposal and consider the practicalities. I take it
22 that's not unusual, for there to be some period of time
23 whereby the Brother or priest wants to think about how to
24 go forward?
25 A. That would depend on the circumstances, yes.
26
27 Q. It is not unusual?
28 A. No.
29
30 Q. Now, there's no reference in this letter to any
31 specific concern about the way in which he appeared in that
32 first meeting, is there?
33 A. In terms of his demeanour or manner?
34
35 Q. No?
36 A. No.
37
38 Q. I think also Father Peters gave an account to the
39 Bishop, as well, about this meeting?
40 A. Yes.
41
42 Q. That's at tab 171. He provides further detail by
43 reference to the various points of discussion?
44 A. Yes.
45
46 Q. You agreed, in a general sense, with what he has set
47 out as what happened at that meeting?

1 A. Yes.

2

3 Q. It is clear from what you now know that he wrote this
4 letter having written the previous letter of 11 September?

5 A. Yes.

6

7 Q. And, indeed, that Bishop Manning read this letter
8 having read and received the previous letter of
9 11 September?

10 A. I don't know about the connection with the two
11 letters.

12

13 Q. Just assume, for the purposes of this question, that
14 Bishop Manning received the letter of 11 September?

15 A. Yes.

16

17 Q. So on that assumption, this letter was received by
18 Bishop Manning knowing the contents of the first letter?

19 A. Yes.

20

21 Q. And having received your letter as to the second
22 meeting?

23 A. Yes.

24

25 Q. There are various references to what it was that
26 Father Farrell wanted and what it was that the three of you
27 thought should happen going forward?

28 A. Yes.

29

30 Q. At the bottom of the third page, paragraph 7, there's
31 reference there to:

32

33 *It was hard to ascertain just what emotions*
34 *were going on in Father Farrell. He seemed*
35 *to act in a calm and business like manner*
36 *throughout.*

37

38 That's your recollection as to how he was too, I take it?

39 A. Oh, look, I can't specifically recall the second
40 meeting and what his demeanour was like. I just don't have
41 that in my mind, I'm sorry.

42

43 Q. So do you have a better recall of the first meeting
44 than the second meeting?

45 A. I remember - my recall of the first meeting is that he
46 came in - this was the first time we met with him - very
47 angry and very upset and very defensive, is my recollection

1 of the demeanour.

2

3 Q. So it seems he had calmed down by the second meeting?

4 A. Well, no, he said - he indicated he was very angry
5 about it all.

6

7 Q. If you accept Father Peters, he said, "He seemed to
8 act in a calm and business like manner"?

9 A. I can't specifically recall.

10

11 Q. You know now, I take it, that Father Usher made notes
12 after the first and second meetings?

13 A. Yes.

14

15 Q. And you would have had regard to them having prepared
16 for today and yesterday?

17 A. Yes.

18

19 Q. Did you see them before then?

20 A. No.

21

22 Q. Not at the time?

23 A. Not at the time, certainly not.

24

25 MS FURNESS: Just before I go to those, your Honour,
26 I notice the time.

27

28 THE CHAIR: Yes, very well. We will take the morning
29 adjournment.

30

31 **SHORT ADJOURNMENT**

32

33 MS FURNESS: Q. Father, I was taking you to
34 Monsignor Usher's notes of the meetings. Tab 162 is the
35 first. You'll note this is 3 September, which is the date
36 of the first meeting, Father?

37 A. Yes.

38

39 Q. When did you first see this, did you say?

40 A. Oh, it was either around the time of the Four Corners
41 program or in connection with this. I just - I just don't
42 know.

43

44 Q. You will see that that is a reference to meeting with
45 you, Father Peters and Farrell, and then what
46 Monsignor Usher recorded is:

47

1 *Farrell is unrepentant about his sexual*
2 *misconduct with children in my opinion.*

3
4 He talked about his sexual misconduct with children, didn't
5 he, at the meeting - that is, Farrell?

6 A. Well, he denied various claims that people were, as
7 far as I can recall, saying about him, but, as I said, my
8 surmising or his wording about what happened [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] would certainly, in my mind, have amounted
10 to sexual misconduct with children, yes.

11
12 Q. So you would agree that sexual misconduct with
13 children was raised in the meeting, I take it?

14 A. That's the whole point of what the meeting was about,
15 yes.

16
17 Q. It was indeed. And you would agree that he was
18 unrepentant about it?

19 A. That was certainly my impression, yes.

20
21 Q. And that sexual misconduct by Farrell in relation to
22 children was the subject of discussion?

23 A. Yes.

24
25 THE CHAIR: Q. Father, I'm not sure I understand what
26 you're saying about your understanding of Farrell's conduct
27 with the young boy [REDACTED]

28 [REDACTED].
29 A. Yes.

30
31 Q. I am not sure I understand what it is that you
32 surmised or were told by way of additional misconduct by
33 Farrell that led you to the view that you had about him.
34 What was it that was further alleged or admitted to?

35 A. My understanding was, when pressed [REDACTED]
36 [REDACTED]
37 [REDACTED] there could well have been
38 other misconduct with that young man, different to what the
39 young man had alleged. That was my - that was the position
40 in my mind, either by what he was explicit about or what
41 I surmised: something had happened with the young man by
42 way of sexual misconduct [REDACTED]
43 [REDACTED]

44 [REDACTED].
45 [REDACTED].
46
47 Q. So a different form of misconduct had happened?

1 A. Different to what had been [REDACTED] alleged.
2
3 Q. Now, of course you knew the name of the victim?
4 A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And you had the conversation with Farrell?
7 A. Yes.
8
9 Q. Why didn't you go to the police?
10 A. Because, in my mind, [REDACTED]
11
12 Q. But you're saying it is some different conduct?
13 A. [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]
29 [REDACTED]
30 [REDACTED]
31 [REDACTED]
32 [REDACTED]
33 [REDACTED]
34
35 [REDACTED]
36 [REDACTED]
37 [REDACTED]
38 [REDACTED]
39 [REDACTED]
40
41 [REDACTED]
42 [REDACTED]
43 [REDACTED]
44 [REDACTED]
45 [REDACTED]
46 [REDACTED]
47 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MS FURNESS: Perhaps while his Honour is --

THE CHAIR: Yes. I will find it in due course.

[REDACTED]

Q. It was one of the purposes you've described earlier.
A. Well, I may have phrased it wrongly. The purpose of the meeting was to have secure grounds to get him out of the priesthood.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1 A. I don't think we went to that level of detail; it
2 didn't matter. Something had happened. [REDACTED]

3 [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED] this was a man who
5 was not fit to be anywhere near children and we were
6 wanting to get him away from children.
7

8 Q. [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]

16 We formed a view, at least I certainly
17 formed a view, that something had happened, from the tenor
18 and manner of the conversation, from what he said.

19 Q. But wasn't it important for you to know whether that
20 was something new and additional, [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED] in order to know what your
22 obligations were in terms of the police?

23 A. I didn't direct my mind to obligations towards the
24 police in that context.
25

26 Q. By you were conscious that the law provided you with
27 that?

28 A. No, I was - as I said, I think yesterday, when I first
29 came across Section 316, I was surprised that it had been
30 enacted for as long as it had been. I certainly did not
31 direct my mind in 1992 to the existence of Section 316.
32

33 Q. What about an obligation, other than a legal one, to
34 go to the police with something that you considered to be a
35 crime or suspecting a crime?

36 A. Well, that would be done, generally speaking, in
37 conjunction with the people who had been abused. You'd
38 want to know what their views were and perhaps assist them
39 to go to the police. That would have been the appropriate
40 approach.
41

42 Q. You said yesterday, when I was asking you about
43 whether you encouraged or didn't encourage people to go to
44 the police, that while there will be people who say that
45 you have discouraged them, in fact, you hadn't discouraged
46 them?

47 A. I've never discouraged anyone from going to the

1 police.

2

3 Q. I understand that. Who is it that you think will say
4 that you discouraged them, understanding that you take a
5 different view?

6 A. There have been a number of people in correspondence
7 over the years who have claimed that I went to the church
8 and the church talked them out of going to the police.

9

10 Q. The church being you, for these purposes?

11 A. And other people as well. It was a common --

12

13 Q. No, I'm interested in your evidence and you for the
14 moment.

15 A. I can't name a particular individual who may have made
16 such a claim, and maybe no-one has, but I'm surmising that
17 this was a common complaint, which is why then eventually
18 it came to the stage where the Professional Standards
19 Office insisted that people sign a document saying they did
20 not want to go to the police and the purpose - which caused
21 a lot of upset in itself because people felt threatened
22 that they had to sign such a form, but that was a common
23 complaint when people came back to say, "When I first went
24 to someone in the church, they tried to talk me out of
25 going to the police." That was never my practice at any
26 stage ever.

27

28 THE CHAIR: Q. Father, the source of my uncertainty
29 about what you're saying really comes from your own media
30 statement, document 294. I know we've been there before,
31 but you told me a moment ago that what you were relying
32 upon for the view that Farrell should go was some
33 unspecified sense that you had from him that [REDACTED]
34 [REDACTED], he'd done something wrong with
35 the lad [REDACTED]. All right?

36

A. Yes.

37

38 Q. If we look at your own press release, you say at about
39 point 4:

40

41 *My recollection of the meeting some*
42 *20 years ago is that in acknowledging*
43 *wrongdoing [Father] F did not name any*
44 *victims.*

45

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. You knew who the victim was [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED] ?
3 A. This press release is in response to the media
4 agitation about the content of the --
5
6 Q. That may be, but this is what you're saying in this
7 press release was the true position?
8 A. This was in response to the context of the claim of
9 these admissions in the Peters' letter and I'm affirming,
10 in a sense, what is in that letter --
11
12 Q. You are.
13 A. -- that no names were mentioned.
14
15 Q. Well, you are, but what you are, of course, addressing
16 are allegations that go way beyond the lad who was abused
17 [REDACTED] ?
18 A. No.
19
20 Q. You're thinking in the multiples: you didn't name any
21 victims, no victims are named in the letter?
22 A. This is a response, your Honour, to the letter.
23
24 Q. Yes. Even so, what's the true position?
25 A. I've --
26
27 Q. Were there multiple incidents named by Farrell?
28 A. No, not in my recollection at all.
29
30 Q. Why did you say this in this press release?
31 A. Because this is a response to what was alleged in the
32 letter.
33
34 Q. Wouldn't the correct position be for you to say,
35 "I don't agree with the letter"?
36 A. Well, that's not the way in which I approached the
37 media in the context of the letter being in the public
38 forum. I could have in hindsight said, "Well, let's debate
39 the content of the letter." At that stage, of course, with
40 respect, I'm still somewhat - I mean, my recollection was
41 there, but I still, at this stage, had not yet, by the time
42 of this media release, spoken to Wayne about his letter.
43
44 Q. How do we reconcile what you said here with the
45 evidence you've given today?
46 A. Your Honour, I think it's a matter of a different
47 context. This media release is in the context of

1 responding to media agitation about the Wayne Peters
2 letter, not about the meeting itself.

3

4 Q. You're talking about your recollection of the meeting;
5 that's about the meeting itself.

6 A. No. The second part, he did not name any victims --

7

8 Q. No, in this statement you're saying this is your
9 recollection, "My recollection of the meeting"; you're
10 putting into the public domain what you say is the true
11 recollection you have of the meeting?

12 A. And he did not name any names in that meeting.

13

14 Q. I know, but the point I raised with you, and you know
15 what it is, is that you referred here to multiple victims,
16 not just the one lad [REDACTED]?

17 A. Well, that assumes that there were victims. He did
18 not name any victims. I'm not assuming that there were
19 victims; I'm saying he did not name any --

20

21 Q. Father Lucas, you're saying your recollection is that
22 in acknowledging wrongdoing, he did not name any victims.

23 A. I don't draw the conclusion that he was saying that
24 there were other victims; that's not my recollection. I'm
25 responding within the context of a letter saying that he
26 mentioned other victims. He didn't name them; that's the
27 context of my response.

28

29 THE CHAIR: Very well.

30

31 MS FURNESS: Q. There was a second diary note that
32 Monsignor Usher made and that was after the meeting on
33 24 September. That's behind tab 166. That reads:

34

35 *Met with John Farrell, Brian Lucas and*
36 *Wayne Peters. A seven-point plan was*
37 *presented to John following CSA re his*
38 *laicisation.*

39

40 Is that how you read it?

41 A. Yes.

42

43 Q. "Laicisation" is a bit difficult to read, but you
44 think that's the word at the bottom?

45 A. I presume so, yes.

46

47 Q. And "CSA" means "child sexual assault"?

1 A. I presume so. I don't know; I presume so.
2
3 Q. Is that an acronym you use?
4 A. No.
5
6 Q. It's not?
7 A. Those initials, no.
8
9 Q. But you presume that it means child sexual assault?
10 A. Well, I'd have to ask him. I don't know. I presume
11 so, but I don't know.
12
13 Q. And child sexual assault was obviously a topic of
14 discussion at that meeting?
15 A. That's what the whole point of the meeting was about,
16 yes.
17
18 Q. Thank you. Can I turn to tab 194. There has been
19 reference before, Father, about a file note from the
20 Professional Standards Office and earlier I suggested to
21 you that a 1996 file note would obviously not be a
22 contemporaneous note. That was in the context that the
23 Professional Standards Office was not in place in 1992. Do
24 you understand that?
25 A. No. It came with John Davoren around about 1996.
26
27 Q. Do you understand this to be the Professional
28 Standards Office file note that was referred to in previous
29 letters?
30 A. No, I don't know what that file note was.
31
32 Q. You never saw it?
33 A. No.
34
35 Q. I thought there was an email providing it to you; is
36 that not right?
37 A. No, they never provided it to me. I asked twice and
38 I never got it.
39
40 Q. I take it you've read this in the context of the
41 tender bundle?
42 A. Yes.
43
44 Q. What did it seem to be to you?
45 A. Well, it's someone's summary. I don't know who wrote
46 it. This is a standard form which - and I'm not quite sure
47 of the date of it.

1
2 Q. It says "Date of entry", January 1996?
3 A. Yes. Well, sorry, yes, I don't know what this -
4 I presume it's their standard form and someone has filled
5 in the details.
6
7 Q. Thank you. There was another meeting planned with
8 Father Farrell in October but he didn't turn up?
9 A. I don't know whether he didn't turn up or it was
10 cancelled.
11
12 Q. It didn't happen?
13 A. I don't recall that I sat there waiting for him.
14 I presume it was cancelled or --
15
16 Q. Then there was a third and final meeting where all
17 were present?
18 A. I understand all were present, yes.
19
20 Q. At tab 175 is a letter from Father Peters to
21 Bishop Manning after that meeting?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. It is dated the 25th?
25 A. Yes.
26
27 Q. You've clearly seen this letter recently?
28 A. Yes.
29
30 Q. Did you see it around about the time that it was
31 created?
32 A. No.
33
34 Q. The first paragraph is in very similar terms to the
35 first letter and it is undoubtedly correct?
36 A. Yes.
37
38 Q. As with the second paragraph?
39 A. Yes.
40
41 Q. And the first numbered paragraph - what wasn't
42 acceptable to him was the voluntary laicisation or a
43 five-year plan?
44 A. Yes.
45
46 Q. That's what he said at the meeting?
47 A. Yes.

1
2 Q. And then in the second paragraph there's reference to
3 you having explained to him the seriousness and difficulty
4 of the situation?
5 A. Yes.
6
7 Q. The possible harm to the church?
8 A. Yes.
9
10 Q. Harm to the Diocese of Armidale?
11 A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Harm to the priesthood?
14 A. Yes.
15
16 Q. And harm to himself?
17 A. Yes.
18
19 Q. And each of those harms would continue if he remained
20 in the priesthood?
21 A. Yes.
22
23 Q. Then it is referred that you, Brian Lucas, emphasised
24 that there were young men out there who could still come
25 forward and lay charges through civil proceedings?
26 A. Yes.
27
28 Q. Stopping there, you knew that because he had admitted
29 to you other men, young men now, who he had sexually abused
30 who had not yet laid charges against him or taken him to
31 court?
32 A. My recollection is that he said there were people out
33 there making these allegations which he denied. My comment
34 would have been, "Well, if something's happened out there,
35 they can still come forward."
36
37 Q. You knew there were young men out there because he'd
38 told you that there were young men out there; is that
39 right?
40 A. No, but he had denied that he'd done anything with
41 them.
42
43 Q. In order to deny it he must have told you about them?
44 A. No, he said there were people making false allegations
45 against him.
46
47 Q. You, by having said this - as recorded in this

1 letter - did not accept his denial, I take it?
2 A. I was suspicious of it always.
3
4 Q. Because if you accepted his denial, you wouldn't have
5 said there were young men who could come forward because
6 you accepted that nothing happened?
7 A. That's true.
8
9 Q. Then you say:
10
11 *Experience from similar situations showed*
12 *that the betrayal felt by the victims of*
13 *sexual abuse was often centred on the fact*
14 *that the trust ...*
15
16 A. Yes.
17
18 Q. And then:
19
20 *If they saw John Farrell continuing as a*
21 *priest it was more likely they would press*
22 *charges ...*
23
24 A. Yes.
25
26 Q. That was based on what?
27 A. That was my common experience.
28
29 Q. And therefore an argument that you used with others to
30 laicise themselves or get out?
31 A. Yes.
32
33 Q. If they stayed in, there were more likely to be
34 further charges, whereas if they left, there was less
35 likely?
36 A. That was the common experience.
37
38 Q. Your experience?
39 A. Yes.
40
41 Q. If the victims knew that serious measures that
42 involved contact with children were taken then the
43 likelihood of further charges was significantly reduced?
44 A. Yes.
45
46 Q. That was an argument you commonly used with priests to
47 get them out of the church?

1 A. Yes.
2
3 Q. Over the next page, paragraph 3 - just before I go to
4 paragraph 3, that previous paragraph, paragraph 2, is
5 consistent, isn't it, with Wayne Peters' first letter? Do
6 you accept that, that what he records in paragraph 2 was
7 consistent with what was in his letter?
8 A. No.
9
10 Q. I beg your pardon?
11 A. No.
12
13 Q. You don't accept that?
14 A. No.
15
16 Q. Just coming over to paragraph 3, what is stated here
17 is:
18
19 *John Farrell indicated that he was fearful*
20 *that if he was laicised or no longer seen*
21 *to be a priest, the chance of the young men*
22 *he had offended against bringing civil*
23 *charges against him was greater than if he*
24 *remained a priest in the public forum.*
25
26 It is clear from that paragraph, isn't it, that Farrell
27 told the meeting that there were young men who he had
28 offended against?
29 A. My recollection is that he said they were making those
30 allegations. I don't recall that he'd said that he had
31 offended against them.
32
33 Q. In writing that, Wayne Peters was writing that
34 sentence that was consistent with his first letter?
35 A. Yes.
36
37 Q. Further, he, that is, Farrell, indicated that he had
38 heard that the reason the families of the victims did not
39 bring charges back in 1984 was because they did not wish to
40 publicly hurt the church. Again, that's an admission,
41 isn't it, that what had happened back in 1984 was
42 misconduct of a sort that could result in criminal charges?
43 A. Yes.
44
45 Q. And that's consistent as well with what Father Peters
46 said in his first letter?
47 A. Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. Then Monsignor Usher is explaining the hurt to the victims and the same point, that he believed there was less chance of Farrell being further prosecuted if he was not a priest and if he continued to be seen in the public forum as one?

A. Yes.

Q. That sentence there is based upon, I suggest to you, that the first meeting referred to the fact that Farrell had sexually misconducted himself and that these were the consequences in relation to whether or not he was laicised; do you accept that?

A. No, that was not my understanding.

Q. And then it is stated that you agreed with that proposition. Is that wrong?

A. Well, I don't think it was put in those terms, is my recollection.

Q. Where it says "Brian Lucas agreed", you say that you didn't agree with the proposition that was put above; is that right?

A. No.

Q. But also pointed out that no-one could give any guarantees that the victims would not bring charges. Now, that's something you said, isn't it?

A. Well, if there were people out there - I would have put it to him on that basis. If there are people out there against whom you have offended in the context and you're denying that, but if there are people out there, they will bring charges.

Q. You said what was said in that letter and attributed to you at that paragraph?

A. On the basis that if there are such allegations, that was what will happen.

Q. It was your view that there was no Statute of Limitations in relation to sexual offences against minors?

A. Certainly, yes.

Q. That would have been a reason you gave, again, to persuade people to get out?

A. If there are people out there that you have offended against, they will come forward one day.

1
2 Q. Further down to paragraph 5, here Farrell is
3 negotiating the options?
4 A. Yes.
5
6 Q. You are recorded as saying that you advised that that
7 would place Bishop Manning, that is, if he didn't want
8 either, in a situation where he would have to consider
9 initiating canonical proceedings?
10 A. Yes.
11
12 Q. Again, that was threatening him that, "If you don't go
13 our way, you'll go the harder way"?
14 A. Yes.
15
16 Q. The possibility that the young men who had been
17 offended against might have to be contacted in the
18 canonical process, was mentioned.
19 A. Yes.
20
21 Q. That sentence must be based on a knowledge of who
22 those young men were?
23 A. Well, I would have thought it was "young man", not
24 "young men".
25
26 Q. You say you don't agree with it referring to "men", in
27 the plural, as opposed to "man"; is that right?
28 A. Well, the only person I knew about was the one young
29 man on the basis that there were others out there and there
30 were the possibilities that there were others out there,
31 that would have to be further investigated.
32
33 Q. What this clearly said, isn't it, is that there were
34 young men who were known by reference to their name who
35 might have to be contacted if a canonical process was
36 mentioned?
37 A. Yes, that was not my recollection.
38
39 Q. And that is consistent with the first letter insofar
40 as it mentions various boys; is that right?
41 A. Yes.
42
43 Q. And insofar as knowledge of the names, that was a step
44 that needed to be taken from the first meeting; is that how
45 you understand it?
46 A. That would have to be the case if we'd have had some
47 grounds for that, yes.

1
2 Q. And Farrell wanted to avoid canonical proceedings,
3 which is hardly surprising?
4 A. Exactly.
5
6 Q. Being a priest was very important to him, wasn't it?
7 A. Well, he couldn't understand rationally the ridiculous
8 position he was in.
9
10 Q. He didn't accept other people's characterisations of
11 the position he was in; isn't that right?
12 A. Well, he was in this denial and couldn't understand
13 that, in fact, if there were people out there that he had
14 offended against, they would one day come forward.
15
16 Q. Coming over to paragraph 7, Farrell requested of you
17 some background reading material on the whole subject?
18 A. Yes. I have no recollection of what that would be.
19
20 Q. Such a request, if it was made, indicates that Farrell
21 clearly had his wits about him; do you accept that?
22 A. That's probably likely, yes.
23
24 Q. Could we go over to paragraph 17. In fact, just
25 before we go to 17, would you come back to paragraph 7. Do
26 you see, at paragraph 8, he indicated that he needed a
27 further two weeks? Do you remember that?
28 A. Yes. Well, I don't specifically remember that, but
29 there was some talk of some extension of time.
30
31 Q. Paragraph 9. They didn't have the authority to extend
32 it, it had to be Bishop Manning; that was the case, wasn't
33 it?
34 A. Yes.
35
36 Q. And then in the next paragraph it was suggested that
37 Monsignor Peters ring Bishop Manning in relation to the
38 extension?
39 A. Yes.
40
41 Q. That would have been his job to do, given that he came
42 from Armidale?
43 A. Presumably.
44
45 Q. Coming down to 11, there's reference to the phone
46 call. I take it you remember Father Peters leaving the
47 room?

1 A. I don't remember that.
2
3 Q. You don't doubt that that happened?
4 A. I presume it did.
5
6 Q. Then the extension was given?
7 A. Yes.
8
9 Q. Do you remember that the extension was given?
10 A. I don't, but only where I've read there.
11
12 Q. You accept that the extension was given?
13 A. Yes, presumably.
14
15 Q. You know from subsequent dates that the extension must
16 have been given?
17 A. Yes.
18
19 Q. Moving down, you understood that a result of the
20 meeting was Farrell was going to write a letter setting out
21 his views?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Paragraph 14. Farrell raised the subject of money.
25 I take it you've noticed, going through the documents, that
26 the subject of money was one very close to Farrell's heart?
27 A. Yes.
28
29 Q. And he raised it on many occasions?
30 A. Yes.
31
32 Q. That's the case because he had no source of income
33 other than the church?
34 A. Yes.
35
36 Q. And was entirely dependent upon the church?
37 A. Yes.
38
39 Q. Paragraph 14, do you recall that?
40 A. I don't remember it, but that would be the normal
41 practice.
42
43 Q. And paragraph 15 is similar and in terms of
44 paragraph 16, do you remember that?
45 A. No, I don't remember that.
46
47 Q. You don't doubt that that was likely to have been part

1 of the meeting?

2 A. Yes.

3

4 Q. Coming to 17, it begins with:

5

6 *John Farrell indicated that other people*
7 *had supported him in not seeing the same*
8 *seriousness in the situation as the*
9 *committee saw.*

10

11 That's clear from the documents you've read, in order to
12 give evidence, that he had sought on a number of occasions
13 others to support him?

14 A. Yes.

15

16 Q. Then it is recorded that:

17

18 *John Usher asked if these people knew the*
19 *full story. John Farrell said they did.*
20 *John Usher stated that it was understood*
21 *that only John Farrell, Brian Lucas,*
22 *John Usher, Wayne Peters and Bishop Manning*
23 *knew the full extent of the story at this*
24 *stage. John Farrell said that those people*
25 *were indeed the only ones who knew the full*
26 *story. The committee members were left*
27 *uncertain as to how many people*
28 *John Farrell has talked to, how much he has*
29 *told them or what he has told them.*

30

31 Do you see that?

32 A. Yes.

33

34 Q. At this stage, that is, the second meeting, you knew,
35 didn't you, that Farrell had admitted to serious misconduct
36 with at least five boys?

37 A. No, he hadn't admitted it, in my mind.

38

39 Q. No? You didn't know that he'd admitted that conduct?

40 A. No.

41

42 Q. You knew about the --

43 A. My recollection - sorry, my recollection is that he
44 was - in my mind, something had happened with the one young
45 man and that others were making allegations which he was
46 dismissing.

47

1 Q. You certainly knew about the Parramatta complaint,
2 that is, the --
3 A. The language matter?
4
5 Q. Yes.
6 A. In the context of this, I didn't take that --
7
8 Q. But you knew about that?
9 A. Yes.
10
11 Q. You knew about the complaint from what we're
12 describing as "the girl"?
13 A. Yes.
14
15 Q. And you knew of the rumours around Moree?
16 A. Yes, and the talk about the girl, which was part of
17 the focus of the meeting, of course, wasn't referred to in
18 Wayne Peters' letter, which is another puzzlement for me.
19
20 Q. Why is that puzzling?
21 A. Well, if that was to - he didn't make reference to
22 that because that was part of the presentation of the
23 problem to him, what he'd done with the young lady, yes.
24
25 Q. It was a three-hour meeting. Do you accept that
26 Father Peters didn't describe everything that happened at
27 that meeting in a two-and-a-half page letter?
28 A. Well, I would have thought there would be some
29 reference, at least by one line, to what the reaction was
30 to the young lady. He dismissed it as not being criminal
31 and not being of significance at all.
32
33 Q. You remember Farrell's reaction to that complaint at
34 at the meeting?
35 A. That's my recollection. It was then something that
36 wasn't pursued any further.
37
38 Q. It is the case, isn't it, that as at this meeting, you
39 knew of rumours and complaints in Moree?
40 A. Yes.
41
42 Q. And you knew of the various allegations that also
43 arose in Moree?
44 A. Well, I put those two together, yes.
45
46 Q. Plus the other two complaints that we've discussed, as
47 well as the dismissal of the charges?

1 A. Yes.
2
3 Q. You don't say, in addition to that, you knew of the
4 specific admissions made in the first letter by Peters?
5 A. No.
6
7 Q. Putting all of those together, and accepting for the
8 moment your evidence that you didn't know of the specific
9 admissions, that could well constitute the full story,
10 couldn't it?
11 A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Just coming down to the next sentence:
14
15 *The committee members were left uncertain*
16 *as to how many people [he] has talked to,*
17 *how much he has told them or what he has*
18 *told them.*
19
20 That was the case, wasn't it?
21 A. Yes.
22
23 Q. Just in relation to one other paragraph, which is
24 paragraph 6, again, there was reference there to no
25 possibility of any appointment?
26 A. Yes.
27
28 Q. Because it was the case that you went into the meeting
29 knowing that there was no possibility of any appointment,
30 didn't you?
31 A. Yes.
32
33 Q. And then reference to the five-year plan. The last
34 sentence is:
35
36 *At best, any return to ministry would be in*
37 *a very limited way that removed as far as*
38 *possible the risk of re-offending.*
39
40 A. Yes.
41
42 Q. The risk of re-offending is a concept that only
43 applies if there is knowledge of offending; is that right?
44 A. There had obviously been some issue with the young
45 man. I regarded that as some form of offending, yes.
46
47 Q. You accepted that what you surmised had happened in

1 respect of the young man was offending by Farrell?
2 A. Certainly.
3
4 Q. And you were seeking to avoid the possibility of risk
5 of re-offending because, in your mind, he had offended?
6 A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Thank you. That was the last meeting, wasn't it?
9 A. I think so, yes.
10
11 Q. You didn't have anything more to do with Farrell after
12 that?
13 A. No.
14
15 Q. Nothing at all?
16 A. Not that I recall, no.
17
18 Q. Did you follow the progress of his laicisation at all?
19 A. No - well, only insofar as each Bishop, both
20 Bishop Manning and Bishop Matthys, from time to time would
21 make reference to the difficulties they were having with
22 that.
23
24 Q. You had an interview with Mr Whitlam?
25 A. Yes.
26
27 Q. Can I take you to some of that: that's tab 6. I take
28 it you've read this?
29 A. Sorry, I think that's in another bundle.
30
31 Q. I beg your pardon. It will come to you. Do you have
32 that?
33 A. Yes.
34
35 Q. Can you tell us how that interview came about?
36 A. Yes. Bishop Kennedy and Bishop Fisher established
37 this inquiry and Cardinal Pell wrote to me directing my
38 cooperation with it.
39
40 Q. Did either Cardinal Pell or Bishop Kennedy say
41 anything to you about talking to the other two, that is,
42 Fathers Usher and --
43 A. Yes. I think Cardinal Pell's letter to me, from
44 memory, it's probably in the bundle somewhere, told me not
45 to talk to anyone about it, yes.
46
47 Q. It was a bit late for that, wasn't it, Father?

1 A. Of course.
2
3 Q. Did you speak with each other after the inquiry was
4 called and before you were interviewed?
5 A. No, no, we took that deliberate decision to be
6 obedient to that direction.
7
8 Q. Did you take that decision jointly?
9 A. I didn't talk to him about whether he was going to
10 talk to me or not; I just didn't talk to him.
11
12 Q. You had your solicitor present?
13 A. Yes.
14
15 Q. There was some discussion at the beginning about
16 whether or not procedural fairness would be accorded to
17 you?
18 A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Which was not ultimately resolved during the beginning
21 of the interview; is that right?
22 A. Yes. That's a verbatim interview, so whatever was
23 said there is correct.
24
25 Q. Can we turn, firstly, to page 19. Do you see there on
26 the screen, at about line 8, you refer to a level of just
27 complete disassociation from reality. By that you mean he
28 wouldn't accept that there were serious things that he'd
29 done and he had to go?
30 A. Yes.
31
32 Q. And the reference to the complaint was the Parramatta
33 complaint and, as we described her, "the girl"?
34 A. Yes.
35
36 Q. Coming down to the bottom of that page, line 43, you
37 say there the case in the Narrabri court had been dismissed
38 and you had suspicions there were others and that who they
39 were and what they'd say and whether they would be willing
40 to participate was problematic?
41 A. Yes.
42
43 Q. You had some names at that stage, did you?
44 A. No.
45
46 Q. You didn't? Turning over to page 23, towards the
47 bottom of that page, starting at line 38, there's reference

1 there to the question:
2
3 *No, but he was at least saying something*
4 *about his position as a priest ...*
5
6 A. I am sorry, which line? I'm sorry.
7
8 Q. I beg your pardon, line 38.
9 A. Yes.
10
11 Q. Do you have that on page 23?
12 A. Yes.
13
14 Q. I am sorry, I didn't mean to go that quickly.
15 A. I didn't hear you say which line, that's all:
16 my hearing.
17
18 Q. You're with us now?
19 A. Oh, absolutely, yes.
20
21 Q. You were asked in relation to what Farrell had said at
22 the meeting?
23 A. Yes.
24
25 Q. Or rather than asked, you were told that at least he
26 was saying something about his position as a priest giving
27 him protection?
28 A. Yes.
29
30 Q. And you replied:
31
32 *We said that was just fantasy.*
33
34 A. Yes.
35
36 Q. Again, that's a similar point to the disassociation
37 point you made: to think that he was going to come back
38 was just ridiculous?
39 A. Yes.
40
41 Q. He kept thinking he would come back?
42 A. Yes.
43
44 Q. Because he wouldn't accept the seriousness of the case
45 against him?
46 A. Yes.
47

1 Q. Coming over to page 24, you were asked questions about
2 the letter I just took you to. At the bottom of that page
3 the paragraphs were read to you and then at the bottom of
4 the page you say that you're not sure what "the full story"
5 meant; your recollection was that there was still a fair
6 level of minimisation?

7 A. Yes.

8

9 Q. So what he told you about his misconduct was not what
10 you thought was the full level of his misconduct?

11 A. That's probably correct, yes.

12

13 Q. But he certainly did tell you about occasions where he
14 had misconducted himself?

15 A. No, what we were speaking about there, I think, was
16 the young lady, which he just completely dismissed, and the
17 young man in the court case.

18

19 Q. I beg your pardon?

20 A. I think what's referred to there is the complaint by
21 the young lady, which he completely dismissed - he totally
22 minimised any significance of that; and then we had this
23 issue of the dismissal of the case in Narrabri.

24

25 Q. So you didn't mean minimisation in the sense that he
26 told you of conduct which was wrongdoing but he didn't tell
27 you all of it?

28 A. No.

29

30 Q. Now, turning over to page 36, starting at line 20, you
31 were asked whether there was anything more you thought you
32 could tell Mr Whitlam, and you referred to "puzzlement"?

33 A. Yes.

34

35 Q. Which you've referred to here:

36

37 *But John, in my conversations with John*
38 *when we were negotiating the Four Corners*
39 *response ...*

40

41 Stopping there, that's a good description, isn't it, of
42 what you were doing --

43 A. Yes.

44

45 Q. -- negotiating among you to come up with a response
46 that you could put in the public domain?

47 A. Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. *... quite definitive that the correct wording was to say that he didn't make any admissions of a specific nature ...*

Now, stopping there, "the correct wording" meaning the wording you'd all agreed to?

A. Yes.

Q. But somehow or other Monsignor Usher got it wrong in what he put in the note to the Cardinal?

A. Yes.

Q. Then at page 39, line 12, you describe the "broad, general admissions he made to us that he had misconducted himself"; do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. So there was more than just the one admission in respect of the Narrabri case, I take it from that?

A. No, what I'm referring to there was what Wayne had said, and I'd wondered whether Wayne had heard that from elsewhere.

Q. But you say there:

... whether in the broad, general admissions he made to us that he had misconducted himself ...

The only way you could read that is that there is more than one admission, isn't it?

A. My understanding of what I was saying to Mr Whitlam was this was the speculation of why Wayne had said what he had said in his letter.

Q. Do you accept that it could be read that there was more than one general admission made to you?

A. It could be read that way, yes.

Q. Coming down further to about line 28, you refer to:

... this general impression that we got him to a point of acknowledging that he had not behaved himself in the way that he should; a denial about doing what [somebody] had

1 *said he had done; but he wasn't a person*
2 *fit to stay in the priesthood.*

3
4 That was at the end of the three meetings, you got him to
5 that position?

6 A. Yes.

7
8 Q. And that's why it took three meetings, because there
9 was a deal of persuasion that had to be done?

10 A. Yes.

11
12 Q. And he wanted to go away and think about it?

13 A. Yes.

14
15 Q. None of which was unusual in your experience?

16 A. Well, mostly, it didn't take three meetings.

17
18 Q. But he was a difficult character, wasn't he?

19 A. Yes, but --

20
21 Q. And obstinate?

22 A. Yes.

23
24 Q. Could we go down to the bottom of that page. You're
25 referring there, I think, to the second letter - the letter
26 after the second meeting, which was your first letter to
27 Bishop Manning?

28 A. Yes.

29
30 Q. You refer to having "made some progress" in that
31 letter?

32 A. Yes.

33
34 Q. And the progress that you'd made was that you'd got
35 him as far as talking about the five-year plan?

36 A. Yes.

37
38 Q. And agreeing to aspects of it?

39 A. Yes.

40
41 Q. You understand, do you, that in one of his interviews
42 with Mr Whitlam, Bishop Manning, when taken to the letter,
43 was of the view that some of the phrasing used or the words
44 used were similar to what Farrell used? Are you aware of
45 that?

46 A. I don't specifically recall.

47

1 Q. If indeed that was the case, that Bishop Manning, who
2 knew Father Farrell well, had that view, it would be more
3 likely that what Father Peters had put in the letter was an
4 accurate take on what was said; do you accept that?
5 A. Unless there was other information from somewhere
6 else, I don't know.
7
8 Q. And if there was no other information from anywhere
9 else, it had to have come from what Father Farrell said?
10 A. Well, I don't know, because that's not my
11 recollection.
12
13 Q. But assuming that there was no information from any
14 other source, then the only source consistent with the
15 letter was Father Farrell, at that time meeting; do you
16 accept that?
17 A. If that's what was said, yes.
18
19 Q. Just two other matters, if I can, Father. Firstly, at
20 tab 313, you will see this is an email from
21 Nicholas Tonti-Filippini?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. You knew him, didn't you?
25 A. Yes.
26
27 Q. Who was he?
28 A. Who was he?
29
30 Q. Yes, at this time, July 2012?
31 A. Sorry, what year?
32
33 Q. July 2012.
34 A. At tab 313, sorry?
35
36 Q. I beg your pardon, it is tab 313, I'm sorry,
37 I've confused you.
38 A. 2012, I think at that stage - sorry, 2012?
39
40 Q. Yes.
41 A. He was, probably at that stage, almost semi-retired.
42 He was very, very ill. I'm not quite sure precisely, but
43 in 2012 he would have been semi-retired. He was an
44 independent ethicist.
45
46 Q. You had had some dealings with him in the past?
47 A. Oh, many, yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. And it is fair to say that you didn't always see eye to eye on things?

A. Oh, we sometimes had vigorous conversations, but very respectful. I had enormous admiration for him.

Q. He had a different view as to the way in which the protocol should proceed, didn't he?

A. In 1990 I think he presented some views and we had a conversation about that. Some of his views were very pertinent and we took those on board.

Q. Thank you. This is an email to Bishop Anthony Fisher, who at that time was --

A. Bishop of Parramatta.

Q. -- Bishop of Parramatta. He refers to "sensitive matters" and then it continues down to the fifth paragraph. He says there:

I now find myself in a position to have knowledge that bears upon the veracity or otherwise of the statement reportedly made to Cardinal Pell by one of those priests in relation to the admissions of abuse made by a priest of your diocese.

Did Dr Tonti-Filippini say anything to you about that?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any idea what he might be talking about?

A. I'm not sure who the priest of the Parramatta Diocese was that he would have been referring to, and I presume he's referring to Father Usher, since it was Father Usher who reportedly made a statement to Cardinal Pell.

Q. Do you have any knowledge, from any source, as to what knowledge Dr Tonti-Filippini had?

A. No.

Q. Has this been brought to your attention before these proceedings?

A. No.

Q. No? Is this the first time you've heard of it?

A. Oh, probably - I'm not sure whether it was presented to me by Mr Whitlam; I don't remember. But certainly

1 I don't recall that at that time, no.
2
3 Q. Was he in a position that you understand, at the
4 time - and by "the time" I mean 1992 - to have had access
5 to knowledge of that sort that you're aware of?
6 A. I'm not sure, with respect, what you mean by
7 "knowledge of that sort".
8
9 Q. Well, he says that he had knowledge that bore upon the
10 veracity of the statement reportedly made to
11 Cardinal Pell - as you say, that's likely to be
12 Father Usher's statement - in relation to the admissions
13 made. That's what he's saying; do you see that?
14 A. Yes. I'm not sure what that means.
15
16 Q. My question is whether you know of the position he was
17 in in 1992 and whether that position, to your mind, may
18 have given him access to knowledge of the sort set out in
19 this --
20 A. I wouldn't have expected so, but I don't know.
21
22 Q. You don't know? He died not long after that, I think,
23 didn't he?
24 A. I'm not sure. I remember we were both at a conference
25 some short time before he died. That was the last time
26 I had had conversations with him but --
27
28 Q. You know that he's now --
29 A. I know that, yes.
30
31 Q. Now, tab 317 is an email from Alex Kohn, who is a
32 partner in a law firm?
33 A. Yes.
34
35 Q. To Paul Davis?
36 A. Yes.
37
38 Q. I think you indicated earlier that he may well have
39 been associated with the Diocese of Parramatta?
40 A. Yes.
41
42 Q. This is dated 1 August, so that is after the Inquiry
43 was announced by Mr Whitlam?
44 A. Yes, yes.
45
46 Q. And well before you were interviewed?
47 A. I forget the exact date of my interview, but --

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. I think you were interviewed in September?

A. Yes.

Q. And the letter said:

*I have had the opportunity to confer with
Bishop Manning this morning.*

*We are instructed to request that the
following documents not be provided to
The Hon A Whitlam QC.*

It then sets out a letter dated 19 May and a chronology and various other bits and pieces. Did you know that there was a decision made, with legal advice, as to what to or what not to provide to Mr Whitlam?

A. No.

Q. Did you know that there were documents that had been identified, and then there was a request that they not be made?

A. No.

Q. You didn't know anything about that?

A. No.

Q. Did you have any role in what went to Mr Whitlam and what didn't?

A. Absolutely not.

Q. You were provided, by him, with various documents, I take it?

A. Yes.

Q. And commented on those documents?

A. Yes.

Q. And I take it you were not in a position to know, from that process, what he had or didn't have?

A. That's true.

MS FURNESS: Thank you. Thank you, your Honours, I have nothing further.

THE CHAIR: Does anyone else have any questions?

1 MR DUGGAN: I have some questions, your Honour.
2
3 THE CHAIR: Anyone else? Very well, I think you should go
4 first.
5
6 MR DUGGAN: Thank you.
7
8 <EXAMINATION BY MR DUGGAN:
9
10 MR DUGGAN: Q. Father Lucas, my name is Duggan; I appear
11 for Monsignor Usher in these proceedings?
12 A. Yes.
13
14 Q. Could I please take you to a document which is at
15 tab 262 in the bundle which you hopefully have. Do you
16 have that?
17 A. Yes.
18
19 Q. I think you were asked some questions about this
20 yesterday. It is an email from you to John Usher. Do you
21 see that?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. On 31 May 2012.
25 A. Yes.
26
27 Q. In it there are various details from the Broken Rites
28 website?
29 A. Yes.
30
31 Q. If you just go to the last page of this email, that's
32 your signature details there - the electronic details?
33 A. That's correct.
34
35 Q. Those details from the Broken Rites website, that's
36 clearly a cut and paste into an email, isn't it?
37 A. Yes.
38
39 Q. Could you just go back to the first page. The
40 initials in the top right of the email - do you recognise
41 those?
42 A. That's not my handwriting, it's "JU", but it's not my
43 handwriting.
44
45 Q. And the handwriting below that, "Farrell (Armidale
46 priest)", is that your handwriting?
47 A. I don't think it is, no.

1
2 Q. You don't think it is?
3 A. Well, it's - I'd be as confident as I can be that it's
4 not my handwriting.
5
6 Q. Can I suggest to you that it's Monsignor Usher's
7 handwriting - would you dispute that?
8 A. I wouldn't dispute that.
9
10 Q. There is some handwriting in a thicker pen just below
11 that?
12 A. Yes.
13
14 Q. "(KL has a version updated 4 June)"; is that your
15 handwriting?
16 A. No.
17
18 Q. Can I take you to page 3 of this email?
19 A. Yes.
20
21 Q. About two-thirds of the way down the page, the
22 paragraph starting "On 3 September 1991" - do you see that?
23 A. Yes.
24
25 Q. There is a line to the right of the three bullet
26 points?
27 A. Yes.
28
29 Q. And then there's the paragraph:
30
31 *Interviewed by the three officials,*
32 *Father XYZ admitted that he had been*
33 *committing sexual acts on young boys in his*
34 *parishes.*
35
36 A. Yes.
37
38 Q. And there's a question mark next to that paragraph?
39 A. Yes.
40
41 Q. Now, yesterday I think you gave evidence that that
42 question mark might have been put there by you. Is it
43 possible that you're mistaken and the question mark was put
44 there by someone else?
45 A. I had that recollection that it was my question mark,
46 but if there's some contrary view I'd concede that. It was
47 my recollection that that was my question mark pointing out

1 to John Usher the relevant part.
2
3 Q. Can I suggest to you that it is Monsignor Usher's
4 question mark; are you in a position to dispute that?
5 A. No.
6
7 MR DUGGAN: I have no further questions, thank you.
8
9 MS GERACE: I have some questions, your Honour.
10
11 THE CHAIR: Does anyone else have any questions. I've
12 already asked previously. You didn't identify yourself.
13 Does anyone have any questions.
14
15 MR SKINNER: Just me, Commissioner.
16
17 THE CHAIR: You can go last.
18
19 MR SKINNER: Thank you.
20
21 THE CHAIR: I would be grateful, when I ask that
22 question, if everyone who wishes to ask questions tells me
23 at the time. Yes, Ms Gerace.
24
25 **<EXAMINATION BY MS GERACE:**
26
27 MS GERACE: Q. Father Lucas, I represent Bishop Manning.
28 A. Yes.
29
30 Q. I have just a few general questions, please. Firstly,
31 in relation to the adoption of the Protocols for Responses
32 to Issues Involving Child Sexual Abuse - and I'll take you
33 to them if I need to - is it fair to say that from April
34 1991, Australian Bishops had adopted those protocols as
35 setting out a strategy for Bishops to follow in responding
36 to matters of child sexual abuse as they arose?
37 A. I'm having a little difficulty hearing, I'm sorry.
38
39 Q. I'm sorry, I'll move the microphone over.
40 A. It's not your fault, it's my hearing, I'm sorry.
41
42 Q. Is that easier?
43 A. Yes, it is. My apologies.
44
45 Q. Firstly, I was just asking you about the protocols for
46 dealing with allegations of criminal behaviour?
47 A. Yes.

1
2 Q. From April 1991, Bishops within NSW adopted the 1991
3 protocol as the protocol to follow when responding to
4 allegations of criminal behaviour involving their priests?
5 A. Yes.
6
7 Q. All right. That was later amended in 1992?
8 A. Yes.
9
10 Q. But, again, it was the protocol to be followed by the
11 bishops when they had to respond to an allegation of
12 criminal behaviour involving a priest?
13 A. Yes.
14
15 Q. The terms of the protocol itself exhorted their
16 bishops to adhere to the protocols set out as in how they
17 should respond to it?
18 A. Yes.
19
20 Q. I think you were asked this just generally, one of the
21 things you said earlier this morning about why
22 Bishop Manning would have referred Farrell to you following
23 a conversation he had where he appears to have potentially
24 made some admissions - that is, prior to your 3 September
25 1992 meeting - do you recall that?
26 A. Yes.
27
28 Q. If I need to, if the document at tab 137 could be
29 brought up at paragraph 6.4.2. I'm sorry, it is 139, my
30 apologies, and it is on page 7?
31 A. Sorry, 13 --
32
33 Q. It is tab 139.
34 A. I'm sorry.
35
36 Q. Do you see the paragraph 6.4.2 which deals with where
37 any information is brought by an accused - it might, in
38 this case, be Farrell - to the ecclesiastical authority,
39 which would be the Bishop, that matter should be
40 immediately referred to the Special Issues Resource Group?
41 A. I am sorry, 6-point?
42
43 Q. 6.4.2.
44 A. Yes, sorry, yes.
45
46 Q. This is the section that says that even if the accused
47 himself, or the priest, brings a matter to the Bishop's

1 attention, that issue had to be then immediately directed
2 to the Special Issues Resource Group?

3 A. Yes.

4

5 Q. So that would be consistent with the approach taken by
6 Bishop Manning - would you agree with this - that on this
7 occasion, even when a matter was brought to his attention
8 by Farrell himself --

9 A. Yes.

10

11 Q. -- he still referred Father Farrell to the Special
12 Issues Resource Group?

13 A. Yes.

14

15 Q. Just generally, one of the matters that had arisen
16 prior to the implementation of the protocols in 1991, and
17 in 1992 the amendments, was a recognition by those within
18 the church that Bishops themselves were generally
19 ill-informed about how to respond to matters involving
20 criminal behaviour; correct?

21 A. Yes.

22

23 Q. The reason for the protocol was to set a strategy to
24 be followed, a procedure to be followed, so that there
25 could be an informed approach taken when responding to
26 those allegations?

27 A. Yes.

28

29 Q. And also so that a committee could be set up of
30 specialists who could advise the Bishop on what needed to
31 be done; do you agree with that?

32 A. Yes.

33

34 Q. That included, also, advising the Bishop or other
35 church authorities on any legal responses to information
36 that they had, including any need to report to the police;
37 do you agree with that?

38 A. That may or may not have come from the members of the
39 committee. Specialist legal advice would have generally
40 come from their diocesan lawyers.

41

42 Q. Precisely, but as a preliminary, someone, a
43 specialist, needed to recognise that that further advice
44 needed to be sought; do you agree with that?

45 A. Yes.

46

47 Q. That would have been the responsibility of those in

1 the committee, to recognise when it was necessary to seek
2 further advice?
3 A. Yes.
4
5 Q. And the purpose of having all of those matters
6 referred to the Special Issues Resource Group, or the
7 committee, was that they were the people who could
8 recognise when further advice was needed and act upon that?
9 A. Yes.
10
11 Q. And then advise the Bishop if further advice was
12 needed?
13 A. Yes.
14
15 Q. You were asked yesterday about the 15 April 1992
16 discussion. Do you need to see that? I will just open it
17 up. It is at tab 136.
18 A. 136?
19
20 Q. Yes. Tab 136, thank you, Father. Do you see the top
21 note there. You were asked some questions, and without
22 going over it again I just want to clarify what your
23 evidence is: do you now have a recollection at all of the
24 conversation between yourself and the Bishop on that
25 occasion?
26 A. No.
27
28 Q. Can I just ask this: as at now, looking backwards,
29 were you aware at the time, say in April 1992, that in
30 years prior, Monsignor Usher, or Father Usher as he then
31 was, had firstly interviewed Father Farrell?
32 A. I'm not sure when I became aware of that.
33
34 Q. So you don't know now whether at this time you knew --
35 A. No, I don't.
36
37 Q. -- of that fact. Did you, in April 1992, if you're
38 able to tell us, know then that there had been
39 correspondence between Monsignor Peters and Father Usher in
40 relation to a complaint that had come up about
41 Father Farrell at Kenthurst in relation to a camping trip?
42 A. My understanding of the camping trip wasn't that there
43 was a --
44
45 Q. No, it had not occurred; it was just in relation to
46 the discussion of --
47 A. I don't think I had that knowledge.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. You did not have that knowledge at the time. It would appear though - you've now looked at all of those documents and you would be aware of those matters?

A. Yes.

Q. Would it be fair to say now that it would appear to be the case that at least from October 1990, members of the Armidale Diocese - Bishop Manning or through his Vicar-General - had been having discussions with members of the committee in relation to Father Farrell?

A. Yes.

Q. And by "the committee" I mean the Special Issues either Committee or Resource Group as it was?

A. That's right, yes.

Q. So to the extent that if in April 1992 you did not know of those earlier conversations with Monsignor Usher, and between Monsignor Usher and Monsignor Peters, and the interview of Farrell, that would appear to have been just some omission that occurred between the members of the committee in terms of their communications with each other of those different contacts; would you agree with that?

A. I think at that stage it would be unfair to describe it as a "committee". They were individuals who responded to particular requests. It was really only after the 1992 protocol, and at some point a little bit after that, that the NSW Bishops formally appointed people. The basis on which John Usher and I were asked to respond was based on some information given I think in about 1990, or so, to individual Bishops: "these are the names to use in this place", and there were other names for other States. So it wasn't a committee in the sense of having any interaction between ourselves.

THE CHAIR: How much longer will you be?

MS GERACE: I think I will be five to 10 minutes.

THE CHAIR: Mr Skinner, how much time do you need?

MR SKINNER: Your Honour, I have some fairly discrete points which I think will take a maximum of five minutes.

THE CHAIR: Do we think we can finish by 20 past 1?

1 MR SKINNER: I'm in my friend's hands, but I'll adhere to
2 my estimate.

3

4 THE CHAIR: Is everyone happy to sit until 20 past 1? I'm
5 looking down below me.

6

7 MS FURNESS: Yes.

8

9 MS GERACE: Q. Certainly by then, the April 1992
10 amendments did hold out to Bishops that, in fact, there was
11 a committee that they could seek advice from?

12 A. There was to be a resource group to be established,
13 yes.

14

15 Q. I don't want to go into the detail of the 3 September
16 1992 meeting at all; do you understand that? I don't
17 intend to ask you any questions about what happened at that
18 meeting or what was said. I just want to ask you some
19 questions about the process that was undertaken.

20

21 A. Yes.

22

23 Q. It would be fair to say that in relation to that
24 3 September 1992 meeting, or any meeting, in fact, that you
25 undertook with a priest or other clergy, could I ask you,
26 was it your practice at the time not to report details, if
27 admissions had been made, to a Bishop?

28

29 A. It would depend on the circumstances.

30

31 Q. Would one of the things, though, that you had in mind
32 be the fact that if admissions were made that needed to be
33 reported, that would be something that the group would act
34 upon?

35

36 A. It would depend on the nature of the meeting.

37

38 Q. I understand. Let me put it in a slightly different
39 way. It is clear that the protocol from 1992 asked that
40 all those complaints go to the Special Issues Resource
41 Group or the persons nominated; correct?

42

43 A. Yes.

44

45 Q. One of the reasons being, as we've already talked
46 about, was so that there could be a central and informed
47 response to allegations of criminal behaviour; correct?

48

49 A. Yes.

50

51 Q. And that that group could identify what needed to be
52 done, including whether any specialist legal advice needed

1 to be sought about reporting to the police; correct?
2 A. Yes. To be honest, in 1992, the question of reporting
3 to the police was not in people's minds. The question in
4 1992 was really working out what victims wanted done,
5 helping them report to the police. But I don't believe, in
6 1992, it was in anyone's mind that there would be some form
7 of independent reporting to the police outside of some
8 involvement of people who had been abused in part of that
9 process.

10
11 Q. Can I ask you, then, does that follow, from the
12 presentations that you made to Bishops, that that issue of
13 potentially needing to report directly was not something
14 Bishops were advised about?

15 A. No, there was a different sort of reporting. There's
16 the mandatory reporting with respect to children, which, of
17 course, the law on that is clear and Bishops were advised
18 about that. The question of reporting, for example, in NSW
19 only, with respect to section 316, I'm sure was not in my
20 mind in 1992.

21
22 Q. And, therefore, if it was not in your mind, that was
23 not something upon which you had given advice to the
24 Bishops of NSW; is that correct?

25 A. That's right.

26
27 Q. Leaving that aside, though, whether or not it was in
28 your mind, the question of whether it should have been in
29 your mind or whether that was part of what should have been
30 considered was the responsibility of the group, the Special
31 Issues Resource Group, to turn their mind to with the
32 assistance of legal advice?

33 A. If necessary, yes.

34
35 MS GERACE: Thank you. I have no further questions.

36
37 THE CHAIR: Mr Skinner?

38
39 **<EXAMINATION BY MR SKINNER:**

40
41 MR SKINNER: Q. For the record, my name is Skinner and
42 I appear for your interests, Father Lucas.

43 A. Yes.

44
45 Q. Do you remember yesterday, just after lunch, you were
46 taken to the circumstances of the meeting being set up, and
47 you said you presumed - "whether John and Wayne came" -

1 that's John Usher and Wayne Peters - "and we had dinner
2 together beforehand or had some conversation beforehand -
3 I presume we did, but I don't specifically remember" - now,
4 that topic of how it came about to logistically set the
5 meeting up, I want to take you to that; do you understand?
6 A. Yes.

7
8 Q. You agreed yesterday that you hadn't seen the letter
9 that apparently the Bishop had sent to Farrell, dated
10 27 August 1992 - which is at tab 160, for the record -
11 saying, "I'm referring you to a meeting with the
12 representative of the Special Issues Resource Group";
13 Manning sent that to him, do you remember that?

14 A. Yes.

15
16 Q. And do you remember you were shown a file note that
17 apparently Bishop Manning then spoke about that letter with
18 Farrell two days before you met him - that is, on
19 1 September 1992; do you see that?

20 A. Yes.

21
22 Q. Apparently, if that file note expresses what was said
23 properly, Farrell said he was prepared to meet with you,
24 and he made no reference to Wayne Peters also being
25 present; do you remember that?

26 A. Yes.

27
28 Q. By the time that file note apparently was written,
29 1 September 1992, had the meeting been set up?

30 A. I don't recall. I presume it would have been.

31
32 Q. The Bishop says in his letter to Farrell, that he was
33 "referring him" - 27 August, so a few days before. Does
34 that assist whether the Bishop spoke to you first before he
35 wrote that letter to Farrell?

36 A. I'm not sure.

37
38 Q. As you said yesterday, you can't recall the
39 preliminaries, but do you recall Wayne Peters saying
40 anything to you about any knowledge he had of,
41 specifically, the discussions that apparently his Bishop
42 had had with Farrell two days before?

43 A. No.

44
45 Q. Do you have a memory that he didn't say anything to
46 you?

47 A. I have no memory that he said it. I think I would

1 have remembered if he had given some background briefing
2 about that.

3

4 Q. All right. There's another thing I wanted to ask you
5 about from the contemporaneous notes. It appears - again,
6 for the record, tab 164 - that Father John Usher rang
7 Bishop Manning on 10 September 1992. Sorry, I withdraw
8 that. It appears that Bishop Manning rang Father Usher.
9 Perhaps that can come up on the screen. I don't think you
10 have been taken to that before. That's tab 164. I ask you
11 to assume, as I do, it having been presented to us in this
12 form, that that is an extract from Father John Usher's
13 diary for 10 September 1992. Do you see it says:

14

15 *Spoke to Kevin Manning (rang me earlier at*
16 *home).*

17

18 I think that's what it says.

19

A. Yes.

20

21 Q. Leaving aside the content of it, were you aware that
22 Father John Usher spoke, it appears, to Bishop Manning on
23 that occasion?

24

A. I have no recollection of knowing about that.

25

26 Q. Did you ever speak to Bishop Manning around about that
27 time?

28

A. I expect I would have rung after each meeting, yes.

29

30 Q. Would it be normal --

31

A. Could I --

32

33 Q. -- that John would speak - sorry?

34

35 A. I'm just looking at that date, 10 September. Between
36 the two meetings, and I'm not sure precisely the dates,
37 this is - is this - the first meeting was 3 September.

37

38 Q. 3 September, yes?

39

A. The next meeting was 24 September.

40

41 Q. Yes.

42

43 A. I was overseas. I undertook the study tour on the
44 protocol around that time, to the United States, so it
45 could well be on 10 September I was not around and perhaps
46 that's why Bishop Manning spoke to John Usher.

46

47 Q. Do you remember Wayne Peters taking any notes in the

1 meeting of 3 September 1992?
2 A. No, no-one took any notes.
3
4 Q. Did he ever discuss with you that he would report back
5 to Bishop Manning?
6 A. I was presuming that there would be some sort of
7 report back.
8
9 Q. Did he discuss the form in which he would report?
10 A. No.
11
12 Q. Did he ever tell you that he had reported?
13 A. No.
14
15 Q. Did he ever tell you that it took, it would seem,
16 eight days for him to send the letter back?
17 A. No. I knew nothing about that letter ever.
18
19 Q. Just briefly, then, you said yesterday, when you were
20 asked about your background, that you had legal training
21 before you came into the priesthood?
22 A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And you mentioned that you'd worked in the Children's
25 Courts; is that correct?
26 A. That's correct, yes.
27
28 Q. And you mentioned that Cardinal Clancy knew you had
29 some background in the Children's Court and that may have
30 been a reason why he first put you with John Usher to head
31 up the sorts of matters that you worked on with John Usher?
32 A. Yes.
33
34 Q. Also, I think you continued to work in the Children's
35 Court when you were a priest?
36 A. No, no, I only did that during my seminary training.
37 The Law Society had set up a Legal Aid scheme in the NSW
38 Children's Court and I saw the opportunity for my summer
39 vacations and mid-year vacations to be on the roster of
40 those duty solicitor schemes, and I did a fair bit of work
41 over the next four years, when I was in the seminary,
42 representing children in the Children's Courts.
43
44 Q. In those days, and probably even today, you do see and
45 learn of some horrible things that bring children before
46 the court?
47 A. Yes, it was an extraordinary experience in my life.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Q. And you have published on legal matters involving children?

A. Yes. I took an interest in it. It was all that I had to do, so I took a significant interest in some of the academic sides of it. There had been - at that time, in the late 1970s, it was when the first mandatory reporting came in in NSW, and there were changes particularly to the evidentiary questions in Children's Courts, so I wrote up a few publications in the Criminal Law Journal, Law Society Journal about different aspects of that rather new area of having solicitors representing children, particularly children who can't give instructions, and how that all worked.

Q. Why did you want to write on those sorts of matters?

A. I found it was an area of public policy and social policy that I took an interest in and, as I said, I had that experience of the things that I saw there, and there were great difficulties in the management of those cases.

Q. Finally, there was a somewhat Delphic comment you made yesterday in the transcript at 21231 about, in effect, your role in trying to get priests to leave the church and that being subject to the Bishop's control.

MS FURNESS: What page is it?

MR SKINNER: 21231, line 17.

Q. You said:

I mean, you can't physically drag a person into therapy.

And then you said:

I'm thinking particularly of the men I talked to who pleaded guilty.

And addressing the Commissioner directly, I think, you said this:

... you did a case study on that just late last week ...

Are you referring there to some example of your speaking to

1 a priest and, what is it, encouraging him to plead guilty,
2 is it?

3 A. Yes.

4

5 Q. Who was that?

6 A. That was Vincent Ryan.

7

8 MR SKINNER: Thank you, I will leave it there. Thank you
9 Commissioners.

10

11 THE CHAIR: Yes, Ms Furness.

12

13 MS FURNESS: Nothing further, your Honour.

14

15 THE CHAIR: Very well. That concludes your evidence,
16 Father, and you're excused.

17

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honour.

19

20 <THE WITNESS WITHDREW

21

22 THE CHAIR: We will adjourn until 10am tomorrow morning.

23

24 **AT 1.18PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO WEDNESDAY,**
25 **21 SEPTEMBER 2016 AT 10AM**

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

'**he** [1] - 21364:9
'**not** [1] - 21348:9

1

1 [10] - 21343:23,
21352:33, 21365:40,
21382:15, 21385:43,
21417:42, 21425:46,
21426:4, 21428:19,
21428:29
1.18PM [1] - 21432:24
10 [5] - 21425:39,
21429:7, 21429:13,
21429:34, 21429:44
10am [5] - 21343:26,
21344:1, 21344:5,
21344:7, 21432:22
10AM [1] - 21432:25
11 [4] - 21388:4,
21388:9, 21388:14,
21404:45
12 [1] - 21413:15
13 [1] - 21422:31
136 [3] - 21424:17,
21424:18, 21424:20
137 [1] - 21422:28
139 [2] - 21422:29,
21422:33
14 [3] - 21372:33,
21405:24, 21405:39
15 [3] - 21350:26,
21405:43, 21424:15
16 [4] - 21346:22,
21346:28, 21347:1,
21405:44
160 [1] - 21428:10
161 [1] - 21351:41
162 [1] - 21389:34
164 [2] - 21429:6,
21429:10
166 [1] - 21396:33
167 [1] - 21385:23
17 [5] - 21343:22,
21404:24, 21404:25,
21406:4, 21431:29
171 [1] - 21387:42
175 [1] - 21398:20
18 [1] - 21344:14
19 [3] - 21344:14,
21410:25, 21418:14
194 [1] - 21397:18
1970s [1] - 21431:7
1984 [2] - 21401:39,
21401:41
1988 [3] - 21375:29,
21375:33, 21375:34
1990 [3] - 21416:9,

21425:8, 21425:31
1991 [5] - 21420:22,
21421:34, 21422:2,
21423:16
1992 [32] - 21345:24,
21351:9, 21351:35,
21369:19, 21371:16,
21384:40, 21393:31,
21397:23, 21417:4,
21417:17, 21422:7,
21422:25, 21423:17,
21424:15, 21424:29,
21424:37, 21425:18,
21425:27, 21426:9,
21426:16, 21426:23,
21426:36, 21427:2,
21427:4, 21427:6,
21427:20, 21428:10,
21428:19, 21428:29,
21429:7, 21429:13,
21430:1
1996 [3] - 21397:21,
21397:25, 21398:2
1998 [1] - 21375:30

2

2 [4] - 21380:22,
21381:8, 21401:4,
21401:6
20 [11] - 21343:26,
21362:39, 21366:32,
21367:18, 21367:26,
21374:20, 21379:5,
21394:42, 21412:30,
21425:46, 21426:4
2012 [6] - 21415:30,
21415:33, 21415:38,
21415:43, 21419:24
2016 [2] - 21343:26,
21432:25
21 [1] - 21432:25
212 [1] - 21343:19
21231 [2] - 21431:23,
21431:29
23 [3] - 21359:15,
21410:46, 21411:11
24 [4] - 21385:13,
21396:33, 21412:1,
21429:39
25 [1] - 21385:27
25th [1] - 21398:24
262 [1] - 21419:15
27 [3] - 21359:42,
21428:10, 21428:33
28 [2] - 21361:1,
21413:42
283 [1] - 21372:47
285 [2] - 21344:33,
21359:14

286 [1] - 21361:8
289 [2] - 21371:35,
21373:18
290 [1] - 21376:44
291 [1] - 21378:7
292 [1] - 21378:9
293 [1] - 21378:16
294 [2] - 21378:43,
21394:30
297 [1] - 21380:6

3

3 [16] - 21346:2,
21363:21, 21365:39,
21370:40, 21389:35,
21401:3, 21401:4,
21401:16, 21420:18,
21420:22, 21422:24,
21426:15, 21426:23,
21429:36, 21429:38,
21430:1
303 [1] - 21381:35
31 [2] - 21360:18,
21419:24
313 [3] - 21415:20,
21415:34, 21415:36
316 [3] - 21393:29,
21393:31, 21427:19
317 [1] - 21417:31
36 [1] - 21412:30
38 [2] - 21410:47,
21411:8
39 [1] - 21413:15

4

4 [8] - 21344:42,
21348:28, 21352:9,
21359:11, 21370:13,
21378:10, 21394:39,
21420:14
43 [1] - 21410:36
44 [1] - 21343:18

5

5 [2] - 21380:23,
21403:2

6

6 [4] - 21353:27,
21380:5, 21408:24,
21409:27
6-point [1] - 21422:41
6.4.2 [3] - 21422:29,
21422:36, 21422:43

7

7 [4] - 21388:30,
21404:16, 21404:25,
21422:30

8

8 [3] - 21366:18,
21404:26, 21410:26

9

9 [4] - 21366:27,
21369:16, 21380:25,
21404:31

A

ABC [1] - 21368:29
able [3] - 21349:20,
21369:22, 21424:38
absence [1] -
21350:36
absent [1] - 21356:18
absolutely [3] -
21366:43, 21411:19,
21418:30
abundant [1] -
21378:30
Abuse [1] - 21421:32
ABUSE [1] - 21343:14
abuse [3] - 21400:13,
21416:25, 21421:36
abused [6] - 21354:8,
21376:31, 21393:37,
21395:16, 21399:29,
21427:8
academic [1] -
21431:6
accept [28] -
21350:18, 21358:25,
21362:30, 21366:42,
21367:20, 21367:22,
21367:33, 21367:38,
21368:4, 21369:20,
21381:13, 21381:19,
21381:23, 21386:32,
21389:7, 21400:1,
21401:6, 21401:13,
21402:13, 21404:10,
21404:21, 21405:12,
21407:25, 21410:28,
21411:44, 21413:38,
21415:4, 21415:16
acceptable [1] -
21398:42
acceptance [1] -
21356:40
accepted [10] -

21351:36, 21357:45,
21364:40, 21365:37,
21377:31, 21384:41,
21384:42, 21400:4,
21400:6, 21408:47
accepting [1] -
21408:7
accepts [2] -
21365:43, 21366:2
access [3] - 21346:17,
21417:4, 21417:18
accord [3] - 21365:22,
21368:9, 21380:22
accordance [1] -
21355:15
accorded [1] -
21410:16
according [2] -
21353:8, 21353:15
accordingly [1] -
21383:32
account [9] -
21359:27, 21361:30,
21364:41, 21381:10,
21381:14, 21385:19,
21385:44, 21386:24,
21387:38
accurate [5] -
21355:17, 21363:13,
21365:43, 21386:32,
21415:4
accurately [2] -
21355:21, 21355:23
accused [2] -
21422:37, 21422:46
achieve [1] - 21381:42
achieved [1] -
21386:12
acknowledged [2] -
21373:45, 21374:10
acknowledging [3] -
21394:42, 21396:22,
21413:45
acknowledgment [6] -
21373:27, 21373:36,
21373:42, 21374:3,
21374:15, 21377:12
acronym [1] - 21397:3
act [5] - 21356:3,
21388:35, 21389:8,
21424:8, 21426:31
action [3] - 21355:45,
21357:3, 21358:30
activity [1] - 21374:6
acts [1] - 21420:33
added [1] - 21364:9
addition [1] - 21408:3
additional [3] -
21378:30, 21390:32,
21393:20

addressing [2] - 21395:15, 21431:41
adhere [2] - 21422:16, 21426:1
adjourn [1] - 21432:22
adjournment [1] - 21389:29
admiration [1] - 21416:5
admission [14] - 21352:25, 21357:26, 21358:1, 21358:14, 21358:38, 21364:17, 21365:33, 21367:28, 21374:1, 21384:28, 21401:40, 21413:20, 21413:33, 21413:39
admissions [46] - 21346:29, 21347:6, 21347:46, 21348:3, 21348:39, 21348:46, 21350:1, 21350:5, 21355:32, 21357:12, 21358:34, 21358:36, 21359:26, 21360:13, 21360:27, 21360:34, 21362:21, 21363:23, 21363:26, 21363:28, 21363:31, 21363:36, 21363:44, 21364:4, 21364:8, 21364:16, 21367:27, 21369:1, 21373:23, 21379:30, 21380:1, 21380:3, 21386:15, 21386:36, 21386:38, 21395:9, 21408:4, 21408:9, 21413:5, 21413:16, 21413:29, 21416:25, 21417:12, 21422:24, 21426:26, 21426:30
admit [1] - 21355:2
admitted [13] - 21349:38, 21354:38, 21354:45, 21357:27, 21383:8, 21383:30, 21384:4, 21390:34, 21399:28, 21406:35, 21406:37, 21406:39, 21420:32
adopted [2] - 21421:34, 21422:2
adoption [1] - 21421:31
advice [20] - 21378:16, 21378:20, 21378:30, 21378:31, 21378:32, 21378:35, 21378:37, 21379:16, 21380:26, 21380:47, 21418:16, 21423:39, 21423:43, 21424:2, 21424:8, 21424:11, 21426:11, 21426:47, 21427:23, 21427:32
advise [2] - 21423:30, 21424:11
advised [4] - 21377:41, 21403:6, 21427:14, 21427:17
advising [1] - 21423:34
advocate [1] - 21376:30
advocates [1] - 21376:24
aeroplane [2] - 21372:20, 21372:32
affirming [1] - 21395:9
afterwards [1] - 21354:22
agitation [2] - 21395:4, 21396:1
ago [4] - 21366:32, 21379:5, 21394:31, 21394:42
agree [24] - 21348:3, 21349:23, 21356:24, 21357:7, 21357:35, 21362:23, 21362:33, 21363:4, 21363:8, 21369:37, 21372:3, 21372:19, 21372:23, 21390:12, 21390:17, 21395:35, 21402:22, 21403:26, 21423:6, 21423:31, 21423:37, 21423:44, 21425:24
agreed [17] - 21346:31, 21346:37, 21346:38, 21346:39, 21347:13, 21348:10, 21360:45, 21362:22, 21362:24, 21367:6, 21368:33, 21372:47, 21387:46, 21402:16, 21402:21, 21413:8, 21428:8
agreeing [2] - 21357:17, 21414:38
agreement [3] - 21360:22, 21360:26, 21374:27
ahead [2] - 21365:44, 21366:3
Aid [1] - 21430:37
air [2] - 21382:45, 21382:46
airport [3] - 21345:47, 21361:14, 21363:15
albeit [2] - 21362:36, 21384:29
Alex [1] - 21417:31
allegation [2] - 21384:2, 21422:11
allegations [12] - 21383:11, 21395:16, 21399:33, 21399:44, 21401:30, 21402:37, 21406:45, 21407:42, 21421:46, 21422:4, 21423:26, 21426:43
alleged [8] - 21348:38, 21351:25, 21358:1, 21374:27, 21390:34, 21390:40, 21391:1, 21395:31
allegedly [1] - 21366:9
alliances [1] - 21353:29
almost [1] - 21415:41
altar [1] - 21354:40
alternatively [1] - 21353:9
amended [1] - 21422:7
amendments [2] - 21423:17, 21426:10
amounted [2] - 21374:7, 21390:9
angry [2] - 21388:47, 21389:4
Ann [2] - 21343:34, 21373:22
announced [1] - 21417:43
answer [3] - 21348:32, 21356:45, 21364:4
answered [2] - 21364:15, 21384:9
Anthony [1] - 21416:13
anyway [2] - 21356:18, 21358:47
apiece [2] - 21352:44, 21355:4
apiece" [1] - 21352:41
apologies [2] - 21421:43, 21422:30
appalling [1] - 21349:5
apparent [1] - 21383:30
appear [5] - 21419:10, 21425:3, 21425:7, 21425:21, 21427:42
appeared [1] - 21387:31
applies [1] - 21408:43
appointed [1] - 21425:29
appointment [7] - 21354:36, 21385:46, 21386:6, 21386:8, 21386:16, 21408:25, 21408:29
appreciate [1] - 21350:42
approach [5] - 21376:20, 21376:31, 21393:40, 21423:5, 21423:25
approached [1] - 21395:36
appropriate [5] - 21345:40, 21361:39, 21378:23, 21378:25, 21393:39
April [7] - 21421:33, 21422:2, 21424:15, 21424:29, 21424:37, 21425:18, 21426:9
Archdiocese [2] - 21344:40, 21345:6
archdiocese [4] - 21345:17, 21372:1, 21372:13, 21372:17
area [5] - 21356:39, 21358:2, 21358:8, 21431:11, 21431:17
arena [1] - 21346:15
argument [2] - 21400:29, 21400:46
arisen [1] - 21423:15
Armida [7] - 21346:12, 21367:43, 21381:4, 21399:10, 21404:42, 21419:45, 21425:9
arose [2] - 21407:43, 21421:36
arrived [1] - 21372:4
ascertain [1] - 21388:33
aside [5] - 21370:47, 21379:37, 21381:23, 21427:27, 21429:21
aspects [3] - 21349:13, 21414:38, 21431:11
assault [3] - 21396:47, 21397:9, 21397:13
assist [4] - 21356:39, 21356:46, 21393:38, 21428:34
assistance [1] - 21427:32
Assisting [2] - 21343:40, 21344:17
associated [3] - 21356:8, 21368:18, 21417:39
assume [2] - 21388:13, 21429:11
assumed [1] - 21371:33
assumes [1] - 21396:17
assuming [2] - 21396:18, 21415:13
assumption [2] - 21355:5, 21388:17
AT [2] - 21432:24, 21432:25
attached [1] - 21385:31
attempt [1] - 21362:34
attended [1] - 21384:30
attention [6] - 21367:22, 21367:41, 21371:35, 21416:41, 21423:1, 21423:7
attributed [1] - 21402:35
August [3] - 21417:42, 21428:10, 21428:33
Australian [2] - 21380:46, 21421:34
authorities [1] - 21423:35
authority [2] - 21404:31, 21422:38
available [2] - 21355:39, 21369:27
avoid [2] - 21404:2, 21409:4
aware [9] - 21344:24, 21373:13, 21380:14, 21414:44, 21417:5, 21424:29, 21424:32, 21425:4, 21429:21

B

background [5] - 21380:16, 21404:17, 21429:1, 21430:20, 21430:29
backwards [1] - 21424:28
bad [2] - 21383:9, 21383:10
based [5] - 21358:17, 21400:26, 21402:9, 21403:21, 21425:30
basis [10] - 21346:41, 21351:33, 21357:36, 21360:44, 21375:3, 21375:8, 21402:30,

21402:37, 21403:29, 21425:29
bears [1] - 21416:22
became [1] - 21424:32
beforehand [2] - 21428:2
beg [9] - 21377:20, 21377:40, 21379:14, 21380:13, 21401:10, 21409:31, 21411:8, 21412:19, 21415:36
begin [2] - 21350:1, 21356:13
beginning [9] - 21357:23, 21362:5, 21362:30, 21364:31, 21373:19, 21376:29, 21379:7, 21410:15, 21410:20
begins [1] - 21406:4
begun [1] - 21355:41
behaved [1] - 21413:46
behaviour [14] - 21349:5, 21352:26, 21383:4, 21383:12, 21383:16, 21383:24, 21383:45, 21383:47, 21384:5, 21421:46, 21422:4, 21422:12, 21423:20, 21426:43
behind [3] - 21380:6, 21385:23, 21396:33
believes [1] - 21348:41
bell [1] - 21363:16
below [4] - 21363:11, 21419:45, 21420:10, 21426:5
best [8] - 21358:30, 21373:34, 21374:15, 21377:26, 21381:25, 21381:44, 21382:13, 21408:36
betrayal [1] - 21400:12
better [1] - 21388:43
between [9] - 21351:39, 21355:18, 21362:18, 21424:24, 21424:39, 21425:20, 21425:22, 21425:35, 21429:34
beyond [1] - 21395:16
Bishop [78] - 21344:18, 21350:45, 21351:9, 21351:39, 21352:4, 21352:30, 21353:2, 21353:9, 21354:19, 21355:7, 21355:20, 21355:29, 21355:31, 21355:32, 21355:40, 21356:23, 21356:25, 21356:42, 21356:46, 21357:3, 21357:11, 21357:21, 21357:22, 21357:40, 21358:40, 21358:42, 21359:4, 21365:29, 21365:31, 21365:32, 21376:6, 21381:4, 21385:19, 21387:19, 21387:39, 21388:7, 21388:14, 21388:18, 21398:21, 21403:7, 21404:32, 21404:37, 21406:22, 21409:19, 21409:20, 21409:36, 21409:40, 21414:27, 21414:42, 21415:1, 21416:13, 21416:15, 21416:17, 21418:8, 21421:27, 21422:22, 21422:39, 21423:6, 21423:30, 21423:34, 21424:11, 21424:24, 21425:9, 21426:26, 21428:9, 21428:17, 21428:32, 21428:34, 21428:41, 21429:7, 21429:8, 21429:22, 21429:26, 21429:45, 21430:5
Bishop's [5] - 21357:25, 21357:42, 21357:45, 21422:47, 21431:25
Bishops [11] - 21421:34, 21421:35, 21422:2, 21423:18, 21425:29, 21425:32, 21426:10, 21427:12, 21427:14, 21427:17, 21427:24
bishops [3] - 21356:39, 21422:11, 21422:16
bit [5] - 21376:34, 21396:43, 21409:47, 21425:28, 21430:40
bits [1] - 21418:15
board [1] - 21416:11
bore [1] - 21417:9
bottom [10] - 21346:5, 21378:17, 21382:15, 21388:30, 21396:44, 21410:36, 21410:47, 21412:2, 21412:3, 21414:24
boy [3] - 21364:23, 21383:10, 21390:27
boy" [2] - 21383:9, 21383:31
boys [7] - 21347:32, 21359:17, 21359:28, 21403:40, 21406:36, 21420:33
Bp [1] - 21354:30
BRIAN [1] - 21344:1
Brian [4] - 21396:35, 21399:23, 21402:21, 21406:21
brief [4] - 21357:10, 21357:22, 21357:25, 21357:42
briefing [2] - 21380:17, 21429:1
briefly [1] - 21430:19
bring [4] - 21401:39, 21402:27, 21402:33, 21430:45
bringing [2] - 21354:9, 21401:22
brings [1] - 21422:47
broad [2] - 21413:15, 21413:28
Broken [4] - 21346:17, 21367:21, 21419:27, 21419:35
Brother [2] - 21387:12, 21387:23
Brothers [3] - 21387:1, 21387:2, 21387:6
brought [8] - 21352:12, 21352:40, 21367:22, 21367:41, 21416:41, 21422:29, 21422:37, 21423:7
bullet [1] - 21420:25
Bundle [1] - 21344:13
bundle [5] - 21344:20, 21397:41, 21409:29, 21409:44, 21419:15
bundles [1] - 21344:34
business [3] - 21345:12, 21388:35, 21389:8
BY [4] - 21344:31, 21419:8, 21421:25, 21427:39

C

calm [2] - 21388:35, 21389:8
calmed [1] - 21389:3
camping [2] - 21424:41, 21424:42
cancelled [2] - 21398:10, 21398:14
cannot [2] - 21350:12, 21353:19
canonical [5] - 21356:36, 21403:9, 21403:18, 21403:35, 21404:2
capacity [1] - 21345:10
car [3] - 21345:46, 21361:13, 21363:15
Cardinal [13] - 21345:11, 21360:44, 21362:27, 21371:8, 21371:21, 21409:37, 21409:40, 21409:43, 21413:12, 21416:24, 21416:35, 21417:11, 21430:28
careful [4] - 21363:40, 21363:41, 21382:11, 21382:21
carefully [1] - 21363:34
case [35] - 21345:36, 21345:43, 21346:19, 21349:32, 21350:21, 21355:35, 21356:38, 21356:45, 21357:6, 21358:3, 21367:23, 21367:37, 21368:23, 21374:30, 21379:11, 21383:11, 21385:1, 21385:5, 21386:19, 21386:20, 21403:46, 21404:32, 21405:32, 21407:38, 21408:20, 21408:28, 21410:37, 21411:44, 21412:17, 21412:23, 21413:21, 21415:1, 21422:38, 21425:8, 21431:44
Case [1] - 21343:18
cases [2] - 21346:13, 21431:20
Casey [6] - 21345:5, 21345:6, 21345:11, 21345:12, 21371:7, 21371:8
cast [1] - 21345:42
Catholic [1] - 21344:39
Catholics [1] - 21354:7
caused [2] - 21347:29, 21394:20
caution [1] - 21378:30
cautious [9] - 21373:38, 21374:19, 21374:22, 21374:36, 21379:9, 21379:29, 21379:33, 21382:20, 21382:32
cavilled [1] - 21367:6
central [1] - 21426:42
centred [1] - 21400:13
certainly [25] - 21346:37, 21347:26, 21350:42, 21351:11, 21353:23, 21362:31, 21365:18, 21366:37, 21368:15, 21373:44, 21374:28, 21382:7, 21382:19, 21385:39, 21389:23, 21390:9, 21390:19, 21393:15, 21393:30, 21402:42, 21407:1, 21409:2, 21412:13, 21416:47, 21426:9
cetera [1] - 21383:20
Chair [1] - 21343:33
CHAIR [31] - 21344:7, 21346:43, 21347:45, 21349:8, 21349:26, 21349:45, 21356:17, 21357:15, 21358:5, 21364:47, 21365:5, 21368:12, 21383:39, 21389:28, 21390:25, 21392:23, 21394:28, 21396:29, 21418:46, 21419:3, 21421:11, 21421:17, 21421:21, 21425:37, 21425:41, 21425:46, 21426:4, 21427:37, 21432:11, 21432:15, 21432:22
Chambers [1] - 21371:3
chance [2] - 21401:21, 21402:4
change [8] - 21364:37, 21364:45, 21364:47, 21365:3, 21377:18, 21377:21, 21379:12, 21379:15
changed [4] - 21344:19, 21364:35, 21370:7, 21379:20
changes [1] - 21431:8
character [1] - 21414:18
characterisation [1] - 21346:35
characterisations [1] - 21404:10
charge [2] - 21352:11, 21353:45

charged [3] - 21352:19, 21354:2, 21374:7

charges [11] - 21399:25, 21399:30, 21400:22, 21400:34, 21400:43, 21401:23, 21401:39, 21401:42, 21402:27, 21402:33, 21407:47

charging [1] - 21354:8

Child [1] - 21421:32

child [4] - 21396:47, 21397:9, 21397:13, 21421:36

CHILD [1] - 21343:14

children [14] - 21390:2, 21390:4, 21390:10, 21390:13, 21390:22, 21393:5, 21393:6, 21400:42, 21427:16, 21430:42, 21430:45, 21431:3, 21431:12, 21431:13

Children's [6] - 21430:24, 21430:29, 21430:34, 21430:38, 21430:42, 21431:9

chooses [1] - 21346:44

Christian [1] - 21387:2

chronology [1] - 21418:14

Church [1] - 21353:45

church [22] - 21350:28, 21350:31, 21353:39, 21354:9, 21356:24, 21356:41, 21357:8, 21357:17, 21371:15, 21376:35, 21394:7, 21394:8, 21394:10, 21394:24, 21399:7, 21400:47, 21401:40, 21405:33, 21405:36, 21423:18, 21423:35, 21431:24

circumstance [1] - 21386:13

circumstances [12] - 21355:8, 21356:20, 21361:27, 21368:46, 21371:5, 21374:33, 21384:17, 21385:45, 21386:24, 21387:25, 21426:27, 21427:46

civil [2] - 21399:25, 21401:22

claim [3] - 21368:4, 21394:16, 21395:8

claimed [3] - 21352:11, 21353:30, 21394:7

claims [3] - 21354:35, 21368:1, 21390:6

Clancy [1] - 21430:28

clarify [3] - 21344:22, 21345:31, 21424:22

clear [21] - 21346:23, 21346:30, 21346:31, 21346:38, 21347:9, 21347:17, 21347:25, 21347:29, 21348:9, 21348:11, 21348:19, 21348:28, 21348:36, 21358:25, 21370:13, 21386:4, 21388:3, 21401:26, 21406:11, 21426:36, 21427:17

clearer [1] - 21377:37

clearly [10] - 21353:35, 21355:3, 21370:13, 21372:25, 21378:7, 21383:20, 21398:27, 21403:33, 21404:21, 21419:36

clergy [2] - 21354:7, 21426:24

clerical [1] - 21353:36

client [1] - 21349:23

cloak [1] - 21354:6

close [2] - 21371:6, 21405:26

Coate [2] - 21343:34, 21350:35

combative [4] - 21376:20, 21376:31, 21377:34, 21377:42

coming [16] - 21345:30, 21359:10, 21362:42, 21363:10, 21372:3, 21373:18, 21376:2, 21376:17, 21401:16, 21404:16, 21404:45, 21406:4, 21408:13, 21410:36, 21412:1, 21413:42

comment [6] - 21368:8, 21371:18, 21380:35, 21383:8, 21399:33, 21431:22

commented [1] - 21418:36

comments [2] - 21377:2, 21378:8

COMMISSION [2] - 21343:13, 21432:24

Commission [5] - 21346:46, 21349:29, 21364:15, 21377:45, 21378:5

Commissioner [3] - 21343:34, 21421:15, 21431:41

Commissioners [1] - 21432:9

committal [2] - 21384:2, 21385:9

committed [3] - 21384:31, 21385:1, 21385:6

Committee [1] - 21425:15

committee [12] - 21406:9, 21406:26, 21408:15, 21423:29, 21423:39, 21424:1, 21424:7, 21425:11, 21425:14, 21425:23, 21425:34, 21426:11

committee" [1] - 21425:26

committing [1] - 21420:33

common [14] - 21360:21, 21360:26, 21362:41, 21362:46, 21366:46, 21369:42, 21369:43, 21369:44, 21374:46, 21394:11, 21394:17, 21394:22, 21400:27, 21400:36

commonly [1] - 21400:46

communication [1] - 21364:32

communications [2] - 21345:14, 21425:23

complaint [10] - 21351:35, 21394:17, 21394:23, 21407:1, 21407:11, 21407:33, 21410:32, 21410:33, 21412:20, 21424:40

complaints [4] - 21354:12, 21407:39, 21407:46, 21426:37

complete [4] - 21350:36, 21352:11, 21353:30, 21410:27

completely [4] - 21358:44, 21370:30, 21412:16, 21412:21

concede [3] - 21350:22, 21368:43, 21420:46

concept [1] - 21408:42

concern [2] - 21368:32, 21387:31

concerned [5] - 21357:46, 21368:29, 21368:37, 21378:28, 21378:29

concluded [1] - 21353:23

concludes [1] - 21432:15

conclusion [6] - 21349:19, 21368:39, 21368:41, 21369:21, 21374:13, 21396:23

conclusions [2] - 21349:20, 21349:22

conduct [9] - 21351:41, 21354:45, 21355:3, 21358:39, 21375:47, 21390:26, 21391:12, 21406:39, 21412:26

conducted [2] - 21350:14, 21369:3

confer [1] - 21418:7

conference [1] - 21417:24

confesses [1] - 21383:46

confident [1] - 21420:3

confirmed [1] - 21365:20

conflict [2] - 21362:11, 21362:14

conflicting [1] - 21370:21

confused [2] - 21360:14, 21415:37

conjunction [1] - 21393:37

connection [2] - 21388:10, 21389:41

conscious [1] - 21393:26

consent [2] - 21356:19, 21356:34

consequences [1] - 21402:12

consider [4] - 21350:35, 21366:45, 21387:21, 21403:8

considered [5] - 21345:40, 21360:26, 21382:43, 21393:34, 21427:30

consistent [10] - 21362:42, 21370:4, 21374:42, 21401:5, 21401:7, 21401:34, 21401:45, 21403:39, 21415:14, 21423:5

constant [1] - 21376:38

constitute [1] - 21408:9

consultation [1] - 21359:44

consulted [1] - 21381:5

contact [2] - 21359:34, 21400:42

contacted [2] - 21403:17, 21403:35

contacting [1] - 21359:37

contacts [1] - 21425:24

contemporaneous [3] - 21350:35, 21397:22, 21429:5

content [3] - 21395:4, 21395:39, 21429:21

contents [1] - 21388:18

context [15] - 21346:8, 21350:13, 21350:23, 21368:45, 21393:24, 21395:8, 21395:37, 21396:27, 21397:22, 21397:40, 21402:31, 21407:6

continue [1] - 21399:19

continued [2] - 21402:5, 21430:34

continues [1] - 21416:18

continuing [2] - 21373:33, 21400:20

CONTINUING [1] - 21344:31

contradicted [1] - 21368:32

contrary [2] - 21350:3, 21420:46

contrition [2] - 21358:2, 21358:8

control [1] - 21431:25

conversation [15] - 21349:13, 21351:22, 21354:39, 21355:22, 21360:9, 21360:12, 21361:23, 21365:40, 21366:22, 21391:6, 21393:17, 21416:10, 21422:23, 21424:24, 21428:2

conversations [5] - 21360:18, 21412:37, 21416:4, 21417:26,

21425:19
convicted [1] -
21349:38
Cook [1] - 21371:4
cook [2] - 21344:39,
21345:20
cooperation [1] -
21409:38
copy [2] - 21346:18,
21373:9
Corners [10] -
21345:32, 21363:35,
21364:32, 21367:21,
21370:6, 21376:36,
21379:7, 21379:23,
21389:40, 21412:38
correct [16] - 21356:5,
21356:20, 21395:34,
21398:35, 21410:23,
21412:11, 21413:3,
21413:7, 21419:33,
21423:20, 21426:38,
21426:43, 21427:1,
21427:24, 21430:25,
21430:26
correspondence [2] -
21394:6, 21424:39
Corrs [1] - 21371:3
Counsel [2] -
21343:40, 21344:17
counsel [1] -
21346:43
count [1] - 21352:20
couple [3] - 21345:46,
21351:45, 21378:35
course [14] -
21344:35, 21358:30,
21374:4, 21374:29,
21379:18, 21380:8,
21383:18, 21391:3,
21392:23, 21395:15,
21395:39, 21407:17,
21410:1, 21427:17
Court [4] - 21349:21,
21430:29, 21430:35,
21430:38
court [8] - 21346:13,
21349:32, 21374:30,
21383:11, 21399:31,
21410:37, 21412:17,
21430:46
Courts [3] - 21430:25,
21430:42, 21431:9
cover [3] - 21369:18,
21371:17, 21376:37
cover-up [2] -
21371:17, 21376:37
created [2] - 21365:8,
21398:31
crime [2] - 21393:35
crimes [3] - 21384:31,
21385:2, 21385:6
criminal [18] -
21352:26, 21353:35,
21355:3, 21383:4,
21383:12, 21383:16,
21383:25, 21383:44,
21383:47, 21384:4,
21384:7, 21401:42,
21407:30, 21421:46,
21422:4, 21422:12,
21423:20, 21426:43
Criminal [1] -
21431:10
criticism [2] -
21376:35, 21376:38
CSA [2] - 21396:37,
21396:47
current [1] - 21376:20
cut [1] - 21419:36

D

Danny [3] - 21345:6,
21345:12, 21371:8
Date [1] - 21398:2
date [5] - 21361:27,
21389:35, 21397:47,
21417:47, 21429:34
dated [6] - 21351:45,
21385:27, 21398:24,
21417:42, 21418:14,
21428:9
dates [2] - 21405:15,
21429:35
Davis [1] - 21417:35
Davoren [1] -
21397:25
days [7] - 21351:45,
21355:1, 21428:18,
21428:33, 21428:42,
21430:16, 21430:44
deal [1] - 21414:9
dealing [4] -
21384:39, 21385:8,
21387:1, 21421:46
dealings [2] -
21371:4, 21415:46
deals [1] - 21422:36
debate [1] - 21395:38
decision [7] -
21353:2, 21353:15,
21381:39, 21386:5,
21410:5, 21410:8,
21418:16
decree [2] - 21356:1,
21356:25
defensive [3] -
21347:31, 21347:42,
21388:47
definitive [1] -
21413:3
degree [1] - 21349:37
deliberate [1] -
21410:5
Delphic [1] - 21431:22
demand [1] -
21351:33
demeanour [6] -
21347:28, 21347:37,
21347:43, 21387:33,
21388:40, 21389:1
denial [4] - 21400:1,
21400:4, 21404:12,
21413:47
denials [1] - 21355:13
denied [3] - 21390:6,
21399:33, 21399:40
deny [1] - 21399:43
denying [1] -
21402:32
depended [1] -
21370:19
dependent [1] -
21405:36
describe [3] -
21407:26, 21413:15,
21425:25
described [8] -
21350:6, 21369:1,
21371:17, 21376:36,
21379:22, 21385:5,
21392:31, 21410:33
describes [1] -
21352:8
describing [1] -
21407:12
description [5] -
21344:23, 21349:4,
21375:47, 21383:6,
21412:41
designed [1] -
21361:30
detail [5] - 21349:37,
21358:24, 21387:42,
21393:1, 21426:15
details [7] - 21379:32,
21398:5, 21419:27,
21419:32, 21419:35,
21426:25
diary [2] - 21396:31,
21429:13
died [2] - 21417:22,
21417:25
different [26] -
21349:21, 21350:29,
21357:29, 21357:31,
21360:44, 21361:28,
21361:45, 21370:11,
21370:30, 21371:5,
21371:9, 21374:6,
21377:9, 21378:47,
21382:3, 21390:39,
21390:47, 21391:1,
21391:12, 21394:5,
21395:46, 21416:7,
21425:24, 21426:35,
21427:15, 21431:11
differently [2] -
21382:7, 21384:45
differing [1] -
21371:15
difficult [4] -
21349:23, 21356:35,
21396:43, 21414:18
difficulties [2] -
21409:21, 21431:20
difficulty [2] -
21399:3, 21421:37
dinner [1] - 21428:1
diocesan [3] -
21386:42, 21387:16,
21423:40
Diocese [4] -
21399:10, 21416:32,
21417:39, 21425:9
diocese [1] - 21416:26
direct [3] - 21370:43,
21393:23, 21393:31
directed [3] -
21367:16, 21384:16,
21423:1
directing [2] -
21366:13, 21409:37
direction [1] - 21410:6
directly [2] -
21427:13, 21431:41
disagree [2] -
21372:31, 21380:20
disagreed [2] -
21372:24, 21372:40
disassociation [2] -
21410:27, 21411:36
disclosed [1] -
21367:5
disclosure [3] -
21369:6, 21369:7
discouraged [4] -
21393:45, 21393:47,
21394:4
discrete [1] -
21425:43
discuss [5] -
21345:35, 21352:3,
21361:21, 21430:4,
21430:9
discussed [3] -
21349:8, 21371:44,
21407:46
discussing [1] -
21365:19
discussion [13] -
21350:2, 21350:36,
21351:39, 21362:18,
21365:36, 21382:18,
21387:19, 21387:43,
21390:22, 21397:14,
21410:15, 21424:16,
21424:46
discussions [2] -
21425:10, 21428:41
dismissal [3] -
21385:9, 21407:47,
21412:23
dismissed [4] -
21407:30, 21410:37,
21412:16, 21412:21
dismissing [1] -
21406:46
dispute [4] -
21349:39, 21420:7,
21420:8, 21421:4
disputed [1] -
21382:45
document [15] -
21344:21, 21344:24,
21344:35, 21346:14,
21346:20, 21351:42,
21359:10, 21365:7,
21378:9, 21380:20,
21385:31, 21394:19,
21394:30, 21419:14,
21422:28
documents [8] -
21368:17, 21405:25,
21406:11, 21418:11,
21418:20, 21418:32,
21418:36, 21425:3
domain [5] -
21368:12, 21370:14,
21370:18, 21396:10,
21412:46
done [20] - 21357:27,
21357:45, 21361:42,
21361:44, 21361:45,
21361:46, 21365:29,
21374:2, 21374:28,
21384:7, 21393:36,
21394:34, 21399:40,
21407:23, 21410:29,
21414:1, 21414:9,
21423:31, 21426:47,
21427:4
dot [1] - 21354:27
doubt [6] - 21363:18,
21366:11, 21379:18,
21381:32, 21405:3,
21405:47
doubting [1] -
21367:39

down [21] - 21346:2,
21352:37, 21365:2,
21376:2, 21376:3,
21376:17, 21378:17,
21379:19, 21383:1,
21383:30, 21389:3,
21403:2, 21404:45,
21405:19, 21408:13,
21410:36, 21413:42,
21414:24, 21416:18,
21420:21, 21426:5
Dr [2] - 21416:28,
21416:38
draft [7] - 21371:36,
21372:5, 21373:18,
21376:45, 21377:46,
21382:25, 21382:26
drafted [1] - 21369:22
drafts [2] - 21379:36,
21382:28
drag [1] - 21431:33
drama [2] - 21358:2,
21358:8
dramatically [1] -
21370:10
draw [2] - 21349:20,
21396:23
drawn [1] - 21349:21
due [2] - 21384:21,
21392:23
DUGGAN [7] -
21346:34, 21346:46,
21419:1, 21419:6,
21419:8, 21419:10,
21421:7
Duggan [1] - 21419:10
during [6] - 21347:47,
21348:4, 21367:17,
21382:2, 21410:20,
21430:36
duty [2] - 21380:29,
21430:40

E

easier [1] - 21421:42
easily [1] - 21357:41
Eastley [3] - 21381:36,
21383:7, 21384:26
ecclesiastical [1] -
21422:38
editing [4] - 21377:18,
21377:21, 21379:12,
21379:15
effect [5] - 21355:45,
21358:43, 21358:47,
21359:4, 21431:23
effectively [4] -
21354:39, 21356:3,
21356:34, 21365:29

eight [1] - 21430:16
either [11] - 21347:37,
21349:38, 21351:23,
21357:7, 21365:16,
21367:21, 21389:40,
21390:41, 21403:8,
21409:40, 21425:15
electronic [1] -
21419:32
elsewhere [1] -
21413:24
email [17] - 21360:10,
21362:9, 21363:35,
21370:6, 21373:22,
21378:34, 21378:40,
21379:35, 21397:35,
21415:20, 21416:13,
21417:31, 21419:20,
21419:31, 21419:36,
21419:40, 21420:18
emails [2] - 21373:9,
21373:33
embellished [4] -
21366:29, 21366:35,
21369:24, 21369:36
emotions [1] -
21388:33
emphasis [1] -
21365:1
emphasised [1] -
21399:23
employees [1] -
21345:6
enable [1] - 21365:28
enacted [1] - 21393:30
encourage [1] -
21393:43
encouraged [1] -
21393:43
encouraging [1] -
21432:1
end [7] - 21350:7,
21350:32, 21358:1,
21358:7, 21380:27,
21384:25, 21414:4
ended [1] - 21360:12
engaged [2] -
21383:46, 21384:4
enormous [1] -
21416:5
ensure [1] - 21386:12
entirely [3] -
21366:41, 21374:42,
21405:36
entry [1] - 21398:2
error [1] - 21344:23
established [2] -
21409:36, 21426:12
estimate [1] - 21426:2
et [1] - 21383:20

ethicist [1] - 21415:44
evaluating [1] -
21376:13
evasive [2] -
21347:42, 21366:6
event [1] - 21372:36
events [3] - 21374:38,
21376:41, 21380:5
eventually [2] -
21373:7, 21394:17
evidence [12] -
21344:10, 21344:36,
21356:17, 21377:44,
21378:4, 21394:13,
21395:45, 21406:12,
21408:8, 21420:41,
21424:23, 21432:15
evidentiary [1] -
21431:9
exact [2] - 21354:10,
21417:47
exactly [3] - 21350:25,
21355:26, 21404:4
example [2] -
21427:18, 21431:47
except [1] - 21361:16
excused [1] -
21432:16
exercise [2] - 21363:2,
21363:6
exhorted [1] -
21422:15
existence [2] -
21368:22, 21393:31
expect [2] - 21352:45,
21429:28
expectation [7] -
21358:17, 21361:22,
21369:22, 21371:13,
21371:26, 21371:30,
21371:31
expected [3] -
21350:4, 21368:13,
21417:20
expecting [2] -
21344:47, 21372:4
experience [8] -
21358:18, 21400:11,
21400:27, 21400:36,
21400:38, 21414:15,
21430:47, 21431:19
explain [17] -
21350:15, 21355:33,
21357:28, 21366:36,
21368:44, 21369:13,
21377:18, 21377:21,
21377:26, 21379:12,
21379:15, 21381:17,
21381:21, 21381:23,
21381:27, 21381:33,

21386:39
explained [4] -
21348:6, 21385:43,
21386:23, 21399:3
explaining [1] -
21402:2
explanation [10] -
21350:11, 21366:34,
21366:37, 21369:24,
21369:39, 21370:32,
21375:16, 21379:26,
21384:19, 21384:43
explicit [11] -
21348:39, 21349:4,
21349:6, 21350:5,
21355:32, 21365:33,
21365:36, 21369:1,
21386:15, 21386:37,
21390:41
explicitly [1] - 21385:7
expressed [1] -
21383:28
expresses [1] -
21428:22
expressing [1] -
21353:42
extend [1] - 21404:31
extension [7] -
21379:30, 21404:29,
21404:38, 21405:6,
21405:9, 21405:12,
21405:15
extent [3] - 21377:30,
21406:23, 21425:18
extract [1] - 21429:12
extraordinary [1] -
21430:47
eye [3] - 21356:9,
21416:2, 21416:3
eyebrows [2] -
21378:36, 21378:40

F

facing [1] - 21356:23
fact [26] - 21344:22,
21346:39, 21350:23,
21351:22, 21362:46,
21365:28, 21366:1,
21367:43, 21368:17,
21368:37, 21371:20,
21373:13, 21374:21,
21375:43, 21382:9,
21387:1, 21387:20,
21393:45, 21400:13,
21402:10, 21404:13,
21404:24, 21424:37,
21426:10, 21426:23,
21426:30
faculties [3] -

21350:45, 21355:36,
21355:46
failed [1] - 21384:2
fair [6] - 21412:5,
21416:2, 21421:33,
21425:7, 21426:22,
21430:40
fairly [1] - 21425:43
fairness [1] -
21410:16
faithfully [2] -
21371:14, 21371:26
false [2] - 21369:31,
21399:44
families [1] - 21401:38
fantasy [4] - 21346:32,
21347:17, 21347:42,
21411:32
far [6] - 21349:26,
21359:4, 21380:6,
21390:7, 21408:37,
21414:35
Farrell [83] - 21347:25,
21348:14, 21348:20,
21348:41, 21349:8,
21349:11, 21349:16,
21349:36, 21349:37,
21351:3, 21351:40,
21351:46, 21352:3,
21352:26, 21352:34,
21353:6, 21353:19,
21355:1, 21357:34,
21358:13, 21358:21,
21359:16, 21359:46,
21365:23, 21365:44,
21366:6, 21366:14,
21366:20, 21368:47,
21383:6, 21387:20,
21388:26, 21388:34,
21389:45, 21390:1,
21390:5, 21390:21,
21390:33, 21391:6,
21394:32, 21395:27,
21396:35, 21398:8,
21400:20, 21401:19,
21401:26, 21401:37,
21402:4, 21402:10,
21403:2, 21404:2,
21404:16, 21404:20,
21405:20, 21405:24,
21406:6, 21406:19,
21406:21, 21406:24,
21406:28, 21406:35,
21409:1, 21409:11,
21411:21, 21414:44,
21415:2, 21415:9,
21415:15, 21419:45,
21422:22, 21422:38,
21423:8, 21423:11,
21424:31, 21424:41,

21425:11, 21425:21,
21428:9, 21428:18,
21428:23, 21428:32,
21428:35, 21428:42
Farrell's [3] -
21390:26, 21405:26,
21407:33
Farrer [1] - 21343:23
father [1] - 21357:15
Father [79] - 21344:4,
21344:10, 21344:17,
21344:33, 21346:44,
21347:1, 21347:45,
21348:4, 21348:26,
21349:31, 21350:9,
21350:34, 21350:44,
21351:42, 21356:45,
21358:21, 21359:16,
21359:46, 21360:25,
21360:31, 21360:43,
21361:17, 21361:25,
21362:20, 21363:25,
21364:40, 21365:42,
21370:29, 21370:34,
21371:1, 21378:8,
21383:6, 21384:29,
21384:30, 21385:5,
21387:38, 21388:26,
21388:34, 21389:7,
21389:11, 21389:33,
21389:36, 21389:45,
21390:25, 21394:28,
21394:43, 21396:21,
21397:19, 21398:8,
21398:20, 21401:45,
21404:46, 21407:26,
21409:47, 21415:2,
21415:3, 21415:9,
21415:15, 21415:19,
21416:34, 21417:12,
21419:10, 21420:32,
21421:27, 21423:11,
21424:20, 21424:30,
21424:31, 21424:39,
21424:41, 21425:11,
21427:42, 21429:6,
21429:8, 21429:12,
21429:22, 21432:16
Fathers [1] - 21409:42
fault [1] - 21421:40
faulty [2] - 21350:22,
21368:44
fear [2] - 21353:42,
21354:23
fearful [1] - 21401:19
Federal [1] - 21349:21
felt [2] - 21394:21,
21400:12
few [6] - 21350:5,
21368:47, 21376:3,
21421:30, 21428:33,
21431:10
fifth [1] - 21416:18
file [14] - 21344:39,
21346:13, 21365:37,
21365:43, 21366:2,
21367:44, 21370:46,
21397:19, 21397:21,
21397:28, 21397:30,
21428:16, 21428:22,
21428:28
Filippini [3] -
21415:21, 21416:28,
21416:38
filled [1] - 21398:4
final [5] - 21376:17,
21376:46, 21378:43,
21380:35, 21398:16
finally [4] - 21345:2,
21347:36, 21372:11,
21431:22
finish [1] - 21425:46
firm [5] - 21351:9,
21351:32, 21362:21,
21380:1, 21417:32
first [52] - 21346:35,
21346:38, 21347:45,
21350:14, 21350:25,
21352:2, 21362:14,
21362:16, 21362:18,
21362:22, 21370:8,
21373:20, 21373:41,
21377:12, 21377:27,
21382:25, 21382:26,
21384:8, 21386:27,
21386:33, 21386:42,
21387:9, 21387:15,
21387:32, 21388:18,
21388:43, 21388:45,
21388:46, 21389:12,
21389:35, 21389:36,
21389:39, 21393:28,
21394:23, 21398:34,
21398:35, 21398:41,
21401:5, 21401:34,
21401:46, 21402:10,
21403:39, 21403:44,
21408:4, 21414:26,
21416:45, 21419:4,
21419:39, 21428:34,
21429:36, 21430:30,
21431:7
firstly [9] - 21346:2,
21347:5, 21347:25,
21363:21, 21410:25,
21415:19, 21421:30,
21421:45, 21424:31
Fisher [2] - 21409:36,
21416:13
fit [2] - 21393:5,
21414:2
five [8] - 21358:37,
21364:36, 21398:43,
21406:36, 21408:33,
21414:35, 21425:39,
21425:44
five-year [4] -
21358:37, 21398:43,
21408:33, 21414:35
fleeting [1] - 21371:4
flippant [1] - 21383:8
flowing [1] - 21382:6
focus [1] - 21407:17
follow [5] - 21359:39,
21409:18, 21421:35,
21422:3, 21427:11
followed [3] -
21422:10, 21423:24
following [3] -
21396:37, 21418:11,
21422:22
forget [2] - 21367:13,
21417:47
forgot [2] - 21367:11,
21367:20
form [16] - 21347:29,
21359:45, 21360:22,
21360:44, 21367:6,
21370:35, 21373:27,
21384:24, 21390:47,
21394:22, 21397:46,
21398:4, 21408:45,
21427:6, 21429:12,
21430:9
formally [1] -
21425:29
formed [3] - 21351:23,
21393:15, 21393:16
former [1] - 21344:1
formulated [1] -
21372:5
forum [4] - 21375:10,
21395:38, 21401:24,
21402:5
forward [9] -
21351:14, 21360:25,
21387:24, 21388:27,
21399:25, 21399:35,
21400:5, 21402:47,
21404:14
foundation [1] -
21384:12
four [1] - 21430:41
Four [10] - 21345:32,
21363:35, 21364:32,
21367:21, 21370:6,
21376:36, 21379:7,
21379:23, 21389:40,
21412:38
fourteen [3] -
21352:40, 21352:44,
21355:3
Fr [1] - 21347:47
free [1] - 21382:6
free-flowing [1] -
21382:6
friend [3] - 21344:22,
21344:26, 21346:35
friend's [1] - 21426:1
friends [1] - 21371:6
fulfilled [1] - 21357:40
full [7] - 21386:16,
21406:19, 21406:23,
21406:25, 21408:9,
21412:4, 21412:10
fully [1] - 21350:42
functioning [1] -
21354:11
Furness [2] -
21343:40, 21432:11
FURNESS [22] -
21344:3, 21344:9,
21344:26, 21344:31,
21344:33, 21347:1,
21348:26, 21349:31,
21350:44, 21356:38,
21358:7, 21365:7,
21369:15, 21384:24,
21389:25, 21389:33,
21392:21, 21396:31,
21418:43, 21426:7,
21431:27, 21432:13
future [1] - 21377:26

G

g21351say [1] -
21347:38
Gail [1] - 21343:40
gained [1] - 21362:32
gather [1] - 21357:4
general [8] - 21383:7,
21387:7, 21387:46,
21413:16, 21413:28,
21413:39, 21413:44,
21421:30
General [1] - 21425:10
generalised [6] -
21373:37, 21374:32,
21377:11, 21377:13,
21377:17, 21382:18
generalised" [1] -
21377:14
generally [6] -
21369:4, 21393:36,
21422:20, 21423:15,
21423:18, 21423:39
GERACE [8] -
21344:16, 21344:29,
21421:9, 21421:25,
21421:27, 21425:39,
21426:9, 21427:35
Gerace [1] - 21421:23
girl [3] - 21407:12,
21407:16, 21410:33
given [19] - 21344:11,
21353:7, 21354:16,
21357:40, 21357:42,
21359:23, 21359:28,
21379:16, 21382:9,
21395:45, 21404:41,
21405:6, 21405:9,
21405:12, 21405:16,
21417:18, 21425:31,
21427:23, 21429:1
goal [1] - 21362:46
Governor [1] -
21343:22
grateful [1] - 21421:21
great [1] - 21431:20
greater [1] - 21401:23
ground [5] - 21362:41,
21366:47, 21369:42,
21370:7, 21374:46
grounded [3] -
21358:39, 21375:35,
21375:40
grounds [4] -
21354:22, 21354:35,
21392:33, 21403:47
group [4] - 21426:12,
21426:31, 21426:46,
21427:30
Group [8] - 21422:40,
21423:2, 21423:12,
21424:6, 21425:15,
21426:38, 21427:31,
21428:12
groups [3] - 21376:30,
21376:36, 21376:39
guarantees [1] -
21402:27
guilty [2] - 21431:39,
21432:1

H

half [1] - 21407:27
hands [1] - 21426:1
handwriting [8] -
21419:42, 21419:43,
21419:45, 21419:46,
21420:4, 21420:7,
21420:10, 21420:15
happy [2] - 21382:46,
21426:4
hard [2] - 21363:14,
21388:33
harder [1] - 21403:13
hardly [1] - 21404:3

harm [4] - 21399:7, 21399:10, 21399:13, 21399:16
harms [1] - 21399:19
head [2] - 21377:37, 21430:30
heads [3] - 21362:8, 21369:41, 21370:5
Healing [1] - 21376:29
hear [6] - 21348:46, 21349:1, 21349:6, 21349:27, 21349:47, 21411:15
heard [8] - 21348:43, 21349:27, 21356:17, 21366:15, 21381:25, 21401:38, 21413:23, 21416:45
Hearing [1] - 21343:18
hearing [3] - 21411:16, 21421:37, 21421:40
heart [1] - 21405:26
Heather [1] - 21354:30
held [3] - 21361:9, 21369:44, 21373:8
help [3] - 21373:19, 21380:16, 21386:25
helpful [2] - 21376:21, 21376:32
helping [1] - 21427:5
heterosexuality [1] - 21353:31
himself [16] - 21355:40, 21359:24, 21373:38, 21374:21, 21374:37, 21379:29, 21382:20, 21382:33, 21399:16, 21402:11, 21412:14, 21413:17, 21413:30, 21413:46, 21422:47, 21423:8
himself* [2] - 21374:20, 21379:10
hindsight [1] - 21395:38
hold [1] - 21426:10
home [1] - 21429:16
Hon [1] - 21418:12
honest [11] - 21361:30, 21362:31, 21362:34, 21362:36, 21362:42, 21381:10, 21381:14, 21381:19, 21381:24, 21381:29, 21427:2
honestly [3] - 21355:21, 21355:23, 21363:18
honesty [2] - 21367:39, 21381:31
Honour [24] - 21344:3, 21344:16, 21344:27, 21348:5, 21349:10, 21349:11, 21349:20, 21349:24, 21349:46, 21350:12, 21350:20, 21350:38, 21365:2, 21368:42, 21384:16, 21389:25, 21392:21, 21395:22, 21395:46, 21419:1, 21421:9, 21425:43, 21432:13, 21432:18
Honours [2] - 21344:9, 21418:43
hook [2] - 21369:18, 21370:23
hope [1] - 21351:11
hoped [1] - 21371:33
hopefully [1] - 21419:15
horrible [1] - 21430:45
hotel [1] - 21345:45
hour [1] - 21407:25
hours [2] - 21345:46, 21372:33
hurt [2] - 21401:40, 21402:2
hypotheses [1] - 21366:35

I

idea [3] - 21346:15, 21349:47, 21416:31
identified [1] - 21418:21
identify [2] - 21421:12, 21426:46
ill [2] - 21415:42, 21423:19
ill-informed [1] - 21423:19
immediate [1] - 21355:45
immediately [4] - 21355:41, 21356:7, 21422:40, 21423:1
implementation [1] - 21423:16
import [1] - 21369:20
important [3] - 21382:10, 21393:19, 21404:6
impossible [1] - 21356:18
impression [5] - 21347:26, 21347:43, 21351:23, 21390:19, 21413:44
inaccuracies [2] - 21373:1, 21373:15
incident [2] - 21352:26, 21354:30
incidents [4] - 21352:39, 21352:46, 21353:7, 21395:27
include [2] - 21344:12, 21366:29
included [1] - 21423:34
including [5] - 21348:39, 21349:21, 21361:29, 21423:36, 21426:47
income [1] - 21405:32
inconsistent [3] - 21366:31, 21366:41, 21370:41
incriminate [3] - 21359:24, 21374:21, 21382:20
incriminating [6] - 21373:38, 21374:19, 21374:36, 21379:10, 21379:29, 21382:32
indeed [6] - 21354:44, 21370:30, 21388:7, 21390:17, 21406:25, 21415:1
independent [2] - 21415:44, 21427:7
indicate [3] - 21344:3, 21349:37, 21370:21
indicated [6] - 21389:4, 21401:19, 21401:37, 21404:26, 21406:6, 21417:38
indicates [2] - 21352:33, 21404:20
indicating [1] - 21380:28
individual [2] - 21394:15, 21425:32
individuals [1] - 21425:26
information [16] - 21350:15, 21357:4, 21357:22, 21357:32, 21357:36, 21366:2, 21368:45, 21369:25, 21383:41, 21383:44, 21415:5, 21415:8, 21415:13, 21422:37, 21423:35, 21425:31
informed [3] - 21423:19, 21423:25, 21426:42
initials [2] - 21397:7, 21419:40
initiating [2] - 21355:44, 21403:9
innocence [1] - 21352:11
input [5] - 21365:21, 21372:2, 21372:18, 21372:34, 21373:16
inquiries [3] - 21372:5, 21384:11, 21384:13
Inquiry [1] - 21417:42
inquiry [2] - 21409:37, 21410:3
insecurity [1] - 21385:8
insisted [1] - 21394:19
insofar [3] - 21403:39, 21403:43, 21409:19
instead [1] - 21357:24
INSTITUTIONAL [1] - 21343:13
instructed [1] - 21418:10
instructions [1] - 21431:13
intend [1] - 21426:17
intended [1] - 21374:40
intending [1] - 21372:6
intent [1] - 21369:17
intention [2] - 21370:26, 21370:31
intentions [1] - 21381:32
interaction [1] - 21425:34
interest [5] - 21344:4, 21345:23, 21431:4, 21431:5, 21431:18
interested [1] - 21394:13
interests [4] - 21355:10, 21378:3, 21382:43, 21427:42
interview [13] - 21351:45, 21381:36, 21381:42, 21381:44, 21381:46, 21382:2, 21382:6, 21409:24, 21409:35, 21410:21, 21410:22, 21417:47, 21425:21
interviewed [6] - 21381:39, 21410:4, 21417:46, 21418:2, 21420:31, 21424:31
interviews [1] - 21414:41
INTO [1] - 21343:13
intuition [1] - 21350:14
investigated [1] - 21403:31
investigation [1] - 21384:1
involved [1] - 21400:42
involvement [1] - 21427:8
involving [4] - 21422:4, 21422:12, 21423:19, 21431:2
Involving [1] - 21421:32
issue [8] - 21350:29, 21371:41, 21371:47, 21372:33, 21408:44, 21412:23, 21423:1, 21427:12
issues [1] - 21387:12
Issues [9] - 21421:32, 21422:40, 21423:2, 21423:12, 21424:6, 21425:14, 21426:37, 21427:31, 21428:12
issuing [3] - 21371:40, 21372:1, 21372:17
itself [5] - 21371:15, 21394:21, 21396:2, 21396:5, 21422:15

J

January [1] - 21398:2
Jennifer [2] - 21343:34, 21371:4
job [2] - 21386:19, 21404:41
John [30] - 21344:42, 21371:6, 21372:6, 21396:35, 21396:37, 21397:25, 21400:20, 21401:19, 21406:6, 21406:18, 21406:19, 21406:20, 21406:21, 21406:22, 21406:24, 21406:28, 21412:37, 21419:20, 21421:1, 21425:30, 21427:47, 21428:1, 21429:6, 21429:12, 21429:22, 21429:33, 21429:45, 21430:30, 21430:31
John's [1] - 21352:8
jointly [1] - 21410:8
Jolley [1] - 21373:22

JOSEPH [1] - 21344:2
Journal [2] -
21431:10, 21431:11
JU [1] - 21419:42
judge [1] - 21349:21
July [8] - 21344:42,
21348:28, 21359:11,
21370:13, 21378:10,
21380:5, 21415:30,
21415:33
jumped [1] - 21373:6
June [1] - 21420:14
Justice [3] - 21343:33,
21343:34, 21350:35

K

Katrina [1] - 21371:5
Kennedy [3] -
21381:4, 21409:36,
21409:40
Kenthurst [1] -
21424:41
kept [1] - 21411:41
Kevin [1] - 21429:15
KL [1] - 21420:14
knowing [4] -
21384:12, 21388:18,
21408:29, 21429:24
knowledge [17] -
21362:28, 21371:12,
21371:25, 21377:15,
21403:21, 21403:43,
21408:43, 21416:22,
21416:37, 21416:38,
21417:5, 21417:7,
21417:9, 21417:18,
21424:47, 21425:2,
21428:40
known [8] - 21352:30,
21353:19, 21356:13,
21368:22, 21375:24,
21375:28, 21375:30,
21403:34
Kohn [1] - 21417:31

L

lad [3] - 21394:35,
21395:16, 21396:16
lady [5] - 21366:22,
21407:23, 21407:30,
21412:16, 21412:21
laicisation [12] -
21353:43, 21355:41,
21356:13, 21356:47,
21357:7, 21357:28,
21359:6, 21376:8,
21396:38, 21396:43,
21398:42, 21409:18

laicise [2] - 21356:29,
21400:30
laicised [6] - 21354:2,
21357:13, 21357:35,
21357:46, 21401:20,
21402:12
laid [1] - 21399:30
language [4] -
21346:6, 21348:40,
21354:40, 21407:3
last [10] - 21359:15,
21376:17, 21377:24,
21382:23, 21408:33,
21409:8, 21417:25,
21419:31, 21421:17,
21431:45
late [4] - 21372:11,
21409:47, 21431:7,
21431:44
law [3] - 21393:26,
21417:32, 21427:17
Law [3] - 21430:37,
21431:10
lawyers [1] - 21423:40
lay [2] - 21356:31,
21399:25
lead [1] - 21369:5
leading [1] - 21379:36
learn [1] - 21430:45
learned [1] - 21344:22
least [9] - 21378:34,
21378:36, 21386:4,
21393:15, 21406:36,
21407:29, 21411:3,
21411:25, 21425:8
leave [4] - 21357:8,
21370:46, 21431:24,
21432:8
leaving [6] - 21355:44,
21370:47, 21381:23,
21404:46, 21427:27,
21429:21
led [1] - 21390:33
Lee [2] - 21345:14,
21371:5
left [4] - 21345:2,
21400:34, 21406:26,
21408:15
Legal [1] - 21430:37
legal [15] - 21345:10,
21359:47, 21378:16,
21378:20, 21378:25,
21378:31, 21378:37,
21393:33, 21418:16,
21423:35, 21423:39,
21426:47, 21427:32,
21430:20, 21431:2
lengthy [1] - 21356:13
less [3] - 21372:28,
21400:34, 21402:3

letter [120] - 21344:12,
21344:20, 21346:4,
21346:5, 21346:10,
21348:16, 21348:39,
21348:40, 21349:4,
21349:14, 21349:40,
21350:2, 21350:6,
21350:12, 21350:21,
21350:23, 21352:3,
21357:30, 21359:27,
21361:2, 21365:21,
21365:22, 21365:24,
21366:14, 21366:16,
21366:28, 21366:32,
21367:5, 21367:7,
21367:32, 21367:34,
21367:41, 21368:2,
21368:12, 21368:22,
21368:29, 21368:32,
21368:37, 21368:43,
21368:44, 21369:4,
21369:11, 21369:13,
21369:17, 21369:35,
21370:25, 21370:28,
21370:32, 21370:36,
21374:43, 21374:47,
21375:9, 21377:25,
21377:27, 21377:30,
21379:27, 21379:38,
21379:43, 21381:24,
21381:27, 21381:33,
21386:15, 21386:27,
21386:32, 21387:19,
21387:30, 21388:4,
21388:7, 21388:8,
21388:14, 21388:17,
21388:18, 21388:21,
21395:9, 21395:10,
21395:21, 21395:22,
21395:32, 21395:35,
21395:37, 21395:39,
21395:42, 21396:2,
21396:25, 21398:20,
21398:27, 21398:35,
21400:1, 21401:5,
21401:7, 21401:34,
21401:46, 21402:35,
21403:39, 21405:20,
21407:18, 21407:27,
21408:4, 21409:43,
21412:2, 21413:36,
21414:25, 21414:26,
21414:31, 21414:42,
21415:3, 21415:15,
21418:5, 21418:14,
21428:8, 21428:17,
21428:32, 21428:35,
21430:16, 21430:17
letterhead [1] -
21344:40
letters [3] - 21358:24,

21388:11, 21397:29
level [6] - 21343:22,
21365:31, 21393:1,
21410:26, 21412:6,
21412:10
lies [3] - 21347:33,
21374:26
life [1] - 21430:47
light [4] - 21357:31,
21357:41, 21373:20,
21379:27
likelihood [1] -
21400:43
likely [10] - 21354:2,
21354:12, 21387:15,
21400:21, 21400:33,
21400:35, 21404:22,
21405:47, 21415:3,
21417:11
Limitations [1] -
21402:41
limited [2] - 21380:46,
21408:37
line [13] - 21365:2,
21407:29, 21410:26,
21410:36, 21410:47,
21411:6, 21411:8,
21411:15, 21412:30,
21413:15, 21413:42,
21420:25, 21431:29
lines [1] - 21364:12
list [1] - 21386:29
litigation [1] -
21368:18
logistically [1] -
21428:4
Look [2] - 21357:26,
21367:7
look [7] - 21371:2,
21372:14, 21372:41,
21372:44, 21377:28,
21388:39, 21394:38
looked [1] - 21425:3
looking [5] -
21356:39, 21369:25,
21424:28, 21426:5,
21429:34
looks [1] - 21364:44
LUCAS [1] - 21344:1
Lucas [14] - 21344:17,
21346:44, 21347:45,
21350:9, 21350:34,
21357:15, 21396:21,
21396:35, 21399:23,
21402:21, 21406:21,
21419:10, 21421:27,
21427:42
lunch [1] - 21427:45

M

Macquarie [1] -
21343:22
made [1] - 21363:36
man [22] - 21347:40,
21351:10, 21351:25,
21351:32, 21352:27,
21356:3, 21357:39,
21373:45, 21374:6,
21384:6, 21387:12,
21390:39, 21390:40,
21390:42, 21393:4,
21403:23, 21403:27,
21403:29, 21406:45,
21408:45, 21409:1,
21412:17
man's [1] - 21350:45
management [1] -
21431:20
manager [1] -
21345:12
mandatory [2] -
21427:16, 21431:7
manipulating [1] -
21362:47
manipulation [1] -
21363:2
manner [4] -
21387:33, 21388:35,
21389:8, 21393:17
Manning [40] -
21344:18, 21350:45,
21351:9, 21351:39,
21352:4, 21352:30,
21353:2, 21353:9,
21354:19, 21355:20,
21355:31, 21357:11,
21376:6, 21387:19,
21388:7, 21388:14,
21388:18, 21398:21,
21403:7, 21404:32,
21404:37, 21406:22,
21409:20, 21414:27,
21414:42, 21415:1,
21418:8, 21421:27,
21422:22, 21423:6,
21425:9, 21428:13,
21428:17, 21429:7,
21429:8, 21429:15,
21429:22, 21429:26,
21429:45, 21430:5
Marist [1] - 21387:1
mark [6] - 21420:38,
21420:42, 21420:43,
21420:45, 21420:47,
21421:4
Mary [1] - 21373:22
massaged [1] -
21370:29

material [3] - 21369:4, 21369:5, 21404:17
matter [12] - 21349:24, 21350:37, 21366:39, 21367:17, 21375:43, 21383:17, 21393:2, 21395:46, 21407:3, 21422:39, 21422:47, 21423:7
matters [13] - 21344:12, 21351:40, 21375:38, 21415:19, 21416:18, 21421:36, 21423:15, 21423:19, 21424:5, 21425:4, 21430:31, 21431:2, 21431:16
Matthys [1] - 21409:20
maximum [1] - 21425:44
McClellan [1] - 21343:33
mean [13] - 21365:5, 21367:39, 21376:27, 21376:37, 21383:31, 21395:40, 21410:27, 21411:14, 21412:25, 21417:4, 21417:6, 21425:14, 21431:33
meaning [1] - 21413:7
means [3] - 21396:47, 21397:9, 21417:14
meant [6] - 21355:24, 21369:42, 21373:21, 21373:26, 21379:27, 21412:5
measures [1] - 21400:41
mechanism [1] - 21368:13
media [28] - 21371:36, 21371:40, 21371:47, 21372:1, 21372:5, 21372:18, 21373:18, 21376:35, 21378:17, 21378:20, 21378:36, 21378:40, 21378:43, 21378:47, 21379:19, 21379:37, 21379:41, 21379:42, 21380:26, 21380:45, 21381:3, 21381:43, 21394:29, 21395:3, 21395:37, 21395:42, 21395:47, 21396:1
meet [4] - 21345:40, 21355:30, 21365:44, 21428:23
meeting [133] - 21344:41, 21344:46, 21345:1, 21345:3, 21345:24, 21345:27, 21345:30, 21345:36, 21345:42, 21346:24, 21347:24, 21347:47, 21348:4, 21348:18, 21349:47, 21350:4, 21350:7, 21350:13, 21350:23, 21350:26, 21350:46, 21351:6, 21351:13, 21352:5, 21354:13, 21355:1, 21355:15, 21355:26, 21357:25, 21357:29, 21357:31, 21357:34, 21359:11, 21359:37, 21361:9, 21361:26, 21361:38, 21361:41, 21362:14, 21362:16, 21362:18, 21362:39, 21363:10, 21363:47, 21364:33, 21365:19, 21365:23, 21368:47, 21369:3, 21369:10, 21369:28, 21370:9, 21370:47, 21371:16, 21371:31, 21371:44, 21373:8, 21373:34, 21375:28, 21375:31, 21375:35, 21376:2, 21376:5, 21378:10, 21381:11, 21382:38, 21383:20, 21384:30, 21385:12, 21385:16, 21385:20, 21385:28, 21386:4, 21386:33, 21387:32, 21387:39, 21387:47, 21388:22, 21388:40, 21388:43, 21388:44, 21388:45, 21389:3, 21389:36, 21389:44, 21390:5, 21390:13, 21390:14, 21392:33, 21394:41, 21396:2, 21396:4, 21396:5, 21396:9, 21396:11, 21396:12, 21396:32, 21397:14, 21397:15, 21398:7, 21398:16, 21398:21, 21398:46, 21401:27, 21402:10, 21403:44, 21405:20, 21406:1, 21406:34, 21407:17, 21407:25, 21407:27, 21407:34, 21407:38, 21408:28, 21409:8, 21411:22, 21414:26, 21415:15, 21422:25, 21426:16, 21426:18, 21426:23, 21426:33, 21427:46, 21428:5, 21428:11, 21428:29, 21429:28, 21429:36, 21429:39, 21430:1
meetings [11] - 21350:13, 21350:24, 21350:25, 21357:24, 21358:29, 21389:12, 21389:34, 21414:4, 21414:8, 21414:16, 21429:35
members [6] - 21406:26, 21408:15, 21423:38, 21425:8, 21425:10, 21425:22
memory [15] - 21344:19, 21349:6, 21349:12, 21350:21, 21350:22, 21367:26, 21367:31, 21368:43, 21374:8, 21380:23, 21382:24, 21409:44, 21428:45, 21428:47
men [14] - 21354:8, 21399:24, 21399:29, 21399:37, 21399:38, 21400:5, 21401:21, 21401:27, 21403:16, 21403:22, 21403:26, 21403:34, 21431:38
men" [1] - 21403:24
mention [2] - 21365:42, 21374:33
mentioned [9] - 21374:29, 21374:47, 21380:29, 21395:13, 21396:26, 21403:18, 21403:36, 21430:24, 21430:28
mentioning [1] - 21365:39
mentions [1] - 21403:40
merely [1] - 21361:30
Merrylands [1] - 21354:30
met [3] - 21388:46, 21396:35, 21428:18
Michael [3] - 21345:5, 21345:11, 21371:7
microphone [1] - 21421:39
mid [1] - 21430:39
mid-year [1] - 21430:39
middle [4] - 21368:26, 21377:4, 21377:6, 21380:22
might [11] - 21344:3, 21365:30, 21367:12, 21367:23, 21368:22, 21386:45, 21403:17, 21403:35, 21416:31, 21420:42, 21422:37
mind [33] - 21361:35, 21361:38, 21366:13, 21366:33, 21366:38, 21366:39, 21367:17, 21369:30, 21370:43, 21374:7, 21379:16, 21381:31, 21382:10, 21382:26, 21384:17, 21385:10, 21388:41, 21390:9, 21390:41, 21391:10, 21393:23, 21393:31, 21406:37, 21406:44, 21409:5, 21417:17, 21426:29, 21427:6, 21427:20, 21427:22, 21427:28, 21427:29, 21427:31
minds [4] - 21371:19, 21371:24, 21427:3
mindset [1] - 21374:22
minimisation [2] - 21412:6, 21412:25
minimise [1] - 21369:17
minimised [1] - 21412:22
ministry [6] - 21351:3, 21358:45, 21359:1, 21376:7, 21377:27, 21408:36
minors [1] - 21402:41
minutes [5] - 21350:5, 21350:26, 21368:47, 21425:39, 21425:44
misconduct [15] - 21351:32, 21353:39, 21390:2, 21390:4, 21390:10, 21390:12, 21390:21, 21390:32, 21390:39, 21390:43, 21390:47, 21401:42, 21406:35, 21412:9, 21412:10
misconducted [4] - 21402:11, 21412:14, 21413:16, 21413:30
misled [1] - 21367:4
missed [1] - 21360:14
mistaken [3] - 21381:26, 21381:29, 21420:43
misunderstanding [1] - 21348:26
misunderstood [3] - 21349:46, 21360:31, 21380:2
modified [2] - 21372:9, 21372:10
moment [3] - 21394:14, 21394:31, 21408:8
money [2] - 21405:24, 21405:26
Monsignor [50] - 21344:41, 21345:35, 21345:37, 21345:41, 21346:3, 21346:24, 21346:28, 21346:31, 21346:37, 21347:4, 21347:13, 21347:46, 21348:8, 21348:11, 21348:19, 21348:28, 21348:35, 21350:10, 21359:16, 21359:27, 21359:45, 21360:38, 21361:1, 21362:20, 21365:21, 21366:28, 21367:47, 21369:11, 21374:42, 21374:47, 21377:25, 21379:38, 21379:43, 21379:46, 21381:10, 21389:34, 21389:46, 21396:32, 21402:2, 21404:37, 21413:11, 21419:11, 21420:6, 21421:3, 21424:30, 21424:39, 21425:19, 21425:20
months [1] - 21371:7
Moree [4] - 21347:32, 21407:15, 21407:39, 21407:43
morning [5] - 21344:5, 21389:28, 21418:8, 21422:21, 21432:22
most [1] - 21387:15
mostly [1] - 21414:16
move [4] - 21357:6, 21357:7, 21359:5, 21421:39
movement [1] - 21382:23
moving [3] - 21356:40, 21356:46, 21405:19
multiple [2] - 21395:27, 21396:15
multiples [1] - 21395:20
mistaken [3] - 21381:26, 21381:29, 21420:43
misunderstanding [1] - 21348:26
misunderstood [3] - 21349:46, 21360:31, 21380:2
modified [2] - 21372:9, 21372:10
moment [3] - 21394:14, 21394:31, 21408:8
money [2] - 21405:24, 21405:26
Monsignor [50] - 21344:41, 21345:35, 21345:37, 21345:41, 21346:3, 21346:24, 21346:28, 21346:31, 21346:37, 21347:4, 21347:13, 21347:46, 21348:8, 21348:11, 21348:19, 21348:28, 21348:35, 21350:10, 21359:16, 21359:27, 21359:45, 21360:38, 21361:1, 21362:20, 21365:21, 21366:28, 21367:47, 21369:11, 21374:42, 21374:47, 21377:25, 21379:38, 21379:43, 21379:46, 21381:10, 21389:34, 21389:46, 21396:32, 21402:2, 21404:37, 21413:11, 21419:11, 21420:6, 21421:3, 21424:30, 21424:39, 21425:19, 21425:20
months [1] - 21371:7
Moree [4] - 21347:32, 21407:15, 21407:39, 21407:43
morning [5] - 21344:5, 21389:28, 21418:8, 21422:21, 21432:22
most [1] - 21387:15
mostly [1] - 21414:16
move [4] - 21357:6, 21357:7, 21359:5, 21421:39
movement [1] - 21382:23
moving [3] - 21356:40, 21356:46, 21405:19
multiple [2] - 21395:27, 21396:15
multiples [1] - 21395:20
mistaken [3] - 21381:26, 21381:29, 21420:43
misunderstanding [1] - 21348:26
misunderstood [3] - 21349:46, 21360:31, 21380:2
modified [2] - 21372:9, 21372:10
moment [3] - 21394:14, 21394:31, 21408:8
money [2] - 21405:24, 21405:26
Monsignor [50] - 21344:41, 21345:35, 21345:37, 21345:41, 21346:3, 21346:24, 21346:28, 21346:31, 21346:37, 21347:4, 21347:13, 21347:46, 21348:8, 21348:11, 21348:19, 21348:28, 21348:35, 21350:10, 21359:16, 21359:27, 21359:45, 21360:38, 21361:1, 21362:20, 21365:21, 21366:28, 21367:47, 21369:11, 21374:42, 21374:47, 21377:25, 21379:38, 21379:43, 21379:46, 21381:10, 21389:34, 21389:46, 21396:32, 21402:2, 21404:37, 21413:11, 21419:11, 21420:6, 21421:3, 21424:30, 21424:39, 21425:19, 21425:20
months [1] - 21371:7
Moree [4] - 21347:32, 21407:15, 21407:39, 21407:43
morning [5] - 21344:5, 21389:28, 21418:8, 21422:21, 21432:22
most [1] - 21387:15
mostly [1] - 21414:16
move [4] - 21357:6, 21357:7, 21359:5, 21421:39
movement [1] - 21382:23
moving [3] - 21356:40, 21356:46, 21405:19
multiple [2] - 21395:27, 21396:15
multiples [1] - 21395:20
mistaken [3] - 21381:26, 21381:29, 21420:43
misunderstanding [1] - 21348:26
misunderstood [3] - 21349:46, 21360:31, 21380:2

N

name [18] - 21344:45, 21371:41, 21374:29, 21374:43, 21382:21, 21391:3, 21394:15, 21394:43, 21395:20, 21396:6, 21396:12, 21396:18, 21396:19, 21396:22, 21396:26, 21403:34, 21419:10, 21427:41
named [4] - 21374:38, 21375:4, 21395:21, 21395:27
names [20] - 21359:18, 21359:28, 21359:33, 21374:28, 21374:33, 21374:38, 21374:47, 21375:44, 21375:45, 21380:28, 21380:30, 21382:21, 21383:18, 21384:5, 21395:13, 21396:12, 21403:43, 21410:43, 21425:32, 21425:33
naming [2] - 21373:39, 21374:37
Narrabri [3] - 21410:37, 21412:23, 21413:21
nature [3] - 21363:36, 21413:5, 21426:33
naughty [1] - 21383:30
near [1] - 21393:5
necessarily [8] - 21347:35, 21362:41, 21370:19, 21372:2, 21372:19, 21372:37, 21372:38, 21376:13
necessary [4] - 21358:35, 21363:40, 21424:1, 21427:33
need [9] - 21350:24, 21366:28, 21369:7, 21383:18, 21421:33, 21422:28, 21423:36, 21424:16, 21425:41
needed [21] - 21357:3, 21357:8, 21359:5, 21365:33, 21369:43, 21371:22, 21372:34, 21372:35, 21373:23, 21373:25, 21373:29, 21403:44, 21404:26, 21423:30, 21423:43, 21423:44, 21424:8, 21424:12, 21426:30, 21426:46, 21426:47
needing [1] - 21427:13
needs [1] - 21362:11
negotiating [3] - 21403:3, 21412:38, 21412:45
ness [1] - 21351:28
never [19] - 21347:41, 21351:10, 21363:28, 21365:9, 21365:22, 21366:19, 21367:5, 21367:6, 21367:8, 21367:41, 21368:21, 21370:26, 21371:2, 21393:47, 21394:25, 21397:32, 21397:37, 21397:38
new [2] - 21393:20, 21431:11
next [16] - 21352:37, 21353:42, 21354:27, 21356:12, 21376:44, 21378:9, 21380:45, 21381:3, 21383:37, 21384:25, 21401:3, 21404:36, 21408:13, 21420:38, 21429:39, 21430:41
Nicholas [1] - 21415:21
night [1] - 21368:26
nine [1] - 21371:7
no-one [5] - 21363:23, 21363:27, 21394:16, 21402:26, 21430:2
nominated [1] - 21426:38
none [4] - 21358:2, 21368:13, 21384:9, 21414:15
normal [2] - 21405:40, 21429:30
normally [1] - 21386:34
note [27] - 21344:39, 21353:8, 21353:16, 21354:45, 21355:16, 21355:17, 21357:45, 21362:26, 21362:28, 21365:37, 21365:43, 21366:2, 21366:42, 21369:22, 21370:46, 21389:35, 21396:31, 21397:19, 21397:21, 21397:22, 21397:28, 21397:30, 21413:12, 21424:21, 21428:16, 21428:22, 21428:28
notes [9] - 21365:18, 21373:7, 21377:25,

21378:13, 21389:11, 21389:34, 21429:5, 21429:47, 21430:2
nothing [10] - 21359:47, 21362:19, 21369:30, 21375:31, 21375:34, 21400:6, 21409:15, 21418:44, 21430:17, 21432:13
notice [1] - 21389:26
noticed [1] - 21405:25
NSW [6] - 21422:2, 21425:29, 21427:18, 21427:24, 21430:37, 21431:8
number [8] - 21349:13, 21359:17, 21360:17, 21369:1, 21378:10, 21382:2, 21394:6, 21406:12
numbered [1] - 21398:41

O

oath [3] - 21344:1, 21349:12, 21350:39
obedient [1] - 21410:6
object [3] - 21346:34, 21349:19
obligation [1] - 21393:33
obligations [2] - 21393:22, 21393:23
obstinate [1] - 21414:21
obtain [1] - 21361:30
obviously [11] - 21345:41, 21350:22, 21362:11, 21366:21, 21367:4, 21368:44, 21371:19, 21377:30, 21397:13, 21397:21, 21408:44
occasion [4] - 21354:44, 21423:7, 21424:25, 21429:23
occasions [5] - 21367:17, 21382:2, 21405:29, 21406:12, 21412:13
occurred [5] - 21344:23, 21361:40, 21374:38, 21424:45, 21425:22
October [2] - 21398:8, 21425:8
odds [1] - 21372:13
offence [1] - 21353:35
offences [1] - 21402:41
offended [8] - 21401:22, 21401:28, 21401:31, 21402:31, 21402:46, 21403:17, 21404:14, 21409:5
offending [6] - 21408:38, 21408:42, 21408:43, 21408:45, 21409:1, 21409:5
Office [4] - 21394:19, 21397:20, 21397:23, 21397:28
officials [1] - 21420:31
often [1] - 21400:13
omission [1] - 21425:22
one [52] - 21346:13, 21354:15, 21354:29, 21355:47, 21359:10, 21359:33, 21359:38, 21361:23, 21361:31, 21362:31, 21363:23, 21363:27, 21364:17, 21365:42, 21366:1, 21366:35, 21369:1, 21370:17, 21371:33, 21372:7, 21372:11, 21373:13, 21375:38, 21379:9, 21380:31, 21381:44, 21381:46, 21387:9, 21392:31, 21393:33, 21394:16, 21396:16, 21402:6, 21402:26, 21402:47, 21403:28, 21404:14, 21405:26, 21406:44, 21407:29, 21408:23, 21413:20, 21413:33, 21413:39, 21414:41, 21416:24, 21422:20, 21423:15, 21426:29, 21426:41, 21430:2
ones [2] - 21360:3, 21406:25
open [1] - 21424:16
opinion [1] - 21390:2
opinions [1] - 21371:10
opportunity [10] - 21352:3, 21353:8, 21369:26, 21369:27, 21369:29, 21369:34, 21369:38, 21370:8, 21418:7, 21430:38
opposed [1] - 21403:27
opposite [2] - 21354:10, 21370:30

options [1] - 21403:3
order [13] - 21357:6, 21358:29, 21358:33, 21360:7, 21361:25, 21362:47, 21369:17, 21370:35, 21371:14, 21386:35, 21393:21, 21399:43, 21406:11
ordinary [1] - 21346:39
original [1] - 21379:47
others's [1] - 21362:45
otherwise [1] - 21416:23
ourselves [1] - 21425:35
outcome [3] - 21359:36, 21370:19, 21386:12
outlets [1] - 21380:26
outside [1] - 21427:7
overseas [3] - 21344:47, 21372:4, 21429:42
own [8] - 21351:40, 21353:16, 21371:41, 21371:47, 21372:38, 21384:11, 21394:29, 21394:38

P

page [30] - 21359:15, 21366:18, 21378:17, 21382:15, 21383:1, 21383:37, 21383:40, 21384:25, 21385:34, 21388:30, 21401:3, 21407:27, 21410:25, 21410:36, 21410:46, 21410:47, 21411:11, 21412:1, 21412:2, 21412:4, 21412:30, 21413:15, 21414:24, 21419:31, 21419:39, 21420:18, 21420:21, 21422:30, 21431:27
pages [1] - 21344:14
paper [2] - 21379:19, 21380:17
paragraph [61] - 21346:2, 21346:22, 21346:23, 21346:28, 21346:36, 21347:1, 21348:38, 21352:2, 21352:9, 21352:37, 21353:27, 21353:42, 21359:15, 21359:42, 21361:1, 21363:21,

21366:18, 21366:27, 21369:16, 21370:40, 21373:19, 21376:17, 21377:24, 21380:22, 21380:23, 21380:25, 21380:27, 21381:8, 21388:30, 21398:34, 21398:38, 21398:41, 21399:2, 21401:3, 21401:4, 21401:6, 21401:16, 21401:26, 21402:36, 21403:2, 21404:16, 21404:24, 21404:25, 21404:26, 21404:31, 21404:36, 21405:24, 21405:39, 21405:43, 21405:44, 21408:23, 21408:24, 21416:18, 21420:22, 21420:29, 21420:38, 21422:29, 21422:36

paragraphs [2] - 21376:3, 21412:3

pardon [9] - 21377:20, 21377:40, 21379:14, 21380:13, 21401:10, 21409:31, 21411:8, 21412:19, 21415:36

parentheses [1] - 21354:33

parents [1] - 21354:8

parishes [1] - 21420:34

Parramatta [7] - 21354:40, 21407:1, 21410:32, 21416:15, 21416:17, 21416:32, 21417:39

part [16] - 21345:3, 21354:7, 21359:36, 21361:22, 21367:9, 21370:25, 21373:25, 21380:42, 21386:27, 21396:6, 21405:47, 21407:16, 21407:22, 21421:1, 21427:8, 21427:29

participants [2] - 21361:16, 21361:29

participate [3] - 21345:27, 21359:11, 21410:40

participating [1] - 21346:24

particular [3] - 21384:1, 21394:15, 21425:27

particularly [5] - 21372:35, 21376:41, 21431:8, 21431:12, 21431:38

partner [1] - 21417:32

pass [1] - 21384:2

past [4] - 21358:14, 21415:46, 21425:46, 21426:4

paste [1] - 21419:36

path [2] - 21356:47, 21359:6

Paul [1] - 21417:35

Pell [7] - 21371:8, 21371:21, 21409:37, 21409:40, 21416:24, 21416:35, 21417:11

Pell's [2] - 21345:11, 21409:43

pen [1] - 21420:10

people [34] - 21345:23, 21361:26, 21361:28, 21361:36, 21372:7, 21374:25, 21376:31, 21378:35, 21378:37, 21390:6, 21393:37, 21393:43, 21393:44, 21394:6, 21394:11, 21394:19, 21394:21, 21394:23, 21399:32, 21399:44, 21402:29, 21402:30, 21402:32, 21402:45, 21402:46, 21404:13, 21406:6, 21406:18, 21406:24, 21406:27, 21408:16, 21424:7, 21425:29, 21427:8

people's [2] - 21404:10, 21427:3

per [1] - 21379:22

perhaps [15] - 21351:41, 21357:39, 21359:14, 21372:25, 21373:19, 21373:32, 21378:31, 21380:25, 21380:45, 21381:35, 21385:37, 21392:21, 21393:38, 21429:9, 21429:44

period [1] - 21387:22

permanent [1] - 21365:31

permitted [2] - 21379:37, 21379:42

persist [1] - 21355:13

person [9] - 21345:14, 21355:45, 21357:47, 21361:47, 21366:46, 21369:22, 21403:28, 21414:1, 21431:33

person's [1] - 21373:36

personally [1] - 21371:9

persons [3] - 21371:12, 21371:25, 21426:38

persuade [7] - 21357:12, 21358:29, 21358:33, 21369:34, 21369:38, 21376:7, 21402:45

persuaded [3] - 21356:24, 21357:8, 21369:43

persuasion [1] - 21414:9

pertinent [1] - 21416:11

Peter [1] - 21343:33

Peters [64] - 21344:10, 21344:41, 21345:2, 21345:29, 21345:35, 21345:41, 21346:3, 21346:28, 21347:4, 21347:46, 21348:8, 21348:11, 21348:19, 21348:28, 21348:35, 21348:40, 21348:41, 21350:10, 21359:16, 21359:45, 21360:38, 21361:1, 21361:17, 21362:20, 21366:28, 21366:30, 21366:34, 21366:36, 21367:4, 21367:47, 21368:25, 21369:11, 21369:16, 21369:23, 21370:23, 21370:34, 21370:42, 21372:6, 21375:9, 21378:8, 21379:27, 21381:10, 21386:15, 21386:27, 21387:38, 21389:7, 21389:45, 21396:1, 21396:36, 21398:20, 21401:33, 21401:45, 21404:37, 21404:46, 21406:22, 21407:26, 21408:4, 21415:3, 21424:39, 21425:20, 21428:1, 21428:24, 21428:39, 21429:47

Peters' [13] - 21350:2, 21357:30, 21359:27, 21365:21, 21369:4, 21374:42, 21374:47, 21377:25, 21379:38, 21379:43, 21395:9, 21401:5, 21407:18

petition [1] - 21376:8

phone [4] - 21345:29, 21345:45, 21361:13, 21404:45

phrase [2] - 21354:33, 21358:9

phrased [1] - 21392:32

phrasing [1] - 21414:43

physically [1] - 21431:33

pieces [1] - 21418:15

Place [1] - 21343:23

place [12] - 21363:45, 21364:9, 21364:13, 21364:29, 21364:42, 21364:43, 21366:7, 21370:40, 21384:40, 21397:23, 21403:7, 21425:33

plainly [1] - 21357:44

plan [7] - 21358:26, 21358:37, 21359:7, 21396:36, 21398:43, 21408:33, 21414:35

planned [1] - 21398:7

plausible [1] - 21367:24

plead [1] - 21432:1

pleaded [1] - 21431:39

plural [1] - 21403:27

plus [1] - 21407:46

point [23] - 21347:36, 21350:42, 21354:27, 21355:12, 21366:13, 21369:23, 21369:41, 21374:34, 21376:2, 21376:5, 21376:14, 21383:40, 21386:36, 21390:14, 21394:39, 21396:14, 21396:36, 21397:15, 21402:3, 21411:36, 21411:37, 21413:45, 21425:28

pointed [1] - 21402:26

pointing [1] - 21420:47

points [4] - 21386:29, 21387:43, 21420:26, 21425:44

police [41] - 21360:1, 21365:20, 21373:24, 21373:26, 21373:28, 21373:30, 21375:8, 21375:19, 21375:21, 21375:24, 21375:26, 21375:28, 21375:30, 21375:36, 21375:38, 21380:36, 21380:41, 21383:17, 21383:41, 21383:47, 21384:3, 21384:6, 21384:8, 21384:20, 21384:21, 21384:34, 21391:9, 21393:22, 21393:24, 21393:34, 21393:39, 21393:44, 21394:1, 21394:8, 21394:20, 21394:25, 21423:36, 21427:1, 21427:3, 21427:5, 21427:7

policy [2] - 21431:17, 21431:18

position [30] - 21348:10, 21348:21, 21348:22, 21348:32, 21350:9, 21350:19, 21358:13, 21360:42, 21368:38, 21369:43, 21369:44, 21371:14, 21375:46, 21379:47, 21380:3, 21390:40, 21395:7, 21395:24, 21395:34, 21404:8, 21404:11, 21411:4, 21411:26, 21414:5, 21416:21, 21417:3, 21417:16, 21417:17, 21418:39, 21421:4

possibilities [2] - 21349:22, 21403:30

possibility [9] - 21353:43, 21377:26, 21385:45, 21386:8, 21386:16, 21403:16, 21408:25, 21408:29, 21409:4

possible [3] - 21399:7, 21408:38, 21420:43

potentially [2] - 21422:23, 21427:13

practicalities [1] - 21387:21

practice [4] - 21350:4, 21394:25, 21405:41, 21426:25

precise [5] - 21371:28, 21372:14, 21372:28, 21374:11, 21382:12

precisely [7] - 21364:38, 21368:35, 21373:43, 21374:34, 21415:42, 21423:42, 21429:35

preliminaries [1] - 21428:39

preliminary [1] - 21423:42

prepared [5] -

21363:1, 21363:2,
21380:5, 21389:15,
21428:23
preparedness [2] -
21352:33, 21355:2
preparing [1] -
21344:35
present [13] -
21344:46, 21345:5,
21345:37, 21361:12,
21361:29, 21363:34,
21378:10, 21384:33,
21385:16, 21398:17,
21398:18, 21410:12,
21428:25
presentation [1] -
21407:22
presentations [1] -
21427:12
presented [4] -
21396:37, 21416:9,
21416:46, 21429:11
press [16] - 21368:34,
21372:14, 21372:21,
21372:33, 21372:41,
21372:44, 21372:46,
21381:45, 21382:25,
21382:40, 21382:44,
21394:38, 21395:3,
21395:7, 21395:30,
21400:21
pressed [1] -
21390:35
pressure [1] -
21381:43
presumably [8] -
21346:13, 21379:4,
21379:36, 21382:31,
21382:44, 21384:13,
21404:43, 21405:13
presume [11] -
21396:45, 21397:1,
21397:9, 21397:10,
21398:4, 21398:14,
21405:4, 21416:33,
21428:3, 21428:30
presumed [2] -
21345:31, 21427:47
presuming [1] -
21430:6
prevent [1] - 21354:7
previous [11] -
21346:23, 21354:44,
21361:9, 21376:23,
21377:9, 21378:47,
21382:4, 21388:4,
21388:8, 21397:28,
21401:4
previously [5] -
21357:16, 21358:9,
21385:43, 21386:23,
21421:12
priest [26] - 21354:11,
21355:46, 21356:4,
21356:8, 21356:19,
21356:40, 21356:47,
21386:42, 21387:12,
21387:16, 21387:23,
21400:21, 21401:21,
21401:24, 21402:5,
21404:6, 21411:4,
21411:26, 21416:26,
21416:32, 21419:46,
21422:12, 21422:47,
21426:24, 21430:35,
21432:1
priesthood [5] -
21392:34, 21399:13,
21399:20, 21414:2,
21430:21
priests [7] - 21357:17,
21358:18, 21384:33,
21400:46, 21416:24,
21422:4, 21431:24
primarily [2] -
21366:46, 21385:10
principles [3] -
21387:7, 21387:11,
21387:13
private [5] - 21345:11,
21375:12, 21375:18,
21375:19, 21375:26
proactive [1] -
21359:39
problem [1] -
21407:23
problematic [1] -
21410:40
procedural [1] -
21410:16
procedure [1] -
21423:24
proceed [1] - 21416:8
proceedings [7] -
21380:8, 21385:9,
21399:25, 21403:9,
21404:2, 21416:42,
21419:11
process [14] -
21347:41, 21355:42,
21355:44, 21356:14,
21356:40, 21358:34,
21358:36, 21362:31,
21384:2, 21403:18,
21403:35, 21418:40,
21426:19, 21427:9
Professional [4] -
21394:18, 21397:20,
21397:23, 21397:27
professionally [1] -
21371:10
program [2] -
21345:32, 21389:41
progress [3] -
21409:18, 21414:30,
21414:34
properly [1] -
21428:23
proposal [1] -
21387:21
proposed [1] -
21371:41
proposing [1] -
21375:13
proposition [2] -
21402:17, 21402:22
prosecuted [2] -
21373:46, 21402:4
prosecution [1] -
21380:31
protecting [1] -
21353:45
protection [1] -
21411:27
protocol [9] - 21416:8,
21422:3, 21422:10,
21422:15, 21423:23,
21425:28, 21426:36,
21429:43
Protocols [1] -
21421:31
protocols [5] -
21384:40, 21421:34,
21421:45, 21422:16,
21423:16
proved [1] - 21353:30
provide [3] -
21379:36, 21379:42,
21418:17
provided [12] -
21352:4, 21359:33,
21362:32, 21364:17,
21370:34, 21382:3,
21382:4, 21385:19,
21393:26, 21397:37,
21418:11, 21418:32
provides [1] -
21387:42
providing [1] -
21397:35
provocative [1] -
21377:42
public [18] - 21346:15,
21356:9, 21358:44,
21359:1, 21368:12,
21368:21, 21370:14,
21370:17, 21371:20,
21375:9, 21375:10,
21382:10, 21395:37,
21396:10, 21401:24,
21402:5, 21412:46,
21431:17
Public [1] - 21343:18
publications [1] -
21431:10
publicity [1] - 21382:9
publicly [4] -
21362:32, 21369:16,
21371:16, 21401:40
published [1] -
21431:2
purpose [14] -
21345:28, 21345:34,
21351:6, 21351:12,
21357:1, 21357:2,
21357:34, 21361:20,
21365:11, 21370:5,
21380:16, 21392:32,
21394:20, 21424:5
purposes [4] -
21357:2, 21388:13,
21392:31, 21394:10
pursued [1] -
21407:36
pursuing [1] -
21354:21
put [28] - 21346:40,
21346:43, 21354:13,
21356:25, 21356:44,
21358:25, 21362:8,
21364:11, 21372:36,
21374:38, 21379:19,
21381:45, 21382:39,
21382:43, 21383:8,
21383:30, 21384:24,
21402:18, 21402:22,
21402:30, 21407:44,
21412:46, 21413:12,
21415:3, 21420:42,
21420:43, 21426:35,
21430:30
put-down [1] -
21383:30
puts [1] - 21356:1
putting [4] - 21359:10,
21370:5, 21396:10,
21408:7
puzzled [4] -
21357:15, 21368:1,
21386:34, 21386:38
puzzlement [10] -
21355:25, 21357:30,
21357:42, 21366:1,
21367:9, 21367:35,
21367:37, 21367:40,
21407:18, 21412:32
puzzles [3] -
21357:11, 21357:13,
21365:32
puzzling [1] -
21407:20

Q

QC [1] - 21418:12
qualifying [1] -
21373:25
questioning [1] -
21344:17
questions [16] -
21346:44, 21412:1,
21418:46, 21419:1,
21419:19, 21421:7,
21421:9, 21421:11,
21421:13, 21421:22,
21421:30, 21424:21,
21426:17, 21426:19,
21427:35, 21431:9
quickly [1] - 21411:14
quite [14] - 21346:8,
21350:3, 21363:25,
21364:37, 21370:7,
21370:10, 21370:41,
21371:9, 21376:34,
21379:30, 21380:23,
21397:46, 21413:3,
21415:42
quotations [1] -
21353:43
quoted [2] - 21365:26,
21382:15
quotes [1] - 21366:24

R

raised [6] - 21378:36,
21378:40, 21390:13,
21396:14, 21405:24,
21405:29
rang [5] - 21361:23,
21368:25, 21429:6,
21429:8, 21429:15
range [2] - 21355:2,
21382:3
ranting [3] - 21363:46,
21364:30, 21370:41
ranting' [1] - 21364:10
rather [3] - 21359:18,
21411:25, 21431:11
rationally [1] -
21404:7
re [5] - 21352:11,
21396:37, 21408:38,
21408:42, 21409:5
re-offending [3] -
21408:38, 21408:42,
21409:5
reaction [2] -
21407:29, 21407:33
read [23] - 21348:40,

21351:42, 21352:43, 21354:3, 21363:12, 21363:30, 21365:15, 21374:40, 21380:7, 21382:1, 21385:23, 21388:7, 21388:8, 21396:40, 21396:43, 21397:40, 21405:10, 21406:11, 21409:28, 21412:3, 21413:32, 21413:38, 21413:40

reading [3] - 21346:39, 21365:11, 21404:17

reads [2] - 21366:30, 21396:33

reality [2] - 21356:23, 21410:27

really [5] - 21349:24, 21368:8, 21394:29, 21425:27, 21427:4

reason [12] - 21355:20, 21355:30, 21357:21, 21377:13, 21377:18, 21377:21, 21380:40, 21381:32, 21401:38, 21402:44, 21423:23, 21430:30

reasons [4] - 21380:31, 21385:43, 21386:23, 21426:41

recalled [2] - 21367:21, 21374:20

received [9] - 21345:29, 21345:45, 21364:31, 21370:6, 21377:2, 21388:8, 21388:14, 21388:17, 21388:21

receiving [2] - 21344:20, 21358:14

recent [3] - 21344:18, 21349:32, 21376:41

recently [4] - 21363:12, 21382:1, 21385:23, 21398:27

recognise [4] - 21419:40, 21423:43, 21424:1, 21424:8

recognition [1] - 21423:17

recollect [1] - 21365:17

recollection [6] - 21345:35, 21347:24, 21347:32, 21347:36, 21348:5, 21348:12, 21348:15, 21348:22, 21348:33, 21351:23, 21361:21, 21361:26, 21361:28, 21361:31, 21361:47, 21362:32, 21362:43, 21362:45, 21362:47, 21363:47, 21364:33, 21364:35, 21365:19, 21365:23, 21366:15, 21367:42, 21373:34, 21374:24, 21377:15, 21379:4, 21379:20, 21379:21, 21379:22, 21379:23, 21382:3, 21382:38, 21388:38, 21388:47, 21394:41, 21395:28, 21395:40, 21396:4, 21396:9, 21396:11, 21396:21, 21396:24, 21399:32, 21401:29, 21402:19, 21403:37, 21404:18, 21406:43, 21407:35, 21412:5, 21415:11, 21420:45, 21420:47, 21424:23, 21429:24

recollections [3] - 21370:9, 21381:11, 21382:4

recommended [1] - 21377:37

reconcile [1] - 21395:44

record [1] - 21348:27, 21350:19, 21350:35, 21355:21, 21363:11, 21381:25, 21384:28, 21427:41, 21428:10, 21429:6

recorded [19] - 21346:3, 21346:28, 21347:5, 21347:13, 21355:23, 21359:16, 21359:21, 21359:27, 21361:1, 21363:18, 21364:4, 21369:15, 21371:13, 21371:14, 21371:26, 21389:46, 21399:47, 21403:6, 21406:16

recording [1] - 21369:11

records [1] - 21401:6

reduced [1] - 21400:43

refer [8] - 21373:34, 21374:46, 21377:24, 21378:2, 21385:31, 21410:26, 21413:42, 21414:30

reference [3] - 21344:10, 21344:17, 21347:32, 21354:29, 21358:17, 21359:17, 21359:26, 21359:42, 21360:3, 21377:10, 21377:34, 21380:28, 21381:8, 21383:20, 21386:25, 21387:30, 21387:43, 21388:31, 21389:44, 21397:19, 21399:2, 21403:34, 21404:45, 21407:21, 21407:29, 21408:24, 21408:33, 21409:21, 21410:32, 21410:47, 21428:24

references [2] - 21344:13, 21388:25

referred [24] - 21347:4, 21351:40, 21352:39, 21353:29, 21359:17, 21366:6, 21373:24, 21373:26, 21373:41, 21374:1, 21377:44, 21396:15, 21397:28, 21399:23, 21402:10, 21407:17, 21412:20, 21412:32, 21412:35, 21422:22, 21422:40, 21423:11, 21424:6

referring [13] - 21348:36, 21365:20, 21374:24, 21380:26, 21384:34, 21403:26, 21413:22, 21414:25, 21416:33, 21416:34, 21428:11, 21428:33, 21431:47

refers [4] - 21344:40, 21352:9, 21387:19, 21416:17

reflect [1] - 21387:20

refrained [1] - 21354:21

regard [2] - 21357:44, 21389:15

regarded [1] - 21408:45

regrets [1] - 21359:38

related [3] - 21354:29, 21375:27, 21375:43

relation [3] - 21344:11, 21345:24, 21349:36, 21352:45, 21354:44, 21355:3, 21355:15, 21358:13, 21364:20, 21370:4, 21376:41, 21380:35, 21382:10, 21382:24, 21384:1, 21387:6, 21390:21, 21402:12, 21402:41, 21404:37, 21408:23, 21411:21, 21416:25, 21417:12, 21421:31, 21424:40, 21424:41, 21424:45, 21425:11, 21426:22

release [28] - 21371:36, 21371:40, 21371:47, 21372:15, 21372:33, 21372:41, 21372:44, 21372:46, 21373:18, 21378:17, 21378:21, 21378:36, 21378:40, 21378:44, 21379:41, 21380:45, 21380:46, 21381:4, 21381:45, 21382:26, 21382:40, 21382:44, 21394:38, 21395:3, 21395:7, 21395:30, 21395:42, 21395:47

released [1] - 21372:11

releases [5] - 21372:2, 21372:18, 21372:21, 21379:1, 21379:19

releasing [1] - 21372:21

relevant [1] - 21421:1

reluctant [1] - 21358:25

relying [1] - 21394:31

remained [2] - 21399:19, 21401:24

remarks [1] - 21349:36

remember [24] - 21350:39, 21363:14, 21364:44, 21367:27, 21367:28, 21367:32, 21388:45, 21404:27, 21404:28, 21404:46, 21405:1, 21405:9, 21405:40, 21405:44, 21405:45, 21407:33, 21416:47, 21417:24, 21427:45, 21428:3, 21428:13, 21428:16, 21428:25, 21429:47

remembered [5] - 21350:30, 21367:38, 21368:2, 21369:2, 21429:1

remorse [2] - 21358:2, 21358:8

remove [2] - 21356:8, 21356:28

removed [13] - 21350:45, 21356:24, 21357:17, 21358:44, 21358:47, 21377:10, 21377:14, 21377:34, 21377:45, 21379:9, 21382:28, 21382:31, 21408:37

replied [1] - 21411:30

report [14] - 21348:18, 21375:3, 21375:4, 21375:19, 21375:20, 21375:40, 21380:29, 21423:36, 21426:25, 21427:5, 21427:13, 21430:4, 21430:7, 21430:9

reported [6] - 21360:1, 21360:13, 21366:19, 21373:30, 21426:31, 21430:12

reportedly [3] - 21416:23, 21416:35, 21417:10

reporting [13] - 21375:8, 21375:26, 21375:36, 21380:32, 21380:36, 21380:40, 21427:1, 21427:2, 21427:7, 21427:15, 21427:16, 21427:18, 21431:7

represent [1] - 21421:27

representative [1] - 21428:12

representing [2] - 21430:42, 21431:12

request [3] - 21404:20, 21418:10, 21418:21

requested [1] - 21404:16

requests [2] - 21381:44, 21425:27

resign [1] - 21376:7

resignation [1] - 21351:33

resolve [2] - 21371:14, 21371:20

resolved [2] - 21371:22, 21410:20

Resource [8] - 21422:40, 21423:2, 21423:12, 21424:6, 21425:15, 21426:37, 21427:31, 21428:12

resource [1] - 21426:12

respect [12] - 21349:23, 21357:30, 21369:37, 21373:45,

21384:21, 21386:33, 21395:40, 21409:1, 21413:21, 21417:6, 21427:16, 21427:19
respectful [1] - 21416:5
respond [6] - 21346:44, 21370:35, 21422:11, 21422:17, 21423:19, 21425:30
responded [4] - 21359:46, 21383:32, 21383:34, 21425:26
responding [5] - 21396:1, 21396:25, 21421:35, 21422:3, 21423:25
response [16] - 21373:20, 21375:9, 21375:12, 21375:18, 21376:23, 21379:22, 21383:29, 21384:39, 21395:3, 21395:8, 21395:22, 21395:31, 21396:27, 21412:39, 21412:45, 21426:43
response" [1] - 21352:8
RESPONSES [1] - 21343:14
Responses [1] - 21421:31
responses [1] - 21423:35
responsibility [3] - 21380:36, 21423:47, 21427:30
responsible [1] - 21366:46
rest [1] - 21369:4
rests [1] - 21380:36
result [4] - 21360:21, 21362:31, 21401:42, 21405:19
retired [2] - 21415:41, 21415:43
retrieve [1] - 21367:43
return [1] - 21408:36
revealed [1] - 21368:38
revert [1] - 21356:31
review [2] - 21363:34, 21363:40
reviewed [1] - 21344:20
revisited [1] - 21362:12
rid [3] - 21365:45, 21376:14, 21386:20
ridiculous [4] - 21358:38, 21383:31, 21404:7, 21411:38
ring [3] - 21345:31, 21347:35, 21404:37
rings [2] - 21357:26, 21363:15
risk [3] - 21408:38, 21408:42, 21409:4
Rites [4] - 21346:17, 21367:21, 21419:27, 21419:35
role [4] - 21357:16, 21386:20, 21418:28, 21431:24
rolled [1] - 21346:35
room [2] - 21345:45, 21404:47
roster [1] - 21430:39
Royal [3] - 21364:15, 21377:45, 21378:5
ROYAL [1] - 21343:13
rumours [2] - 21407:15, 21407:39
rung [1] - 21429:28
Ryan [1] - 21432:6

S

sacked [1] - 21354:31
sat [1] - 21398:13
saw [6] - 21373:7, 21397:32, 21400:20, 21406:9, 21430:38, 21431:19
SC [1] - 21343:40
scandal [1] - 21354:9
scheme [1] - 21430:37
schemes [1] - 21430:40
screen [3] - 21384:25, 21410:26, 21429:9
seared [1] - 21349:5
seat [1] - 21363:15
second [2] - 21346:40, 21348:8, 21373:25, 21375:43, 21377:24, 21383:1, 21385:12, 21386:4, 21386:26, 21388:21, 21388:39, 21388:44, 21389:3, 21389:12, 21396:6, 21396:31, 21398:38, 21399:2, 21406:34, 21414:25, 21414:26
second-last [1] - 21377:24
secretary [1] - 21345:12
section [2] - 21422:46, 21427:19
Section [2] - 21393:29, 21393:31
secure [1] - 21392:33
security [1] - 21351:13
see [50] - 21344:21, 21344:42, 21345:5, 21345:34, 21346:22, 21346:25, 21347:1, 21347:4, 21347:9, 21352:14, 21352:22, 21353:3, 21353:15, 21354:11, 21359:18, 21361:5, 21363:27, 21363:30, 21363:36, 21364:5, 21364:10, 21365:5, 21365:7, 21365:27, 21369:39, 21370:9, 21372:35, 21378:34, 21381:8, 21384:36, 21386:1, 21389:19, 21389:39, 21389:44, 21398:30, 21404:26, 21406:31, 21410:25, 21413:17, 21415:20, 21416:2, 21417:13, 21419:21, 21420:22, 21422:36, 21424:16, 21424:20, 21428:19, 21429:13, 21430:44
seeing [1] - 21406:7
seek [2] - 21424:1, 21426:11
seeking [9] - 21369:15, 21378:7, 21378:9, 21378:13, 21378:20, 21378:37, 21379:35, 21379:41, 21409:4
seem [5] - 21346:19, 21347:35, 21367:24, 21397:44, 21430:15
semi [2] - 21415:41, 21415:43
semi-retired [2] - 21415:41, 21415:43
seminary [2] - 21430:36, 21430:41
send [1] - 21430:16
sense [19] - 21349:14, 21350:12, 21350:26, 21353:39, 21359:47, 21368:46, 21369:8, 21369:35, 21369:47, 21370:3, 21370:24, 21373:33, 21378:25, 21378:31, 21387:46, 21394:33, 21395:10, 21412:25, 21425:34
sensitive [1] - 21416:17
sent [6] - 21362:23, 21372:5, 21372:9, 21373:9, 21428:9, 21428:13
sentence [12] - 21346:40, 21347:45, 21348:8, 21352:45, 21376:17, 21376:23, 21380:35, 21401:34, 21402:9, 21403:21, 21408:13, 21408:34
sentences [2] - 21346:36, 21346:38
sentencing [2] - 21349:32, 21349:36
separate [2] - 21373:22, 21379:43
separately [2] - 21361:23, 21362:2
SEPTEMBER [1] - 21432:25
September [29] - 21343:26, 21345:36, 21351:9, 21351:35, 21352:33, 21365:39, 21365:40, 21385:13, 21385:27, 21388:4, 21388:9, 21388:14, 21389:35, 21396:33, 21418:2, 21420:22, 21422:24, 21426:15, 21426:23, 21428:19, 21428:29, 21429:7, 21429:13, 21429:34, 21429:36, 21429:38, 21429:39, 21429:44, 21430:1
serious [7] - 21358:38, 21384:31, 21385:1, 21385:6, 21400:41, 21406:35, 21410:28
seriousness [3] - 21399:3, 21406:8, 21411:44
servers [1] - 21354:41
set [9] - 21387:46, 21417:18, 21422:16, 21423:23, 21423:29, 21427:46, 21428:4, 21428:29, 21430:37
sets [2] - 21352:8, 21418:14
setting [2] - 21405:20, 21421:35
seven [1] - 21396:36
seven-point [1] - 21396:36
SEXUAL [1] - 21343:14
sexual [13] - 21390:1, 21390:4, 21390:10, 21390:12, 21390:21, 21390:43, 21396:47, 21397:9, 21397:13, 21400:13, 21402:41, 21420:33, 21421:36
Sexual [1] - 21421:32
sexually [2] - 21399:29, 21402:11
shared [1] - 21362:37
short [1] - 21417:25
showed [1] - 21400:11
shown [2] - 21355:2, 21428:16
side [2] - 21359:10, 21365:2
sides [1] - 21431:6
sign [2] - 21394:19, 21394:22
signature [1] - 21419:32
significance [2] - 21407:31, 21412:22
significant [2] - 21349:37, 21431:5
significantly [2] - 21370:7, 21400:43
similar [7] - 21360:3, 21360:35, 21398:34, 21400:11, 21405:43, 21411:36, 21414:44
simply [2] - 21345:44, 21350:21
sit [1] - 21426:4
situation [6] - 21362:10, 21368:10, 21370:10, 21399:4, 21403:8, 21406:8
situations [1] - 21400:11
six [1] - 21364:36
SKINNER [10] - 21349:19, 21349:29, 21421:15, 21421:19, 21425:43, 21426:1, 21427:39, 21427:41, 21431:29, 21432:8
Skinner [3] - 21425:41, 21427:37, 21427:41
slightly [3] - 21372:9, 21372:10, 21426:35
social [1] - 21431:17
Society [2] - 21430:37, 21431:10
solicitor [5] -

21345:17, 21345:20,
21371:3, 21410:12,
21430:40
solicitors [1] -
21431:12
someone [11] -
21369:5, 21377:37,
21382:34, 21382:37,
21383:9, 21383:10,
21383:45, 21394:24,
21398:4, 21420:44,
21423:42
something's [1] -
21399:34
sometimes [3] -
21357:1, 21357:2,
21416:4
somewhat [2] -
21395:40, 21431:22
somewhere [4] -
21350:15, 21369:25,
21409:44, 21415:5
soon [1] - 21362:8
sorry [32] - 21348:14,
21349:46, 21359:12,
21360:14, 21360:17,
21364:6, 21372:28,
21372:43, 21373:2,
21379:39, 21385:3,
21388:41, 21398:3,
21406:43, 21409:29,
21411:6, 21411:14,
21415:31, 21415:34,
21415:36, 21415:38,
21421:37, 21421:39,
21421:40, 21422:29,
21422:31, 21422:34,
21422:41, 21422:44,
21429:7, 21429:33
Sorry [1] - 21375:34
sort [6] - 21383:8,
21401:42, 21417:5,
21417:18, 21427:15,
21430:6
sort" [1] - 21417:7
sorts [3] - 21350:13,
21430:31, 21431:16
sought [6] - 21378:16,
21378:30, 21378:35,
21406:12, 21423:44,
21427:1
sounds [2] -
21362:15, 21368:41
source [5] - 21394:28,
21405:32, 21415:14,
21416:37
space [1] - 21378:3
speaking [3] -
21393:36, 21412:15,
21431:47
Special [8] -
21422:40, 21423:2,
21423:11, 21424:6,
21425:14, 21426:37,
21427:30, 21428:12
specialist [3] -
21423:39, 21423:43,
21426:47
specialists [1] -
21423:30
specific [16] -
21359:47, 21360:34,
21362:19, 21363:36,
21364:17, 21367:27,
21374:27, 21375:31,
21375:34, 21375:39,
21379:30, 21380:1,
21387:31, 21408:4,
21408:8, 21413:5
specifically [8] -
21364:3, 21364:44,
21388:39, 21389:9,
21404:28, 21414:46,
21428:3, 21428:41
specifics [2] -
21359:23, 21384:32
speculation [1] -
21413:35
spin [1] - 21363:6
spoken [3] -
21366:19, 21371:3,
21395:42
stage [18] - 21346:34,
21356:18, 21366:35,
21370:34, 21372:20,
21376:34, 21377:15,
21385:46, 21394:18,
21394:26, 21395:39,
21395:41, 21406:24,
21406:34, 21410:43,
21415:38, 21415:41,
21425:25
stage" [1] - 21386:9
stand [2] - 21378:4,
21379:37
standard [2] -
21397:46, 21398:4
Standards [4] -
21394:18, 21397:20,
21397:23, 21397:28
standby [1] - 21345:1
stands [1] - 21361:2
starting [3] -
21410:47, 21412:30,
21420:22
state [1] - 21356:31
statement [11] -
21344:18, 21362:22,
21362:23, 21369:31,
21373:12, 21394:30,
21396:8, 21416:23,
21416:35, 21417:10,
21417:12
statements [3] -
21368:33, 21368:38,
21371:20
States [2] - 21425:33,
21429:43
Statute [1] - 21402:40
stay [1] - 21414:2
stayed [2] - 21380:1,
21400:33
step [4] - 21355:47,
21356:12, 21357:7,
21403:43
still [11] - 21354:11,
21358:34, 21365:43,
21366:3, 21381:29,
21395:40, 21395:41,
21399:24, 21399:35,
21412:5, 21423:11
stop [1] - 21386:17
stopping [7] -
21352:43, 21354:1,
21366:29, 21380:31,
21399:28, 21412:41,
21413:7
story [5] - 21406:19,
21406:23, 21406:26,
21408:9, 21412:4
strategy [2] -
21421:35, 21423:23
strict [1] - 21378:31
stringing [1] -
21386:11
stronger [1] -
21377:15
stuck [2] - 21379:6,
21379:47
Study [1] - 21343:18
study [2] - 21429:42,
21431:44
subject [5] - 21390:22,
21404:17, 21405:24,
21405:26, 21431:25
submission [1] -
21346:41
subpoena [1] -
21346:12
subpoenaed [2] -
21346:14, 21346:20
subsequent [5] -
21358:29, 21364:35,
21377:27, 21382:28,
21405:15
subsequently [3] -
21360:19, 21360:30,
21367:42
substance [2] -
21379:23, 21384:20
substantially [1] -
21379:6
successful [1] -
21380:30
sufficient [1] -
21367:26
suggest [4] -
21352:25, 21402:9,
21420:6, 21421:3
suggested [4] -
21344:19, 21346:36,
21397:20, 21404:36
suggestion [1] -
21383:31
suggests [2] -
21365:3, 21374:37
summary [5] -
21380:5, 21384:24,
21384:36, 21384:41,
21397:45
summation [1] -
21373:44
summer [1] -
21430:38
support [1] - 21406:13
supported [1] -
21406:7
surely [1] - 21382:11
surmise [3] -
21354:16, 21364:20
surmised [6] -
21374:2, 21374:5,
21390:32, 21390:42,
21408:47
surmising [5] -
21347:37, 21351:24,
21374:12, 21390:8,
21394:16
surprise [1] -
21361:25
surprised [6] -
21346:29, 21347:5,
21347:46, 21348:42,
21372:9, 21393:29
surprising [1] -
21404:3
suspecting [1] -
21393:35
suspend [2] -
21365:28, 21365:29
suspends [1] -
21355:47
suspension [6] -
21356:1, 21356:25,
21358:39, 21358:42,
21358:43, 21365:31
suspensions [1] -
21410:38
suspicious [1] -
21400:2
sworn [1] - 21377:44
Sydney [4] -
21343:23, 21344:40,
21345:7, 21345:30

T

tab [34] - 21344:33,
21351:41, 21359:14,
21361:8, 21371:35,
21372:47, 21376:44,
21378:7, 21378:9,
21378:16, 21378:43,
21380:6, 21380:45,
21381:3, 21381:35,
21385:23, 21387:42,
21389:34, 21396:33,
21397:18, 21398:20,
21409:27, 21415:20,
21415:34, 21415:36,
21417:31, 21419:15,
21422:28, 21422:33,
21424:17, 21424:20,
21428:10, 21429:6,
21429:10
task [1] - 21357:40
telephone [2] -
21363:11, 21372:8
temporary [1] -
21365:31
tender [2] - 21344:20,
21397:41
tenor [6] - 21372:3,
21372:19, 21372:23,
21372:37, 21373:12,
21393:16
terms [19] - 21353:36,
21354:40, 21355:44,
21358:26, 21361:38,
21366:31, 21370:47,
21371:8, 21371:9,
21379:4, 21383:7,
21383:29, 21387:33,
21393:22, 21398:34,
21402:18, 21405:43,
21422:15, 21425:23
test [5] - 21350:18,
21351:13, 21351:16,
21370:8
tested [2] - 21351:5,
21351:12
testing [3] - 21351:17,
21362:43, 21362:45
text [1] - 21363:35
themselves [2] -
21400:30, 21423:18
theory [1] - 21369:24
therapy [1] - 21431:34
therefore [7] -
21353:19, 21353:39,

21354:9, 21356:41,
21374:22, 21400:29,
21427:22
they've [1] - 21383:46
thicker [1] - 21420:10
thinking [4] -
21371:37, 21395:20,
21411:41, 21431:38
third [3] - 21383:1,
21388:30, 21398:16
thirds [1] - 21420:21
threatened [1] -
21394:21
threatening [1] -
21403:12
three [16] - 21352:39,
21352:46, 21357:24,
21360:18, 21360:45,
21361:26, 21362:18,
21366:45, 21379:18,
21388:26, 21407:25,
21414:4, 21414:8,
21414:16, 21420:25,
21420:31
three-hour [1] -
21407:25
throughout [1] -
21388:36
TO [2] - 21343:14,
21432:24
today [3] - 21389:16,
21395:45, 21430:44
together [7] -
21346:36, 21362:8,
21369:41, 21370:5,
21407:44, 21408:7,
21428:2
tomorrow [2] -
21344:7, 21432:22
tone [1] - 21363:47
Tonti [3] - 21415:21,
21416:28, 21416:38
Tonti-Filippini [3] -
21415:21, 21416:28,
21416:38
Tony [3] - 21381:36,
21383:7, 21384:26
took [13] - 21346:13,
21347:35, 21365:18,
21372:47, 21410:5,
21412:2, 21414:8,
21416:11, 21430:2,
21430:15, 21431:4,
21431:5, 21431:18
top [3] - 21359:15,
21419:40, 21424:20
topic [2] - 21397:13,
21428:4
totally [2] - 21386:38,
21412:21
tour [1] - 21429:42
towards [6] -
21356:47, 21357:7,
21359:6, 21380:27,
21393:23, 21410:46
Towards [1] -
21376:29
Tower [1] - 21343:22
tracked [4] -
21364:37, 21364:45,
21364:47, 21365:3
training [2] -
21430:20, 21430:36
transcript [3] -
21344:13, 21382:40,
21431:23
trial [1] - 21356:36
tried [2] - 21365:26,
21394:24
trip [2] - 21424:41,
21424:42
true [13] - 21347:35,
21350:19, 21353:25,
21362:6, 21363:25,
21365:19, 21368:38,
21374:44, 21395:7,
21395:24, 21396:10,
21400:7, 21418:41
trust [1] - 21400:14
truth [20] - 21346:30,
21347:10, 21347:25,
21347:30, 21347:42,
21348:9, 21348:11,
21348:14, 21348:20,
21348:21, 21348:24,
21348:29, 21348:34,
21348:37, 21348:44,
21349:9, 21349:16,
21349:17, 21355:6,
21366:39
truthful [4] - 21366:8,
21366:12, 21366:15
try [2] - 21362:38,
21372:28
trying [5] - 21350:28,
21362:38, 21362:41,
21370:8, 21431:24
Tuesday [1] -
21343:26
turn [13] - 21346:22,
21359:15, 21361:8,
21371:35, 21380:25,
21380:42, 21381:3,
21381:35, 21397:18,
21398:8, 21398:9,
21410:25, 21427:31
turned [1] - 21368:17
turning [4] - 21366:18,
21376:44, 21410:46,
21412:30
Twenty [1] - 21367:15
twice [2] - 21373:8,
21397:37
two [23] - 21346:35,
21346:38, 21350:24,
21353:29, 21355:1,
21355:18, 21360:42,
21361:29, 21375:38,
21375:39, 21384:32,
21388:10, 21404:27,
21407:27, 21407:44,
21407:46, 21409:41,
21415:19, 21420:21,
21428:18, 21428:42,
21429:35
two-and-a-half [1] -
21407:27
two-thirds [1] -
21420:21
type [3] - 21363:44,
21364:3, 21364:8

U

ultimate [2] -
21379:35, 21386:12
ultimately [3] -
21362:36, 21370:42,
21410:20
unable [1] - 21367:32
uncertain [3] -
21382:31, 21406:27,
21408:15
uncertainty [1] -
21394:28
understood [11] -
21345:42, 21350:44,
21351:2, 21351:3,
21351:5, 21352:43,
21355:5, 21360:21,
21361:20, 21405:19,
21406:20
undertaken [2] -
21383:47, 21426:19
undertook [2] -
21426:24, 21429:42
undoubtedly [1] -
21398:35
unequivocal [1] -
21351:2
unfair [1] - 21425:25
United [1] - 21429:43
unless [1] - 21415:5
unreal [2] - 21346:32,
21347:21
unrepentant [2] -
21390:1, 21390:18
unsafe [1] - 21351:28
unsafe-ness [1] -
21351:28

unspecified [1] -
21394:33
unusual [3] -
21387:22, 21387:27,
21414:15
up [33] - 21346:32,
21347:18, 21347:33,
21350:10, 21351:41,
21355:7, 21357:26,
21358:1, 21358:7,
21359:39, 21360:12,
21368:17, 21369:19,
21370:13, 21371:17,
21374:26, 21376:37,
21378:34, 21379:36,
21398:8, 21398:9,
21412:45, 21422:29,
21423:29, 21424:17,
21424:40, 21427:46,
21428:5, 21428:29,
21429:9, 21430:31,
21430:37, 21431:9
updated [1] -
21420:14
upset [2] - 21388:47,
21394:21
useful [1] - 21378:2
Usher [47] - 21344:4,
21344:42, 21345:37,
21345:41, 21346:24,
21346:31, 21346:37,
21347:13, 21348:9,
21360:25, 21360:31,
21360:43, 21362:20,
21363:25, 21370:29,
21371:1, 21371:6,
21372:6, 21378:8,
21379:46, 21389:11,
21389:46, 21396:32,
21402:2, 21406:18,
21406:20, 21406:22,
21409:42, 21413:11,
21416:34, 21419:11,
21419:20, 21421:1,
21424:30, 21424:39,
21425:19, 21425:20,
21425:30, 21428:1,
21429:6, 21429:8,
21429:22, 21429:45,
21430:30, 21430:31
Usher's [5] -
21389:34, 21417:12,
21420:6, 21421:3,
21429:12
utility [1] - 21384:19

V

vacations [2] -
21430:39
vague [14] - 21363:45,
21364:9, 21364:13,
21364:29, 21364:43,
21370:40, 21373:37,
21374:32, 21377:10,
21377:13, 21377:14,
21377:16, 21384:22,
21384:29
various [13] -
21351:40, 21371:10,
21373:1, 21376:30,
21386:29, 21387:43,
21388:25, 21390:6,
21403:40, 21407:42,
21418:15, 21418:32,
21419:27
Vatican [1] - 21376:8
veracity [2] -
21416:22, 21417:10
verbal [1] - 21354:29
verbatim [1] -
21410:22
version [15] -
21370:11, 21370:17,
21372:10, 21372:11,
21376:46, 21377:1,
21377:2, 21377:4,
21377:6, 21377:9,
21377:10, 21377:12,
21379:37, 21379:42,
21420:14
vetted [1] - 21372:8
via [1] - 21359:6
Vicar [1] - 21425:10
Vicar-General [1] -
21425:10
victim [5] - 21366:19,
21375:4, 21380:37,
21391:3, 21395:1
victims [24] -
21359:34, 21359:37,
21373:39, 21374:37,
21374:43, 21375:44,
21380:43, 21394:44,
21395:21, 21396:6,
21396:15, 21396:17,
21396:18, 21396:19,
21396:22, 21396:24,
21396:26, 21400:12,
21400:41, 21401:38,
21402:3, 21402:27,
21427:4
victims' [1] -
21379:32
victims' [4] -
21376:23, 21376:30,
21376:36, 21376:39
view [27] - 21347:29,
21351:2, 21351:9,
21360:43, 21362:37,

21362:42, 21362:46,
21363:12, 21364:31,
21365:44, 21370:30,
21373:44, 21374:25,
21375:19, 21375:26,
21383:24, 21383:26,
21390:33, 21393:15,
21393:16, 21394:5,
21394:32, 21402:40,
21414:43, 21415:2,
21416:7, 21420:46
views [7] - 21370:21,
21371:16, 21378:9,
21393:38, 21405:21,
21416:9, 21416:10
vigorous [1] - 21416:4
Vincent [1] - 21432:6
virtually [2] - 21350:6,
21356:18
voluntary [1] -
21398:42
vows [1] - 21353:36

W

waited [2] - 21345:1,
21345:45
waiting [1] - 21398:13
walk [1] - 21370:24
walked [1] - 21370:28
wants [1] - 21387:23
Wayne [28] -
21344:41, 21345:2,
21345:29, 21348:40,
21348:41, 21350:2,
21357:30, 21367:4,
21372:6, 21375:9,
21379:27, 21386:15,
21386:27, 21395:42,
21396:1, 21396:36,
21401:5, 21401:33,
21406:22, 21407:18,
21413:22, 21413:23,
21413:35, 21427:47,
21428:1, 21428:24,
21428:39, 21429:47
website [2] -
21419:28, 21419:35
WEDNESDAY [1] -
21432:24
week [1] - 21431:45
weeks [3] - 21364:36,
21382:4, 21404:27
weird [1] - 21347:21
weird" [1] - 21346:32
wellbeing [1] -
21376:30
Westgarth [1] -
21371:3
whereas [1] -

21400:34
whereby [2] -
21371:15, 21387:23
Whitlam [11] -
21344:11, 21344:13,
21409:24, 21412:32,
21413:34, 21414:42,
21416:47, 21417:43,
21418:12, 21418:17,
21418:28
whole [7] - 21347:41,
21358:1, 21358:7,
21369:41, 21390:14,
21397:15, 21404:17
wicked [8] - 21383:4,
21383:11, 21383:16,
21383:25, 21383:44,
21383:46, 21384:4,
21384:7
willing [2] - 21354:12,
21410:39
winding [1] - 21355:7
wish [1] - 21401:39
wishes [2] - 21380:43,
21421:22
withdraw [2] -
21370:24, 21429:7
withdrawing [1] -
21355:46
withdrawn [2] -
21354:36, 21355:35
WITHDREW [1] -
21432:20
WITNESS [2] -
21432:18, 21432:20
wits [1] - 21404:21
woman [1] - 21351:36
women [1] - 21353:29
wondered [4] -
21344:21, 21354:22,
21366:7, 21413:23
Wood [2] - 21377:45,
21378:4
word [2] - 21374:15,
21396:44
wording [5] -
21372:14, 21390:8,
21413:4, 21413:7,
21413:8
words [30] - 21348:15,
21348:23, 21348:33,
21348:35, 21349:1,
21349:3, 21349:4,
21349:6, 21359:45,
21360:3, 21360:7,
21360:22, 21360:34,
21360:39, 21360:44,
21363:41, 21367:6,
21369:38, 21370:35,
21370:42, 21372:38,

21373:43, 21374:12,
21377:11, 21379:31,
21382:9, 21382:11,
21383:34, 21414:43
worthy [1] - 21384:33
wrapped [1] -
21379:31
write [2] - 21405:20,
21431:16
writing [4] - 21382:39,
21382:40, 21401:33
written [7] - 21362:26,
21366:32, 21367:33,
21367:40, 21370:36,
21388:4, 21428:28
wrongdoing [11] -
21373:37, 21373:42,
21373:45, 21374:3,
21374:4, 21374:7,
21374:10, 21377:13,
21394:43, 21396:22,
21412:26
wrongly [1] -
21392:32
wrote [11] - 21367:34,
21369:11, 21381:10,
21381:14, 21381:24,
21385:37, 21388:3,
21397:45, 21409:37,
21428:35, 21431:9

X

XYZ [1] - 21420:32

Y

year [6] - 21358:37,
21398:43, 21408:33,
21414:35, 21415:31,
21430:39
years [15] - 21352:40,
21352:44, 21355:3,
21362:39, 21366:32,
21367:15, 21367:18,
21367:26, 21371:6,
21374:20, 21379:5,
21394:7, 21394:42,
21424:30, 21430:41
yesterday [24] -
21344:10, 21344:16,
21346:14, 21348:6,
21349:8, 21350:11,
21350:20, 21368:25,
21370:4, 21372:47,
21373:4, 21373:14,
21386:41, 21389:16,
21393:28, 21393:42,
21419:20, 21420:41,
21424:15, 21427:45,

21428:8, 21428:38,
21430:19, 21431:23
young [34] - 21347:40,
21351:25, 21351:32,
21351:36, 21352:27,
21354:8, 21366:22,
21374:6, 21390:27,
21390:39, 21390:40,
21390:42, 21399:24,
21399:29, 21399:37,
21399:38, 21400:5,
21401:21, 21401:27,
21403:16, 21403:22,
21403:23, 21403:24,
21403:28, 21403:34,
21406:44, 21407:23,
21407:30, 21408:44,
21409:1, 21412:16,
21412:17, 21412:21,
21420:33
yourself [3] -
21379:43, 21421:12,
21424:24