OUR BROTHER HAD SEPPARATED FROM HIS WIFE ON DUBIOUS GROUNDS FOR A VERY SHORT TIME AND STARTED TO DATE A SISTER (HAS BEEN REPROVED) WHO ALSO HAD VERY RECENTLY SEPPARATED FROM HER HUSBAND. THIS ALL OCCURED ABOUT THE SAME TIME.

OUR BROTHER WAS STRONGLY COUNCelled AND SHOWN WT. ARTICLES ON HIS WRONG COURSE. THIS WAS DISREGARDED AND HE CONTINUED UNASHAMEDLY AND BLATENTLY TO FOLLOW THE COURSE HE WAS ON.

AT ANOTHER MEETING AGAIN HE WAS GIVEN STRONG COUNCIL BUT CONTINUED ON HIS COURSE.

ANOTHER BODY OF ELDERS BECAME INVOLVED AND THEY LIKESWE GAVE THE SAME STRONG COUNCIL. THIS TOO WAS DISREGARDED.

HIS ATTITUDE WAS HE FELT THE COUNSEL DID NOT APPLY AND WHAT RIGHT DID THE ELDERS HAVE GIVING THE COUNSEL FELT IT WAS WRONG IN HIS CASE. HE CONTINUED TO SHOW A BLATENT DISREGARD FOR AUTHORITY AND BECAUSE THERE WERE NO WORKS AT ALL TO SHOW REPENTANCE EVEN AFTER BEING SHOWN THE WT. 68 P. 255 WHIS FITTED HIS CASE(HE FELT IT MAY BE OLD AND THERE MAY BE MORE RECENT MATERIAL, THAT THIS WAS IRRELIVANT) AS A JUDICIAL COMMITTEE BECAUSE OF HIS BLATENT DISREGARD FOR AUTHORITY WHICH AMOUNTED TO LOUSE CONDUCT THE DECISION WAS TO DISFELLOWSHIP.

A FURTHER REASON TO COME TO THE DECISION WAS THAT ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS THE BROTHER HAD LIED.

WITNESSES WERE PRESENTED BUT THE BR. EITHER DENIED WHAT HE HAD SAID OR HAD FORGOTTEN WHAT HE HAD SAID.

SO ON THE TWO GROUNDS 1. LOOSE CONDUCT AND 2. LYING THE BR. WAS DISFELLOWSHIPED