

EAE and EAG

REDACTED

11 March 2014

Dr Tim Hawkes
Headmaster
The King's School
PO Box 1
Parramatta NSW 2124

Dear Dr Hawkes,

RE: Fees for Terms 2 and 3, 2013

We refer to the above matter, and to your letter of 25 February 2014.

Your letter offers very little further other than exasperating your own skewed version of the events that had unfolded concerning the incident and the relentless bullying against ^{CLC} a version of the events that disappointingly elects to unequivocally protect The King's School's interests, without any regard or consideration for the underlying causes or reasons for the incident or bullying so as to stop such practices occurring again.

We see little point in again canvassing the issues, other to say that we maintain our position and version of events as outlined in our letters to you of 16 October 2013 and 20 February 2014.

What we will say, however, is that any connotation that it is "inappropriate to mix the two matters" is incorrect.

We, as the parents of ^{CLC} enrolled him into The King's School for a number of reasons, namely its strong academic achievements, its reputable position within Sydney's elite private schools, and its unparalleled stance on instilling strong morals, beliefs and good character into its pupils.

We also elected to place ^{CLC} in the boarding house of The King's School, notwithstanding that we ourselves resided in Sydney, as we identified the benefit of the nurturing, maturing and pastoral care that the boarding house at the Kings School would also offer ^{CLC}

Rather than nurturing, maturing and pastoral care, for our commitment to pay the necessary school fees, ^{CLC} was subjected to a crude and traumatic act of assault and harassment, and continued thereafter to be the victim of constant, relentless and systematic bullying.

Regardless of any twist or spin you may wish to place on the situation as to who the "real perpetrators" were, what did transpire was that ^{CLC} did not receive the 'nurturing, maturing and pastoral care' that we had been represented as his parents would be provided by The King's School.

To the extent that the matters are related, The King's School has failed to provide the environment that we had been represented would be provided. As far as any contractual relationship that may

exist between The King's School and us as ^{CLC} s parents, this failure to provide by The King's School amounts to a repudiation of the contractual agreement between the parties.

We have accepted this repudiation; however in good faith have agreed to make the payments referred to in the penultimate paragraph of our letter of 20 February 2014.

Yours sincerely,
EAE

EAG

EAE and EAG