

Frequently Asked Questions: Allegations against Rabbi Abraham Glick

Is Rabbi Glick guilty?

Tzedek firmly believes that Rabbi Glick remains innocent until proven otherwise in a Court of law.

Did Tzedek state that Rabbi Glick is guilty?

No. On the contrary, Rabbi Glick is entitled to the presumption of innocence.

What is Tzedek's role in all this?

Tzedek is an advocacy group for victims of child sexual abuse. If someone claims to have been sexually abused as a child, we will advocate for that person's rights. We do not investigate nor do we prosecute. That is the job of the Police and the Courts. We simply assist those who claim to be victims.

In this case, we accompanied the victim and provided support whilst he made his statement to the Police.

Did Tzedek publicly expose Rabbi Glick's name?

Tzedek did not name Rabbi Glick until he was identified publicly in the media. At that time, Rabbi Smukler also amended his statement to publicly name Rabbi Glick.

Does Tzedek and its CEO, Manny Waks, have a vendetta against Yeshivah, Orthodox Jewry and now against Rabbi Glick?

Absolutely not. Tzedek is simply advocating for a victim without fear or favour, irrespective of who the alleged perpetrator might be.

Tzedek has urged the community to refrain from speculating in this matter.

Was Rabbi Glick arrested?

The Age has reported that a police spokesperson confirmed that 'a 67-year-old man from Balaclava was arrested and interviewed on Monday and released pending further inquiries'.

We understand that Rabbi Glick's lawyers have informed the Herald Sun (and other media) that their client was not arrested.

Did Tzedek leak the investigation to the media?

The victim elected to tell his story to the media, which was reported in the [Australian Jewish News](#). As an advocate, Tzedek facilitated this. Again, there was no mention of Rabbi Glick's name until it was independently published by the *Herald Sun*.

Is there reason to believe that the allegations in the Rabbi Glick case simply could not have happened?

This is a matter for the Police and the Courts.

Tzedek is troubled by the attacks, apparently by supporters of Rabbi Glick, which refer to the victim as being dishonest and having "a long history of mental instability". These attacks are

deplorable. The person making the claim is entitled to the same presumption of innocence as Rabbi Glick.

What if the victim/survivor in this case is mentally unstable?

This is a matter for the Police who are responsible for the investigation and decision whether to lay charges.

Is it safe to say that Rabbi Glick will not be charged?

This is a matter for the Police.

Was Tzedek involved in the decision to stand down Rabbi Glick from his position?

No. This was Yeshivah's decision only.

Is it Tzedek's policy to name alleged perpetrators of child sexual abuse?

No. Tzedek will only name alleged perpetrators after they have been named in the media.

Is the testimony from only one alleged victim too weak to form a substantiated claim against another person?

The strength of a victim's testimony is a matter for the Police.