

[Print](#)[Close](#)

Re: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley
[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

From: **Mike Crome** (REDACTED)
Sent: Friday, 2 May 2014 2:31:16 PM
To: John Devereux (ja_devereux@REDACTED)
Cc: ken.given@REDACTED (ken.given@REDACTED)
terry.delahunty@REDACTED (terry.delahunty@REDACTED)

Sirs

Noted. Investigation halted. I'll contact SQNLDR Tarrant this afternoon.

Thanks

Mike

M.D. Crome
FLTLT(AAFC)

Sent from my iPhone

On 2 May 2014, at 14:24, John Devereux <ja_devereux@REDACTED> wrote:

Dear Mike,

IAW the DG and CDR's direction below, you are to:

1. Immediately suspend your investigation into FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley.
2. ASAP Contact SQNLDR John Tarrant on REDACTED
3. Subject only to SQNLDR Tarrant's direction to the contrary, then contact FLTLT (AAFC) Oakley and Mr Symonds and advise them that, as the complaint has been referred to the Queensland Police, your investigation has been suspended.

Kind regards
JD

From: **ken.given** (REDACTED)
To: **ja_devereux** (REDACTED)
Subject: FW: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Fri, 2 May 2014 14:13:53 +1000

John,

The direction from the DG below, which I endorse, is quite specific. FYA plse.

Ken

From: Delahunty, Terry AIRCDRE 1 [<mailto:terry.delahunty>REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 2 May 2014 1:53 PM
To: 'Ken Given'
Cc: REDACTED 'John Devereux '
Subject: RE: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Ken,

It is imperative that an investigation not be conducted into the civil offence and be halted until advice from the civil police on that matter. Please get Mike to contact SQNLDR John Tarrant on REDACTED asap.

Regards

TC

From: Ken Given [<mailto:ken.given>REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 1 May 2014 21:28
To: Delahunty, Terry AIRCDRE 1
Cc: REDACTED
Subject: FW: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley

Sir,

See below email trail. Could I ask that you approach Def Legal to determine if the officer undertaking the investigation can or should (should not) reveal the name of the complainant to the FLTLT(AAFC) Oakley.

I request that this be given some priority due to the sensitive nature of the situation.

Best,

Ken

From: John Devereux [mailto:ja_devereux@redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 1 May 2014 8:45 PM
To: Mike & Natasha Crome; ken.given@redacted
Subject: RE: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley

Sir,

I refer to the investigation into allegations made in respect of FLTLT (AAFC) Oakley.

As you know, I have appointed FLTLT(AAFC) Mike Crome to undertake an investigation.

Mike has requested advice on a legal matter arising out the conduct of the investigation.

Given that I am the appointing officer, I do not think it appropriate that I provide the advice since I need to stay removed from the process.

I have extracted a part of Mike's e-mail below which raises a legal question.

May I ask you, please, to refer Mike's question to the AAFC Legal Advisor (SQNLDR John Tarrant) or to DL for advice?

You may wish to arrange for the advice to be sent directly to the Investigating Officer.

Mike's e-mail address is redacted

Kind regards

John

From: redacted
Subject: Re: Investigation - FLTLT (AAFC) Todd Oakley
Date: Thu, 1 May 2014 20:19:27 +1000
To: ja_devereux@redacted

....He has specifically asked for the name of the complainant. I feel at this stage that it may be

inappropriate to release the name, and would like to seek formal advice as to whether I can release the complainant's name to FLTLT(AAFC) Oakley.

Who can I escalate this to to seek such legal advice?

Regards

Mike

M.D. Crome

Flight Lieutenant (AAFC)

Flight Commander

234 Flight (Fieldcraft and Firearms)

Ph REDACTED

On 30 Apr 2014, at 11:25 am, John Devereux <ja_devereux@REDACTED> wrote:

Dear Mike,

Thank you again for last night agreeing to investigate a complaint made concerning Todd Oakley.

Later today I will send you a copy of notes of the allegations made.

You will need to then contact FLTLT (AAFC) Oakley as well the complainant.

Most grateful for your help.

All the best

John

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.