

Attachment 19

Since his conviction there has been interaction with 3 of the 4 families involved.

1. This has in the case of the **WW's Family** included a letter received (which you have been forwarded) and a subsequent meeting with the Headmaster and Chair of School Council. The meeting lasted some two hours and during which time many matters pertaining to the matter were discussed and **WW's Family** have stated that they believe the School has failed in its duty of care in regards to their two sons who are

REDACTED

2. **WP's Family** A meeting was held with the Headmaster, Chair of Council, **W** & **WR** and their son (victim aged 20 – who laid the initial complaint). The meeting lasted about two hours and involved in depth discussion of a number of matters pertaining to the matter and the School's handling of the matter. The meeting on the whole ended positively and they have not given any indication that they are looking for any sort of recompense. Communication with the family immediately following the meeting (initiated by the family) was very positive.

3. **YA's Family** A meeting was held with the Headmaster, Chair of Council, and **YC** & **YB** (their son is the victim aged 19). The meeting was approximately 2.5 hours in length and was a very tense affair. They believe the School has failed in its duty of care and have

REDACTED

YB

YC

4. **WT's Family** No communication has been received or request to meet. The only contact was a telephone call from the Headmaster to the parents immediately following the conviction to extend our pastoral concern. There are apparently some serious concerns for the wellbeing of their son (victim aged 21).