

Protecting identity of 3 boys at THE SCHOOL

Protecting children

- Name of the teacher omitted, the nature of the charges he was found guilty of i.e. Indecent Dealings of a Minor under the age 13 years, number of years he taught at THE SCHOOL School, ALL omitted.
- It was a difficult few months for ALL the boys involved who bravely went to court to testify, 2 of which are current students-omitted.
- No mention of the very active supporter's group and the Headmaster's/School's disassociation with this. THERE IS A VISITOR'S LIST for YJ CURRENTLY BEING ORGANISED; no control over this.
- May we ask that you to refer to your letter dated 14th March, 2005 re: REDACTED sent to the school community, in relation to the letter of July 01, 2010 and the obvious discrepancy of content between the two.

• Name, charges already declared by the Press.
• Not the case with YJ minor - sentencing.

The letter dated 01 July, 2010, did a lot of damage by the very fact that it did not address the matters and the charges specifically laid before YJ. Instead of being honest in its content as per the REDACTED letter it 'brushed over' matters without any care or consideration for those boys and families involved, or possibly those who would have been roused by reading the details, with regards to their boys who may have been in his class at some point. We are convinced there are many, many more.

• Police responsible for this process.

This letter was quite obviously devised solely for the protection of THE SCHOOL, to protect the business that it is.

• Not true: very negative of Police Investigation.

We are left utterly shaken, upset and angry with THE SCHOOL's conduct past and present: from the very onset with verbal concerns teachers/parent made to the then Headmaster to the '3' written warnings YJ received. Ultimately WD the Indecent Dealings should never have happened to ANY of these boys had it been dealt with professionally and most definitely not to WW and WX at their commencement at THE SCHOOL in February 2007, as by this point there had been numerous verbal and written warnings YJ had received, directly regarding his "touching" of students.

• Dismissal of YJ unsubstantiated claims was not enough to fire him. Sent. 2009 - He was dismissed.

WD may we also take this opportunity to say that since our boys' started in 2007, we have been advocates of THE SCHOOL and indeed yourself. We unfortunately have a very personal and serious situation to deal with.

To conclude, we would like to meet with you and the Chair of Council, to discuss questions we still have and to decide on matters which we may feel need to be taken further.

Yours sincerely
WZ
WZ and WY

April 2004 -> September 2009

65 Months (5 1/2 years) of zero complaints from anyone.