

This is Exhibit "L" to the Statement of  
JENNIFER INGHAM

Dated: 23 OCTOBER 2013 *JJ Ingham*

- A completed report is sent to me to review/ammend then sign. All and Lindsay are to sign as witnesses
- Forwarded to Pat Mullins
- Report sent to NSW Director of Professional Code of Conduct and NSW Police
- Peter said the Church like to move slowly and are not pleasant to deal with
- Peter said in his experience though there is no guarentee or his place to make such comment in his role, there should be a compensation offer made. I cant hold him to the latter comment.

You both maybe engaged in the investigation. I am sorry for this ....but I really do need your help  
 Love Jen

Jennifer Ingham

14/05/2013  
 To: Margaret Bugden

Hi Margie

Please note below as highlighted my email to Bernadette. The following comments are in response to her follow up phone call.

Bernadette did not respond by email but called me. I asked for confirmation of points discussed in writing. My mistake I should not have spoken to her. ***I caught her out with a lie twice.***

She asked why I wanted that particular solicitor and I said because he is MY solicitor. She asked had I known him long. I said long enough. She asked who it was I then told her it was Peter Kelso and she said " Oh yes I recall seeing Pat's notes that he sent Peter some documents about you".....Crappola! I only heard of him yesterday.

She said she would definitely ask the insurer to cover his cost, but was not positive they would pay for flights, but again said would ask if that was my wish.

She said It is not her practice (and did so emphatically) nor is it's Pat's to have a preliminary meeting before hand...further crappola as I have an email from Pat advising it would be scheduled after he received your report.

She said "she is just the person who arranges the process, there fore could not repond to my queries."

She said it is up to the facilitator to discuss the process and could arrange for Michael to call me. Normal practice is for Michael to meet with me an hour or so beforehand to "get to know each other". Pleeeaaassee. An hour!!!

The offer from the Insurer is to provide 'reasonable costs' for advice. She could not elaborate on the \$\$ value of costs covered nor what is deemed 'reasonable assistance'; (In Reference to having Roger there was just incase I melted at the meeting).

She said it was very good having a solicitor as 'my legal representation and the insurer usually fight it out to mutually agree to the material compensation on the day'. They approve the commitment from the church and short cut the approval process by being there. It is the insurer who has final say on the day as to compensation \$\$\$ (On the rare occasion this attempt to agree maybe made in a separate meeting if necessary.) Get this...she said it is the insurer who 'determines parity of amount paid to victims across Australia'. They know what is paid to different victims across the country and it is important that the amount of compensation is equitable between cases. ie someone in Perth gets paid relevant to someone in Qld.....PLEAAAASSEEE

I could not wait to get off the phone and lost interest at this point

Bishop Garret is confirmed as being unavailable on the 24th May and his next available date to meet with me was at the end of June. If I really wanted him there then the facilitation process will be delayed to August the earliest, to work with other peoples availability ( will wait what the solicitor says about this).

BUT Bernadette said he is not the best person to attend, as Chris Wallace better represents the church as one of his responsibilities is 'awareness of complaints of child abuse". Back to the Bishop. I said I will accept an audience with the Bishop regardless and to book it for June. I said 'he represents the church and I want to talk to him. I am important'. She said "he is past the age of retirement and Bishops retire much later than others, and he needs assistance in challenging tasks, hence the responsibility put to Chris" Oh PLEEEEEAAASSEEE!!!

I was told Chris Wallace is a Deacon. Lay Person. He holds position of Chancellor. 'As Lismore is a Country Diocese, There is no Second Bishop, and he is second in charge of the Diocese.' Therefore 'BEST PERSON TO ATTEND".

Bernadette reiterated if I have any questions to contact her immediately. I have none for her, as I have lost confidence in what I am being told

**I have just this minute received an email to confirm the Insurer will cover reasonable costs and flights for Peter Kelso to attend the meeting at 9.30am at Pat Mullins Office on the 24th May**

Kind regards

Jennifer

Jennifer Ingham  
16/05/2013  
To: Margaret Bugden

Hi Margie

Ok the meeting is on for next week. The church have agreed to pay for the solicitors legal advise and airfares and accomodation. It starts at 9.30 ish but will need to have that confirmed. They encourage his presence so as the insurer and he "fight it out and agree to compensation on the day" (yep said that ). Definitely confirmation this process is an insurance matter for them, it is in the city and will send details as they are forthcoming. Do you want to stay over?

They are releasing my report to the solicitor, how can he be expected to make an evaluation without it? ..... "Oh Jennifer it is very distressing to read, should you seek approval from Prof Dooley before we send it to you incase you need support reading it" oh pleeeeeeeaaase. and I have that in writing.

Peter Kelso is experienced in this process. I will not and do not intend to sign anything or agree to anything on the day. I am more curious to see what pans out. The scales of justice will never be balanced and they will never "buy off" my pain, and loss of my self. **BUT LET THEM TRY** and let it be alot so they feel at least a little bit of pain.

With all my heart I ***cannot thank you enough*** for being an amazing suport from the time I started this. s

I have requested an audience with Bishop Jarrett. A date is confirmed for the 24th June. I am disgusted the church is being represented by a non ordained clergy at the faclitation. The Bishop is not getting off that lightly.

I want Jarret to look me in the eye and listen to me while I tell him the damage his church has caused me and our family and to hear me say how disgusted and devastated I am at the cover of of the church in not protecting the youth while they protected their own deviat.

Then I want him to look me in the eye and tell me why they did that and how wrong they were and still are

Too harsh?

Love you

Jen