

15 MAR 2012

CA

REDACTED

FOOT LAW & CO.  
56 VICTORIA ST  
GRAFTON  
MR ROLAND.

DEAR SIR

I AM IN RECEIPT OF YOUR LETTER OF THE  
21.2.12.

FIRSTLY I WOULD LIKE YOU TO KNOW I  
DID NOT APPRECIATE YOUR LETTER IN THAT  
DISMISSIVE TONE.

① OF COURSE THERE WAS NO COURT PROCEEDING  
ISSUED AGAINST THE ANGLICAN CHURCH. DO  
YOU THINK I WAS IN A COMA DURING THESE  
YEARS. IT WAS AS YOU KNOW A CIVIL ACTION  
WITH NEGOTIATED "COMPASSIONATE PAYMENT" TO EACH  
OF THE CLIENTS, THERE WAS NO CAP ON THE  
TIME OF ACCEPTANCE.

IT HAS TAKEN THIS LONG TO RECLAIM MY  
HEALTH, TO INVESTIGATE THE BLATENT LIES &  
HAVE VERIFICATION OF THE HOME OWNERSHIP.

THE ARCH BISHOP NOW HAS PROOF THAT THE  
CHURCH DID HAVE DUTY OF CARE

UNFORTUNATELY THE CLERGY WHO COMMITTED  
UNLAWFUL ACTS ARE STILL FREE OR DEAD  
SO JUSTICE HAS NOT BEEN DONE.

② AS FAR AS MY SOLICITOR IS CONCERNED -  
I CONTINUED TO PAY FEES & THEY CONTINUED  
TO ACCEPT. THE SOLICITOR MOVED ON BUT  
MY CONTRACT COVERS SUCH CHANGES  
THE LAW COMMISSION INFORMS ME MY

CONTRACT CANNOT BE CANCELLED WITHOUT MY  
PERMISSION, THERE WAS NO BREACH OF CONTRACT &  
FEES HAVE BEEN SATISFIED. NO NOTIFICATION OF  
SUCH ACTION WAS SENT TO ME TO RELEASE

YOUR FILE MAY BE CLOSED BUT NOT MINE

THERE IS NO LAW THAT COMPELS ME TO ACCEPT  
MANIPULATION OF TRUTH.

I WILL CONTINUE TO EXPECT THE CHURCH  
TO HONOUR THEIR COMMITMENT & EXPECT  
RENUMERATION AS AGREED.

Yours faithfully

CA

