

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

NOTE: Copyright in this transcript is reserved to the Crown. The reproduction, except under authority from the Crown, of the contents of this transcript for any purpose other than the conduct of these proceedings is prohibited.

S&C:DAT

D5

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF NEW SOUTH WALES
CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

5 JUDGE FREARSON

FIFTH DAY: MONDAY 22 AUGUST 2016

10 **2015/00066560 - R v Christopher RAFFERTY**

**STATUTORY NON PUBLICATION ORDER RE IDENTITY OF
COMPLAINANT**

15 **CLOSED COURT FOR EVIDENCE OF COMPLAINANT**

HIS HONOUR: All right. Here we are again. What's happening today?

20 DUNNE: Your Honour, we'll move along. Your Honour, a note was sent to your Honour's--

HIS HONOUR: Yes, I saw that. I saw the email. You want to recall the accused.

25 DUNNE: Yes.

HIS HONOUR: Okay. Well, that can happen, yes.

30 DUNNE: Just before that happens, your Honour, can I also flag one other matter after reading the transcript on the weekend?

HIS HONOUR: Yes.

35 DUNNE: The evidence, your Honour, of **REDACTED** was - my learned friend led that evidence after a discussion with myself, and there was a degree of truncating parts of it, or a part of it, because of the 293 problem, and in her statement at page - it's at my p 68 of the material that I've been provided, and she gives an account of that conversation, and at para 36 she said that after
40 speaking with him he said, "It was not me". That's the part that was led. "It was Tom and none of this happened until after he finished school". Now, your Honour, that was not led because of the perceived 293 problem.45 HIS HONOUR: Sorry, "It was ^{FAB}

DUNNE: "And none of this happened until after he finished school". What was led was--

50 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I understand what was led, yes.

.22/08/16

200