

RAVESTON
(see file nos)
on attached
checklist

Michael,

All Rotherham files now allocated
to you. I have reviewed +

as Dr R is still on register (suspended),
I recommend prosecution before

a Tribunal & that all matters
be joined. I note that a number

of matters were not included

in the ~~past~~ criminal proceedings +

need to consider whether they
should be included in disciplinary
matters - probably best to go only
with convictions

Do not need pass report but do need:

① ~~Be~~ Consult letter re all
matters by 30/5/12 +

② draft complaint by 27/6/12

Kam. Moll. 39.12

ROLLESTON

DETERMINATION

CHECK LIST

DATE REFERRED TO DP: 15-2-12,
DATE OF SECTION 40 LETTER: 1/8/11
BOARD RECOMMENDATION: MR

09/02751 09/02756
09/02752 ✓ 09/02757
09/02753 09/02758
09/02754 09/02759
09/02754
09/02755 ✓ 10/00183
10/0018
10/00861
(also 03/01056)
07/00070
09/01047 +
09/01529

Prosecution Report: Not required.

Further Action By Investigations: Yes/No (No circled)

Date Referred to Investigations:

Date of Return to DP:

Determination s90B(1)(a)

- * Consider action of matters set out in s90c of Act, ie:
 - a) Protection of the health and safety of the public,
 - b) Seriousness of the alleged conduct the subject of the complaint
 - c) The likelihood of proving the alleged conduct
 - d) Any submission made under s40 by the health practitioner concerned

DETERMINATION

- ~~criminal convictions~~. Complaints with Commission for very long time. Denied for considerable time + ultimate plea do complaints. Convicted + imprisoned until 23 December 2012.

- Very serious matters involving a substantial number of victims who were patients of Dr Rolleston - clearly in the practice of medicine.

I note that Dr Rolleston's solicitors have indicated that prosecution should not proceed as it is not warranted given Dr R will not seek his renewal of regn when due - stat doc will not seek renewal - parole conditions/registers - little direct do knowledge of public.

- All noted but still on AHPRA register as a suspended practitioner.

→ Note no serious nature of conduct → need for delusion + fact should not be on register given currently in jail (2 years) + ~~serious~~ not a susp suitable

person for registration.

∴ In all the circumstances, I am of the view that there is a ~~part~~ there matters should be prosecuted before a Medical Tribunal.

L Mell