



Julie Garner
ext-6462

14/09/04 18:01

To: Anna Ross/Sydney/CORRS/AU@CCW, John
Dalzell/Sydney/CORRS/AU@CCW

cc:

Subject: Ellis: Strictly Private & Confidential

----- Forwarded by Julie Garner/Sydney/CORRS/AU on 14/09/2004 05:59 PM -----



"Michael Casey"
<mcasey@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>
holic.org.au>

14/09/2004 05:23 PM

To: <Paul.McCann@corrs.com.au>

cc: "Danny Casey" <danny.casey@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>, "Dominic
Cudmore" <dcudmore@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>, "Mgr Brian
Rayner" <brayner@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>

Subject: Ellis: Strictly Private & Confidential

Dear Paul

thank you for your suggestions on counsel for the Ellis matter, below.
Danny and I have considered your recommendations and I have also
mentioned them to the Cardinal. We would be grateful if you could
approach Messrs. Rushton and McHugh, as you propose.

MC

(Dr) Michael Casey
PRIVATE SECRETARY TO CARDINAL PELL

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul.McCann@corrs.com.au [mailto:Paul.McCann@corrs.com.au]

Sent: Wednesday, 8 September 2004 3:54 PM

To: Michael Casey

Cc: Dominic Cudmore

Subject: Strictly Private & Confidential

Dear Michael

Claim by John Andrew Ellis

Thank you for your instructions to act in this matter.

Richard Leder returns from leave tomorrow and so we hope to be in a
position to respond to you on your query in relation to the Archdiocese
of Melbourne's approach in similar matters at some stage tomorrow.

I would be grateful if you could confirm whether Colin Biggers &
Paisley, or any other law firm nominated by Monahan & Rowell, has filed
a Notice of Appearance on behalf of either His Eminence or the Trustees.
If a Notice of Appearance has not yet been filed, we would advise that
this should remain the case so that we are able to file the Notice
ourselves once we are formally retained in this matter. In addition,
there are some issues surrounding the "proper defendant" to the
litigation that we would want to be comfortable with before filing such
a Notice.

I have also considered the issue of Counsel to be retained in this
matter. It should not be the usual "insurance hack" or "insurance club"
counsel, with no disrespect to those members of the profession. What we
are endeavouring to do is to "pull" this matter up before it gets
started and the first opportunity to do that will be when leave is being
sought to the filing of the claim out of time. Although the motion to

obtain that leave is returnable on 25 October 2004 at 9.30am it is unlikely to be heard that day. The simple point is that no evidence in proper form has been filed as to why leave should be given and, once filed, we would want to cross examine Ellis strenuously on that material. For that application both senior and junior counsel should be retained, my recommendations and why, being as follows:

Stephen Rushton SC:

Stephen was admitted to the NSW Bar in 1986 and to Senior Counsel in 2000. Prior to his admission as a barrister, he was a Senior Associate in the litigation section of Clayton Utz before moving to the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions. Stephen is considered one of the rising stars of the Senior Counsel ranks, noted for his incisive and direct cross examination style. Shortly stated, he doesn't shy away from asking the hard questions. Most recently, and acting for ASIC, he successfully committed the most senior executives of the collapsed HIH Group for trial. Stephen is well known to me and can be confidently recommended to act in this matter.

Richard McHugh:

The son of High Court Judge, Michael McHugh, Richard is considered one of the most gifted juniors at the Sydney Bar. Admitted in 1995, he has attained an enviable reputation which, I can assure you, has been well deserved. Like Stephen, Richard is well known to Corrs and to me, and can be confidently recommended to undertake this matter.

Could you let me know if you are happy with going with my recommendations in this matter.

Regards

Paul McCann

Partner
Litigation Division Leader
Sydney Partner-in-Charge
Corrs Chambers Westgarth
<http://www.corrs.com.au>
Phone: (02) 9210-6241
Fax: (02) 9210-6488

"Michael Casey"

<mcasey@ado.syd.catho
<Paul.McCann@corrs.com.au>,
lic.org.au>
<Anna.Ross@corrs.com.au>

To:

Leder" <Richard_Leder@corrs.com.au>,
03/09/04 17:45
<dcudmore@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>

cc: "Richard

"Dominic Cudmore"

Subject: Ellis

Dear Paul and Anna,

This email is to confirm that Cardinal Pell would like you to act for the Archdiocese in the matter brought by Mr Ellis against Fr Duggan.

Before we advise Monahans of this decision, I would be grateful if you could speak to Richard Leder, as we discussed, so that the approach we take is consistent with the one that Corrs takes on behalf of the Archdiocese of Melbourne.

Pls feel free to call me on the mobile over the weekend if this should be necessary. Otherwise we can pick the matter up next week.

with good wishes,

(Dr) Michael Casey
PRIVATE SECRETARY TO CARDINAL PELL

(Embedded image moved to file: pic05021.jpg)

This e-mail and any attachments may be confidential. You must not disclose or use the information contained in this e-mail if you are not the intended recipient. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately and delete the e-mail and all copies. Corrs does not guarantee that this e-mail is virus or error free. The attached files are provided and may only be used on the basis that the user assumes all responsibility for any loss, damage or consequence resulting directly or indirectly from the use of the attached files, whether caused by the negligence of the sender or not. Corrs is not responsible for any changes made to a document other than those made by Corrs, or for the effect of any changes (not made by Corrs) on a document's meaning. The content and opinions in non-business e-mail are not necessarily those of Corrs. If this is a commercial electronic message within the meaning of the Spam Act, you may indicate that you do not wish to receive any further commercial electronic messages from Corrs by sending an e-mail to <mailto:privacy@corrs.com.au>