



"Michael Moore"
 <mmoore@ado.syd.cat
 holic.org.au>

13/03/2006 03:21 PM

To: <John.Dalzell@corrs.com.au>, "Michael Casey"
 <mcasey@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>, "John Usher"
 <john.usher@ado.syd.catholic.org.au>
 cc: <MWright@ccinsurances.com.au>
 Subject: RE: Ellis - Costs argument

Dear John

Thank you for your email. Michael Casey & I have discussed your recommendation. Please accept this email as confirmation of our instruction for you to brief Senior Counsel for the hearing on Wednesday.

Yours sincerely

Michael Moore
 Financial Controller
 Direct Line (02) 9390 5186
 Fax No: (02) 9261 8312

Confidentiality and Privilege Notice

This email message and any accompanying attachments may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message or attachments. If you have received this email message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the email message. Confidentiality and legal privilege are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Before opening any attachments, please check them for viruses and/or other defects. To contact the Chancery Office of the Archdiocese of Sydney please telephone +61 2 9390 5100.

-----Original Message-----

From: John.Dalzell@corrs.com.au [mailto:John.Dalzell@corrs.com.au]
 Sent: Monday, 13 March 2006 11:36 AM
 To: Michael Moore; Michael Casey; John Usher
 Cc: MWright@ccinsurances.com.au
 Subject: Ellis - Costs argument

Dear Michael, Michael and Fr John

The Ellis matter has been listed in the Supreme Court this Wednesday, 15 March 2006, for oral argument on the costs of the limitation hearing.

The Plaintiff has retained junior and senior counsel for the hearing which is likely to take 2-3 hours.

The costs argument in any application where the Plaintiff has sought the indulgence of the Court, such as in this case, is always complicated. The Court has complete discretion as to what order it may make.

As the costs are substantial in this case and because of the relatively complex arguments on the interpretation of case law, we have provisionally retained Stephen Rushton SC to appear for Cardinal Pell and the Trustees.

Although the Court has already dismissed the case against the Cardinal with costs, the Plaintiff has written to the Court in an attempt to re-open this aspect of the costs argument (although how he intends to do this is a mystery).

Could you please confirm that we may brief Senior Counsel for the

hearing on Wednesday.

Kind regards

John Dalzell

This is an email from Corrs Chambers Westgarth or Corrs Support Services Pty Ltd. The email and any attachments may be confidential, legally privileged and/or subject to copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose or use the information contained in this e-mail. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately and delete the email and all copies. We do not guarantee that this email and any attachments are free from virus or other errors. We will not be responsible for loss or damage resulting (either directly or indirectly) from any such virus or error. We will only be responsible for a change to a document if we made the change. The content of and opinions expressed in non-business emails are not necessarily ours. If this is a commercial electronic message within the meaning of the Spam Act, you may indicate that you do not wish to receive any further commercial electronic messages from us by sending an email to <mailto:privacy@corrs.com.au>