

23 September 2002		Pages: 7 (including this page)	
To:	Mr Phillip Wotton	Facsimile: 02 9236	9500
	Wotton & Kearney, Lawyers	Telephone:	
	Mr Ken Horsley	Facsimile: 3119 100	00
	Minter Ellison		
From:	David Abernethy	Facsimile: (07) 3228	3 9444
	Email: David_Abernethy@corrs.com.au	Telephone: (07) 3228	8 9456
	Our ref: DJA/BRIS2923-7545873		

PLEASE NOTE: If this facsimile transmission is received by other than the named addressee, you are requested immediately to notify us and return the original message to us at the postal address shown. The client entitled to the benefit of the solicitor/client/legal professional privilege attaching to this document is entitled to recover all copies of the document and to prevent its dissemination or use in any form by application to the courts. Privilege is not waived or lost by reason of a mistaken delivery of the document to other than the addressee.

If this facsimile is illegible or incomplete please telephone (07) 3228 9310

Dear Phillip/Ken

BRISBANE GRAMMAR SCHOOL - VARIOUS CLAIMS

I confirm that the meeting with insurers tomorrow will take place at Phillip's office at 12.00 noon. We thought it sensible to bring the meeting forward as one of the insurer's representatives has a commitment at 3.00 pm. The Chairman of the Board of Trustees, Mr Howard Stack, and I will be attending the meeting on behalf of the School.

The thrust of the submissions on behalf of the School in relation to the alleged non-disclosure and the proposal that the School contribute 20% will be as follows:

- Neither the conversation nor the conversation (referred to below), even assuming both occurred in the terms alleged which of course is denied, amounted to a material circumstance which required disclosure to underwriters.
- Alternatively, even if there was a general duty to disclose such matters then the requirement for disclosure by the School was excluded by conduct/implication as a result of the history of dealings between the underwriters and the brokers on behalf of the School over a long period of time and/or waived by the insurers.
- Finally, and in any event, in all the circumstances it would be unconscionable for underwriters to rely upon non-disclosure.

WATERFRONT PLACE 1 EAGLE STREET BRISBANE 4000
GPO BOX 9925 QLD 4001
TELEPHONE (07) 3228 9333 INT +617 3228 9333 FAX (07) 3228 9444

DX 135 BRISBANE
DNEY MELBOURNE BRISBANE PERTH

OUR LIABILITY IS LIMITED BY THE SOLICITORS SCHEME, APPROVED UNDER THE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS ACT 1994 (NSW).



23 September 2002 Wotton & Kearney, Lawyers BRISBANE GRAMMAR SCHOOL - VARIOUS CLAIMS Page 2

Last Wednesday, 18 September, Counsel for the various plaintiffs served on our Counsel a copy of statutory declaration by BQH dated 17 September (copy attached) in respect of which we, in consultation with Mr Syd Williams QC, have had the opportunity to take instructions from Mr Howell this morning. Mr Howell has no recollection of the meeting taking place and his position is very strongly to the effect that had he been informed as alleged then he would have acted immediately. We are preparing an affidavit for signature by Mr Howell which we expect to be in a position to provide to you tomorrow.

Lastly, Phillip, you will recall that when we were endeavouring to identify relevant underwriters we suggested that your client, Insurance Company of North America, was also on risk for the 1977/78 insurance year. That was the position as put to us by the School's brokers. However, as no one had any documentary evidence of that suggestion, your clients have not accepted that they were on cover. We had spoken to relevant personnel of the brokers at the time who could recall there was a change from the School's traditional underwriters to "the American Underwriters" but no one was able to recall the exact date. We have now located a Board minute which confirms the date the change was made: from 1 September 1977. I **attach** a Board minute dated 21 September 1977. While the minute does not identify the new insurers the representatives of the brokers say that they are 99.9% sure it was Insurance Company of North America because there was not a double change over a two year period. Therefore, I request you have your clients urgently reconsider their position on this issue.

Yours sincerely

David Abernethy

Muis anos

Partner

encl