

**Notes Detail**Complainant **John A Ellis**Case **1147**Accused **Aidan Duggan (Fr)(dec'd)**

<b>Note</b> Date <b>20/07/04</b> dd/mm/yy h:min AM/PM <b>Note Type</b> Case Notes	<b>To Do</b> To Do <input type="checkbox"/> Complete <input type="checkbox"/> To Do By Date	<b>Attached File</b> File Pathname
--	--	--

**Note Memo**

Facilitated meeting held at PSO: Present: Raymond Brazil - facilitator; Mons Rayner - CA; John Ellis - complainant; Nicola Ellis - SP.

Meeting was long-lasting in excess of 4 hrs.  
It is reported that a number of key issues remain unresolved.

\* **FINANCIAL:** - Ellis wants a significantly higher 'financial gesture' than the \$30K offered by the CA - he and Nicola preferred psychological impact theories to justify the payment of a higher figure. This is indicative of them still approaching the process through a Court/compensation perspective, so that it will deliver court type outcomes?

I believe that the CA won't shift in terms of the offer - However, in the unlikely event that it does I would believe that the revised figure would probably fall well short of that deemed acceptable by the complainant.

The financial issue is also complicated by the dispute over the Deed of Release. John Ellis, given that he is currently unemployed, could well do with the \$30K pending the ultimate finalisation of his matter. However, as I understand it he will not sign a Deed of Release (at least in its present form), and the CA will not issue the payment of a significant amount of money in the absence of a deed of release. Of course, in the context of the negotiation at this stage the CA would view the payment of the \$30K as representing a full & final settlement of the financial claim, and John Ellis seemingly would likely view the payment as a first instalment pending a full & final settlement.

\* As I understand it John Ellis has requested a meeting with the Cardinal (or another Bishop) for the leader to offer him an apology.