

Message

Page 1 of 2

Dooley, Allan

From: OLOUGHLINS - Tony Fuller [tony@oloughlins.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 9 June 2004 6:08 PM
To: Dooley, Allan
Subject: RE: St Ann's report [scanned]

Allan,

I think we should, as a matter of courtesy as much as anything else, raise the ambiguities with Brian Hayes and invite his input as to how we deal with the issue of clarification.

To that end I have drafted a letter to him and a draft letter to the recipients of the report, which I attach for your consideration.

I look forward to hearing from you at your convenience.

Regards
 Tony Fuller
 Consultant
 O'Loughlins Lawyers
 Level 2
 99 Frome Street
 ADELAIDE SA 5000

Direct Line: (08) 8111 4014
 Facsimile: (08) 8111 4099
 E-mail: tfuller@oloughlins.com.au

-----Original Message-----

From: Dooley, Allan [mailto:Allan.Dooley@ceo.adl.catholic.edu.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 9 June 2004 3:19 PM
To: OLOUGHLINS - Tony Fuller
Subject: FW: St Ann's report

Dear Tony,

Please find an email of note from Stephanie Grant and could you advise me as to whether this should be formally noted and how we would go about this? Thanks, Allan.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dooley, Allan
Sent: Wednesday, 9 June 2004 2:03 PM
To: Grant, Stephanie
Subject: FW: St Ann's report

Thanks Stephanie,

I will notify Tony Fuller of your advice as a response to the report. I agree that this needs to be noted.

Regards, Allan.

-----Original Message-----

From: Director
Sent: Monday, 7 June 2004 9:59 AM

10/06/2004

Message

Page 2 of 2

To: Dooley, Allan
Subject: FW: St Ann's report

From: Grant, Stephanie
Sent: Saturday, 5 June 2004 12:30 AM
To: Director
Subject: St Ann's report

Dear Allan,
Just to clarify the discussion earlier this evening re the St Ann's report by Brian Hayes QC.
The query I raised relates to clause 69 (pp22-23) which states:

'It seems inconceivable that the matter was not brought to the formal attention of the Board of Management or the Governors, given that the Board of Management comprised the Chairman, the Principal and a representative from the Catholic Education Office, all of whom were informed about the matter.'

In 1991, I was on the Board of Management in the role of the representative from the Catholic Education Office (as I understood it at the time). I was not informed or aware of the matter, nor recall it being mentioned at board level. I was contacted last year and spoke with Eileen Young and a legal representative re being on the board regarding any recollections of the incident or mention at board level. I don't recall being asked to explain what my role on the board was.

I may be misreading the interpretation of the quote but it infers knowledge of the situation by the CEO representative or an inference that Michael Critchley was the CEO representative, when that was not the case.

There is a further mention of the CEO representative in Clause 71 (6) which states

'To the extent that individual members of the Board being the Chairman, the Principal, the Acting Principal and the representative of the Catholic Education Office considered the allegations, such consideration was at best cursory and at worst no consideration at all.'

This also reads as if the CEO representative had knowledge or was informed.

thanks for your time tonight, I am happy to discuss this further should the need arise cheers
Steph

10/06/2004