

JC - AT - JB INTERVIEW
WITH ACT CORRECTIVE SERVICES 27TH JUNE 2011

JC and AT met with Janine Bromwich the ACT Corrective Services Supervising Officer for JC on June 27 2011 at the ACT Corrective Services Office at 197 London Circuit Canberra.

- JB commended JC on his cooperation and rehabilitation so far following his conviction.
- JB commented that police dept sex offenders' responsibilities differ corrective services supervision. The sexual offenders report annually to police whereas the Corrective Services would normally require weekly or monthly reporting for a good behaviour bond.
- Depending on the relationship with the Corrective Services Officer this reporting could sometimes be done by phone.
- Documentation was left with JB confirming the low risk status of JC. This included comments from Dr Canaris given in court. These comments were a counter to negative comments from the Public Service forensic assessment provided in court.
- Part of the good behaviour bond is that if JC stays outside of the ACT for more than 24 hours then he must notify his contact officer. JB pointed out that 24 hours basically meant that they were allowed to stay out overnight without having to report. It may be a few hours over the '24' mark.
- JC will be required to provide a list of accountable people who he might visit or might visit him together with phone numbers. This was known as the Circle of Support and Accountability or the COSA list.
- These people are to be notified of his situation and the requirement not to be in unsupervised contact with minors.
- This list includes:
 - Marist authorities
 - Relevant family members - in this case his two sisters
 - Relevant REDACTED officials eg the REDACTED
 - The ACT Manager of REDACTED
 - The local manager of REDACTED REDAC where JC resides.

Others include:

- Professor Bruce Stevens, his supervising psychologist at Canberra University
- Regular visitors to JC

- Chaperones to events such as sport and spiritual direction
- It was noted given that JC is well known in Canberra as a Marist Brother and the extreme publicity of his trial that there was no need to make specific approach to the parish priest where he attends mass close to his residence.
- JB indicated that she may ring people on the list to check if they are suitable and agreeable to supervise JC while he is with them.

REDACTED VISITORS

- If minors visit **REDACTED** JC will absent himself from the situation if he is near them. JC comments that minor visits are rare and usually they are taken to the rooms of their resident relatives.

FAMILY VISITS

- JC pointed out the only family visits would be to one or other of his sisters. JB would ring the sisters to check that they are happy to supervise JC especially should minors in the family visit. JC pointed out that at this stage members of the family indicated that their children would not be visiting.
- Computer access for JC should include a filter where the password is not held by JC.

STATE TRANSFER

- JB provided the transfer pack for application to move to NSW. JB required to check the application before it was sent off. Supporting documentation and a case safety plan should be added. The application would be sent by ACT Corrective Services.
- JB indicated that NSW authorities often reject applications.
- Once transfer is made it is a total process and difficult to reverse.
- NSW will usually check the suitability of the location where a person proposes to live for security reasons.

Alexis 28.6.11