

**NOTES RE BAKER****21/7/98**

Kilmartin rang Rosemary. I rang him back about 2.45, 21 July. He told me that Baker will be charged at the Melbourne Magistrates' Court and the hearing will probably take place next month. It is a matter of him fixing up police statements and so on. He said that he would be contacting various persons. Specifically I asked him whether he had spoken to BTM [redacted] or to REDA [redacted]. To cut a long story short I then rang BTN [redacted] told him that I would ring his son and I did so.

BTM [redacted] says that he is running a Court case against his employer for a bad shoulder. He sounds stressed to me and talking about the difficulties of litigation etc. I told him anyway that there was this finality.

I asked him whether he wanted to see Sue Sharkey and he said that she wants to see him but he doesn't really want to see her because he feels he is o.k. I said that if that be the position then leave it at that, though I stressed to him the desirability of dealing it if he wished.

BTO [redacted]

I asked Kilmartin as to whether BTO [redacted] was going to be called. He said that in the light of the new propensity rules (as he called it) his name is on the list though his problem is that he can't really specifically recall dates etc. I told him this accorded with my recollection and my discussions with BTO [redacted].

**REDACTED**

I spoke to REDACTED [redacted] who apparently had just spoken to Kilmartin. Kilmartin apparently pretty strongly encouraged REDA [redacted] that he could go ahead with his compensation claim and that if I had any doubts about it I should speak to Kilmartin. I will have to consider that position and I told REDA [redacted] I would do so.