

Item 13 (6 pages).

mum: Gerard Manes 26/11/97
452

1

Bob Brandenburg

was hire employee \approx 1979 ^{since about}

Ang. childcare services /
child care centres

currently employed by Anglicare
since mid 80s
6270

old word 'old school' - "protected"
by level
Bachelor

2 yrs ago, Ard. passed on complaint
from parishner re BB behaviour
perceived - noted upon
with 11 yo boy in youth group

mother -> priest -> Ard. to Gerard.
no sugg. of any physical contact

GM spoke to B - "silly all"
- no anticipation
" employment matter
not documented.

mother & boy informed that BB had
been cancelled, by Ard.

now seeing composite: Bob McGlennan. correct
see happy

- Back pay

3-4 mths ago.

Bob was his manager

memo to Gerard Moses

26/11/97 2/

45 min

name of BB with
is the be direct contact with
cases or face - but no initial to that effect
with children - see needs & safety issues

Bob moved -> prob
liason office.
PR with parents.

Dunlop negotiators
condemned by Sindy Murphy.
inverness O'Brien
Gert Blackwood.

may be facilitated
document
davis WID.
clear negotiator
opportunities

negotiators
Dunlop ~~negotiators~~
vague, unbalanced
array of paedophiles

ACS - see is evidence
"separate issues"
he has set "just a feeling"

- ACS what again to evidence
re navigate complex
people coming along in
bays.

memo 6/11/97.

offer to 11/11/97 - is mid interview;

on phone to Bill Raftery
new has sd is BB, I was referring

re.

At complex on even, with 2
young boy, more than 1 occasion.

may go to pass on to his scholar

mcg says no I do not have
 any evidence that anything
 improper happened
 - etc
 w more boys.

gm - mostly circumstantial evidence
 but no hard evidence

oil with figure, water, pipe
 for picking.

// no longer has direct access to
 children.

4211 Bickwood H&B mggr
 Bill Heston - Financial Resources mggr

some time ago BB was instructed not to have
 contact with
 Bob mcg.

Heston BB has no contact
 complied with this.

BB H&B Brandy & mc
 jointly own shares.

Heston has been reported.

mcg

says

Brandy says universal
 need to pseudophic

1

BB employed via DeBos. as used
now a written
paraphrase

mcg says BB knew about DeBos's
activities.

Discusses generally no Argicam is
 a mandatory notifier
 must also protect
 stock from neglect & negligent
supervision & ultimately profits
 cause of act cannot be determined by
 fear of causing ^{hurt} ~~loss~~ or distress
 to BB
 NB: important to note that
 at this stage neg allegations are
 unsubstantiated

Acknowledged risk that firm is
 damaged if he does nothing
 (e.g. stir up rumors, not a claim
 to: competitor, bad
publicity etc)
 = damned if he does nothing
 = open Argicam to risk of claim
larger claim for negligent
supervision
neglect of cover up.

revised

considered regarding all the cases
with neg resp. gm who has caused happen with work
man would be uncomfortable & delay of more than 1000 by
- thereafter: Put reply to BB, = obtain his
response.

acknowledged by a
acknowledgement that they are understood
a neg resp. acknowledge that he
has no proof

as this is an internal safety exercise
now a disciplinary procedure.

should be heavily rewarded, preferably on
rate of BB awards
gm should be accompanied
by role taker who is not there to
participate in any debate or
discussion.

gm - should not respond
as the spot
- should confirm notes taken

as the ill proceeds is obtain
BB content that what has been
rewarded is accurate & the more so