

FW: Professional Standards (Amendment) Ordinance 2010

From: John Cleary <"o=ad/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=johncleary">
To: Bishop Brian <bishopbrian@angdon.com>
Date: Tue, 31 Aug 2010 08:19:58 +1000
Attachments: PS AMENDMENT 2010.docx (27.3 kB)

Dear Bishop Brian

I would value a conversation with you about this

Regards
 John

John Cleary Diocesan Business Manager **Anglican Diocese of Newcastle**
 PO Box 817 Newcastle NSW 2300 p 02 4926 3733 f 02 4926 1968 m REDACTED
 e johncleary@angdon.com w www.angdon.com

This email is intended for the named recipients only. It may contain copyright protected, privileged and/or confidential information. Named recipients may only communicate this message to third parties if authorised to do so. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication please delete all copies and kindly notify the sender by reply email or telephone the Diocese of Newcastle on 02 4926 3733. The views expressed are those of the individual sender, and not necessarily those of the Diocese of Newcastle, NSW, Australia. It is your responsibility to ensure that this message and any attachments are scanned for viruses.

From: Paul Rosser [mailto:REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 31 August 2010 12:34 AM
To: Bishop Secretary; Bishop Peter; John Cleary
Subject: Professional Standards (Amendment) Ordinance 2010

Gentlemen,

Attached find a draft which I would propose presenting to DC at the meeting on 30 September.

1. Appropriate renumbering has been effected.
2. The suspension provisions adhere to the circulated draft.
3. I have spelled out specific powers for DC with respect to finance and persons engaged by the PSC. It is ironic that DC appoints both the Director and the PSC but has no specific power over the persons it might engage
4. I have proposed that only qualified persons should represent partes before the Board; this appears to me to be highly desirable.
5. I have introduced an additional step prior to the acceptance of the Board's recommendation.
6. I have adopted from the Model Ordinance the review provisions.
7. I have made specific provision for complaints against the Director and PSC

There is still, I believe, a body of opinion that the Ordinance should be repealed in its entirety. My proposals do, I think, deal with those areas that appear to have caused the greatest concerns.

I welcome comments

Paul Rosser

If you receive this e-mail by mistake please contact me immediately. The information contained in this e-mail and any attachment should be treated as confidential and should not be further dealt with without my express consent. I do not waive any right of privilege, confidentiality or copyright associated with this email or any attachment either on my own behalf or behalf of any other person. I do not warrant that this email or any attachment is free of viruses or defects.

on behalf of or on behalf of any other person. I do not warrant that this email or any attachment is free of any virus or defect and consequently do not accept liability for any loss or damage should the e-mail or any attachment be defective in any way.

Liability limited by a scheme approved under the Professional Standards Legislation