

Professional Standards (Amendment) Ordinance 2010

From: Paul Rosser [REDACTED] >
To: Bishop Secretary <bishop@angdon.com>, Bishop Peter <bishop peter@angdon.com>, John Cleary <johncleary@angdon.com>
Date: Tue, 31 Aug 2010 00:33:41 +1000
Attachments: PS AMENDMENT 2010.docx (27.3 kB)

Gentlemen,

Attached find a draft which I would propose presenting to DC at the meeting on 30 September.

1. Appropriate renumbering has been effected.
2. The suspension provisions adhere to the circulated draft.
3. I have spelled out specific powers for DC with respect to finance and persons engaged by the PSC. It is ironic that DC appoints both the Director and the PSC but has no specific power over the persons it might engage
4. I have proposed that only qualified persons should represent partes before the Board; this appears to me to be highly desirable.
5. I have introduced an additional step prior to the acceptance of the Board's recommendation.
6. I have adopted from the Model Ordinance the review provisions.
7. I have made specific provision for complaints against the Director and PSC

There is still, I believe, a body of opinion that the Ordinance should be repealed in its entirety. My proposals do, I think, deal with those areas that appear to have caused the greatest concerns.

I welcome comments

Paul Rosser

—
If you receive this e-mail by mistake please contact me immediately. The information contained in this e-mail and any attachment should be treated as confidential and should not be further dealt with without my express consent. I do not waive any right of privilege, confidentiality or copyright associated with this email or any attachment either on my own behalf or on behalf of any other person. I do not warrant that this email or any attachment is free of any virus or defect and consequently do not accept liability for any loss or damage should the e-mail or any attachment be defective in any way.

Liability limited by a scheme approved under the Professional Standards Legislation