

**Michael Elliott**

---

**From:** geoffrey spring [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 15 October 2010 8:39 PM  
**To:** Bishop Brian  
**Cc:** Michael Elliott; John Cleary  
**Subject:** PSC

Dear Bishop Brian,  
Further to our meeting at Lakes Grammar a number of things have arisen:-

1. I am wondering why there is any need to appoint a further lay person to the PSC particularly a female. There are already the required number of persons covering the areas specified by the Ordinance. It did not occur to me at the time to ask you this question.
2. If another female were to be appointed then this would create a gender imbalance but more importantly it would exclude the possibility of appointing a female Priest (should that appointment become necessary), as there would then be 5 females to two males.
3. I have now received the amended Ordinance and find that in fact a very substantial change has occurred by adding a Review Process. It is disappointing that no discussions were held with the PSC before such action was taken. The DC can of course make any decision that it thinks appropriate, however on such a major change perhaps wider consultation would have been wise.
4. I have no objection in principle to a Review Process but I do have some real concerns with some of the clauses which are now included in the Ordinance.

Warmest regards,  
Geoff Spring  
Chair PSC

18/10/2010