

**Michael Elliott**

**From:** Colin Elliott [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 20 December 2012 10:54 AM  
**To:** John Cleary  
**Cc:** Michael Elliott; Phil LLOYD  
**Subject:** Fwd: Section77  
**Attachments:** MyDearBishop; Commissary.pdf

Dear John,

I am forwarding these for your information. I also wish to confirm that my resignation related, not only to the position as President, but also to membership of the Panel.

I just don't have any confidence in the Synod anymore.

BUT have a wonderful and relaxing Christmas. Regards to your wife.

Col

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Colin Elliott [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 20 December 2012 10:49:26 AM AEDT  
**To:** Geoff Spring [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Dr. Colin Rose" <[REDACTED]>, "Rev. Barbara Howard" <[REDACTED]>, "Rev. Chris Butterworth" <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] DACTED Arthur Copeman <[REDACTED]>, "Rev. Canon Keith Dean-Jones" <[REDACTED]>, Alan Arnold [REDACTED], "Rev. Canon Siona Roulston" [REDACTED] Judith Walsh [REDACTED], "Prof. Trevor Waring" <[REDACTED]>, Deidre Peebles <[REDACTED]> Ms Dymphna PETERSON [REDACTED] "Mrs. Margaret PAYNE" <[REDACTED]>, Rev Glen POPE <[REDACTED]>, Geoff Spring [REDACTED] Mrs Lynne GRAHAM [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Section77

Dear Geoff,

Many thanks for your email of 7 December, 2012, in appreciation of my work when President of the PSB.

However, I cannot agree that S77 was prepared on the run, nor that it had not been tested by prior thought and discussion.

These issues were raised with me by +Brian in January, 2011 and by +Peter in June, 2012.

The proposition that determinations and recommendations of the Board be sent to the Church Authority

prior to being publicly delivered remains anathema to me. It defies jurisprudential logic, defying the rights of victims and respondents alike and is contrary to principles of natural justice.

I regret to advise that I have lost confidence in the Anglican Synod and cannot reconsider my resignation. I should say that it was my intention that such resignation apply to all positions relating to the Board/Panel and i will make that clear to the Secretary.

I can add, with some confidence, that any experienced judicial officer would be put in the same quandary as I. Therefore, I doubt the Diocesan Council will be able to engage such a person as President of the PSB while this offensive and oppressive provision remains.

However, stranger things have happened. Hitler was able to get some judges to do his bidding secretly.

I am attaching my responses to +Brian's inquiry in January, 2011, and to + Peter's in June, 2012 for your information.

I should add that some intransigence in attitude to removing this provision is exemplified by the recent announcement that the Professional Standards Ordinance 2012 is now being placed on the Diocesan web-site.

Kind Regards,

Col.