

THE SCHOOL

- 1st September 2009 Phone call to PSD from WD TH (Headmaster) regarding a 2007 Graduate who had been inappropriately touched
PSD sought urgent advice from PSC and advised them of H/Masters proposed actions
Motivation behind complaint was to ensure that School 'did not cover it up'
- 2nd September 2009 PSD advised by WD that he had spoken with boys family – ascertained allegations were serious and had suspended the Teacher on full pay. Will dismiss if he is charged by Police
Counselling offered to both the boys and his family and the Teacher
Family of boy have approached the Sec Crimes Unit
PSD raised concern with W regarding dismissal (Employment Contract / Natural Justice etc)
- 8th September 2009 PSD contacted by YG (Bursar) regarding a Media Release
- 8th September 2009 PSD advised Archbishop that he had made contact with Catholic Diocese and advised of situation as Teacher had been previously involved with the Christian Brothers and his name would be released in the Media on 9th September
- 9th September 2009 Teacher appeared in Midland Magistrates Court – charged with 4 counts of indecently dealing with a child under 13 - his name released by Media
- 10th September 2009 School Psychologist sought advice from PSD regarding communication of the investigation process to the children. Students in years 4,5,6 and 7 advised that Police are investigating their Teacher
Children angry at Police because Teacher is well liked
Children want to send Teacher a card – advised against the action
- 21st September 2009 PSD sourcing out names of suitable Psychologists and information from Anglicare to pass on to THE
YG advised by PSD that parents should use the reference X09 – 016 when making a booking for Counselling
- 13th October 2009 'Agreement for Service' With working Relationships authorised by PSD – 10 sessions each
- 14th June 2010 WD advised REDACTED that YJ would appear in the District Court and the Trial could take 8 days
- 21st June 2010 REDACTED THE emailed Archbishop a series of Guideline Media Statements
- 1st July 2010 Article in West Australian states that YJ has been found guilty of 13 charges

- 6th September 2010 REDACTED (parent of THE Students – not a victim of YJ) emailed RED asking that the following be addressed at their AGM on 8th September:
- Why did THE SCHOOL allow a teacher to remain in the school for all those years, when they were fully aware of the complaints made by teachers and families?
 - Who are the staff and council members that were aware of the situation, yet did not contact appropriate authorities?
 - Why does the school think they have no moral responsibility in reporting incidences such as child pornography and any other serious complaints to current and prospective families?
 - THE SCHOOL neglected to protect our children and provide a safe learning environment!
 - What action and procedures have they implemented to protect our children?
 - Has the school apologised to the children and families directly affected by this teacher?
- 7th September 2010 Email from REDACT to W asking if the above letter can also be presented to P&F meeting scheduled for 13th September.
- 10th September 2010 Archbishop requested information regarding the relevant Act that prohibits the publishing of certain information regarding the case. YG sent the requested information to REDACTED
- 8th October 2010 Email from PSD to W regarding Pastoral Care and how best to manage the families concerned and their expectations
- 2nd November 2010 Email to YG regarding REDACTED
- November 2010 REDACTED received phone call from a THE parent. Wanted to speak with Archbishop regarding sexual abuse at THE RED referred the caller to PSD – Caller believed to be REDACT
- 15th November 2010 Phone message left for PSD to contact a REDACT – telephone number left
- 16th November 2010 PSD had telephone conversation with RED (surname now known to be REDACTED) followed by an email regarding concerns at her son's school THE. Suggested that she submit her concerns to the PSD for presentation to the Committee on the 25th November
- 17th November 2010 Email from REDACT to PSD expressing her wish to participate in discussion regarding the school.
Refers to correspondence that had been presented to school meetings but it was never presented. Makes the suggestion that WO (Council Head) removed the correspondence and 'did nothing'

- 18th November 2010 REDACT advised provided PSD with the correspondence she had forwarded to REDACTED
- 18th November 2010 Email from PSD to REDACT outlining role of PSU and PSC and ask that she submit her concerns in a letter to the Headmaster at THE If the response is unsatisfactory, she has the right to write to the Archbishop SCH
- 21st December 2010 Email to REDAC from PSD advising he was leaving the position and that he had recommended to the Archbishop that it would be prudent to convene a meeting with schools in the NY to discuss Professional Standards Protocols.
- 6th January 2011 Detailed email sent to Primate from WY (parent of two of YJ s victims)
Stated the following:
- Teacher had several documented warnings on file
 - The anguish of her sons facing a criminal trial
 - Received no support or contact from school;
 - No-one did anything – no apologies – no sympathy
 - Wanting an apology and compensation
- 22nd January 2011 Letter sent to Fred Briggs from WQ (parent of YJ /victim) outlining details of the THE case and sexual abuse of her son SCH
- 23rd January 2011 Primate acknowledged Freda Briggs email and indicated he would pass the information to Archbishop Herft
- 25th January 2011 Email to Archbishop from Primate with WY s email attached
- 27th January 2011 PSD Rod McLary (Brisbane) responded to WY
- 28th January 2011 Archbishop responded to Primate's letter and the attached emails
- 28th January 2011 Meeting with Archbishop to discuss the situation and what strategies to put in place.
Archbishop spoke with WO (School Council) and arranged meeting for Monday 31st Jan 2011.
It was decided between Archbishop and PSD that no communication be made to WY until after this meeting.
- 31st January 2011 Meeting took place – the following attended
Archbishop
Hamish Milne
Ian Curlewis (Lavin Legal)
YG TH Bursar)
WO (Council)
Tracie Chambers-Clark (PSD)

Summary of Events

Complainant was a former student
 Police involved – 10 Families interviewed
 DCP notified
 Teacher sent on Personal Leave
 Teacher charged by Police
 Teacher terminated
 Families / Students offered counselling and referred to PSU
 Verbal and written offers of counselling to amount of \$15K per child
 Case went to Trial
 Teacher jailed
 Case / Conviction being Appealed
 Previous complaints / concerns from Colleagues on file regarding Teacher and his questionable actions with children (familiarity, photography, card making)

WY very vocal and identified her children as being the victims
 Defence accused children of being in cahoots against the teacher
 For duration of Legal Process, no direct contact was made by THE SCH Council Members
 Ongoing monitoring by School Counsellor
 Families contacted before and after Trial – well informed
 Written and verbal apologies given publicly

WY attended counselling x 3 from PSU for herself and children

REDACTED TH

Concern raised by Hamish Milne regarding further cases that may be reported in the future regarding this Teacher
 Tracie CC queried clarification on the parents claims that there have been several complaints about this teacher. Clarified by WO that these were concerns by colleagues about YJ's behaviour;
 Making cards for his students
 Becoming very close to his students
 Photography etc

- 31st January 2011 Archbishop received a letter from PSD Rod McLary (Brisbane) regarding correspondence that had been received from Freda Briggs, WY and WQ
- 31st January 2011 PSD sent email to WY and conveyed regret at the situation and made offers of support and assistance
- 2nd February 2011 Hamish Milne advised by YG that THE SCH had been advised that the four families involved were now legally represented
- 2nd February 2011 REDACTED

- 8th February 2011 PSD received email from **WY** declining any offers of assistance and advising that they were now legally represented due to lack of support and contact from the school, church or Chaplain
- 2nd March 2011 Email received from **WQ** (parent) asking why PSD had not acknowledged her email to the Primate on 3rd January 2011 as had been the case for the **WW's**. She indicated that she was aggrieved that the PSD would make contact with the **WW's Family** and not her. She stated further that neither **WY** or herself have heard directly from the Primate, to whom their emails were first addressed to. She also indicated that they are very disappointed and feel further let down by all those involved.
- 3rd March 2011 Email to **WQ** expressing regret at the suffering of her family and explained that her email had not been forwarded to PSD as had the **WW's**
- 3rd March 2011 Email from **WQ** indicating that condolences and support should have been offered to her son as he was the first to report abuse. She believes that Archbishop was made aware of the abuse on the 1st of September 2009 and felt that he should have communicated with her.
- 4th March 2011 PSD emailed response to **REDACTE** in view of reference to Archbishop