

Mr. Micheal Elliott
 Campion
 Director, Professional Standards Unit
 Street
 PO Box Box 29
 Carrington NSW 2294

Richard "Tommy"

REDACTED

March 7, 2010

Dear Micheal

My dear sister CA told me of the conversation she had with you. Thank you for that.

I have everything you may need to show the Anglican Church had the duty of care for the Church of England North Coast Children's Home. Even though I have not mentioned your name to former DOCS manager in Lismore, Mr. Tony Madden J.P. he told me he is always available to help me. You may want to ask him for a statement.

However, there is no reason to prove anything because the Bishop Keith Slater and the Most Reverend Dr. Phillip Aspinall – Primate know the truth and they have the documents. They know the pathetic on-going statement that "a community group had the duty of care for the Home" is a dirty lie and is put in the public eye to protect their name and to prevent litigation (if any). The "community group" nonsense is the only defence they have and it is weak and lame.

I have been spreading the word; writing to television stations, print media and child advocates for many years. It is only a matter of time before the church land in hot water for failing to acknowledge the duty of care they had for the Home. But the most powerful angle the media will attack is how the the Primate and a Bishop are with-holding information and distorting the truth of the brutal abuse of over 100 children.

Even though there has been a fair amount of media coverage no reporter has followed through. When they have questioned Bishop Slater (and Pat Comben in the past) they have always bleated loud and clear it wasn't us who had the duty of care - it was a "community group". Not one reporter has questioned the church about any of the evidence I have including Section 28 of the Child Welfare Act which 100 hundred percent shows it would not have been possible for any such group to take charge of so many innocent lives without a license from the government. The records show it was the Anglican Church who had the license and it cannot be denied unless you are lying your lips off.

I would have contacted you before to see what was happening but as I was unexpectedly "booted" out of my home of six years, I took a nose-dive. (It was another low point in my life but I have always been a survivor and kicked on regardless.) As I have no finances I shifted my possessions, computer and files into a friends dodgy garage and drifted from home to home until my beautiful daughter REDAC "dragged" me kicking and screaming to her home in Brisbane.

So I could survive independently again I applied for government housing aid. On March 1, 2010 I was fortunate to be offered a new one bedroom unit. I took occupancy on March 6, 2010. It is reasonable rent and I am thankful for their help (which I thought I would never ever need in my life). My new address is noted above. I will be back in action in a few days fighting like hell to stay on top of Slater and Aspinall.

But the reason I told you that story is I would like some advice: You see, I am as poor as a church mouse and live hand to mouth. Because of that I have considered accepting the compensation from the church but I am afraid if I do I will be unable to continue the fight for the truth (just as CA and I have been doing for five years). You would probably know there is a clause in that nasty Deed of Release (that has to be signed) which reads a person cannot disparage the Anglican Church. I would be doing just that as I battle on. So, if I did take the compensation offered would that be the end of me?

However, I am that proud that I would rather be poor then bow down to those miserable Anglican's and their grubby lies. I would appreciate your advice on that one.

Kind regards - Richard "Tommy" Campion

